

Quarterly Trends for Consolidated U.S. Banking Organizations

Third Quarter 2023

Federal Reserve Bank of New York

Research and Statistics Group

This report presents consolidated financial statistics for the U.S. commercial banking industry, including bank holding companies (BHCs) and banks. Statistics are based on quarterly regulatory filings and are inclusive of BHC nonbank subsidiaries¹. Separate statistics are reported on a merger-adjusted basis for the subset of BHCs with >\$750bn² in total assets as of the current quarter, for BHCs with \$50bn-750bn in total assets, and for the remainder of the industry.

Highlights

- Return on equity and return on assets both decreased from 10.57% and 0.98% in 2023:Q2 to 10.46% and 0.97% in 2023:Q3, respectively, reflecting a decrease in trading revenues.
- Net interest margin, defined as net interest income as a percentage of interest earning assets, increased slightly from 2.66% in 2023:Q2 to 2.67% in 2023:Q3. The current net interest margin of 2.67% is above its post-crisis (2009:Q1 – 2023:Q3) average of 2.61%.
- Noninterest income ratio, measured as noninterest income as a percentage of total assets, fell from 1.89% in 2023:Q2 to 1.85% in 2023:Q3. The current noninterest income ratio of 1.85% is below its post-crisis average of 2.13%.
- Asset growth rose from 0.01% in 2023:Q2 to 0.45% in 2023:Q3. Loan growth slowed from 4.18% to 3.05%, and the domestic deposit growth rate increased from -4.31% to -3.16%.
- Measures of industry capitalization remain high by historical standards. Common equity tier 1 (CET1) capital as a percentage of risk-weighted assets (RWA) stands at 13.12%, well above its pre-crisis (2001:Q1 – 2007:Q3) average of 8.25%.

¹ Industry statistics are calculated by summing consolidated financial data across all reporting U.S. parent BHCs and intermediate holding companies (IHCs) (from the FR Y-9C report), plus values for “standalone” banks not controlled by a BHC, or whose parent BHC does not report on a consolidated basis (from the FFIEC 031/041 reports). The data exclude savings bank holding companies, branches and agencies of foreign banks, or nonbanks not held by a U.S. BHC or IHC.

² The threshold for the highest asset grouping was raised from \$500bn to \$750bn in the 2020Q1 Quarterly Trends report. Six BHCs exceed this \$750bn size threshold: J.P. Morgan Chase, Bank of America, Citigroup, Wells Fargo, Goldman Sachs, and Morgan Stanley. See Data Notes on page 43 for more details.

Table of Contents


Charts and Tables

1. Composition of Banking Industry Assets and Liabilities	
Balance Sheet Composition (\$)	4
Balance Sheet Composition, Percent of Assets, Current Quarter (%)	4
Balance Sheet Composition, Percent of Assets (%)	5
Federal Funds Sold and Purchased	6
Repurchase Agreements	6
Loans	7
Residential Real Estate Loans	8
Commercial Real Estate Loans	8
Consumer Loans	9
Other Loans	9
Trading Assets and Liabilities	10
Securities Portfolios	10
Available-for-Sale Securities	11
Held-to-Maturity Securities	11
2. Earnings and Pre-Provision Net Revenue	
Return on Assets	12
Return on Equity	12
Net Interest Margin	13
Noninterest Income Ratio	13
Noninterest Income Share	14
Return on Trading Assets	15
Non-Trading Non-Interest Income Ratio	15
Efficiency Ratio	16
Noninterest Expense Ratio	16
3. Loan Performance	
Non-performing Loans	17
Non-performing Residential Real Estate Loans	18
Non-performing Commercial Real Estate Loans	18
Non-performing Commercial and Industrial (C&I) Loans	19
Non-performing Consumer Loans	19
Non-performing Other Loans	20
Net Charge-offs	20
Net Charge-offs on Residential Real Estate Loans	21
Net Charge-offs on Commercial Real Estate Loans	21
Net Charge-offs on Commercial and Industrial (C&I) Loans	22
Net Charge-offs on Consumer Loans	22
Net Charge-offs on Other Loans	23
Loan Loss Provisions	23
Loan Loss Reserves	24
Loan Loss Reserves, Percent of Non-performing Loans	24
4. Loan Performance: Detail	
Non-performing Residential Real Estate Loans, Detail	25
Net Charge-offs on Residential Real Estate Loans, Detail	25
Non-performing Construction Loans, Detail	26
Net Charge-offs on Construction Loans, Detail	26
Non-performing Non-Farm, Other Domestic Real Estate Loans, Detail	27
Net Charge-offs on Non-Farm, Other Domestic Real Estate Loans, Detail	27
Non-performing Consumer Loans, Detail	28
Net Charge-offs on Consumer Loans, Detail	28
Non-performing Other Real Estate Loans, Detail	29
Net Charge-offs on Other Real Estate Loans, Detail	29

Non-performing Loans to Foreign Institutions, Detail	30
Net Charge-offs on Loans to Foreign Institutions, Detail	30
Non-performing Other Loans, Detail	31
Net Charge-offs on Other Loans, Detail	31
5. Capital Adequacy and Asset Growth	
CET1 and Tier 1 Common Equity Ratio	32
Tier 1 Capital Ratio	33
Total Capital Ratio	33
Leverage Ratio	34
Asset Growth Rate	35
Loan Growth Rate	35
Domestic Deposit Growth Rate	36
Risk-Weighted Assets Ratio	36
Industry Concentration	37
6. Consolidated Financial Statistics for the Fifty Largest BHCs and Banks	38
Notes and Caveats	
Methodology	39
Caveats and Limitations	40
Data Notes	43

1. Composition of Banking Industry Assets and Liabilities



Balance Sheet Composition



Balance Sheet Composition, Percent of Assets, Current Quarter




Balance Sheet Composition, Percent of Assets




Federal Funds Sold and Purchased

Federal funds sold and purchased in domestic offices as % of total assets




Repurchase Agreements

Repurchase agreements as % of total assets




Note: These charts begin in 2002:Q1 because data for repurchase agreements and federal funds are not consistently reported separately prior to that date.


Loans




Residential Real Estate Loans




Commercial Real Estate Loans



Consumer Loans




Other Loans



Trading Assets and Liabilities


% of Total Assets



Note: The subcomponents of trading assets and liabilities in the above chart only represent banks and BHCs that reported total trading assets of \$10 million or more in any of the four preceding quarters, and \$2 million or more in average trading assets in any of the four preceding quarters prior to 2018:Q2.


Securities Portfolios

% of Total Assets




Note: Chart measures debt and equity securities portfolios. Thus, trading portfolio excludes other types of trading assets such as whole loans and derivatives.

Available-for-Sale Securities




Held-to-Maturity Securities



2. Earnings and Pre-Provision Net Revenue


Return on Assets

Annualized net income as % of total assets




Return on Equity


Annualized net income as % of equity




Net Interest Margin



Noninterest Income Ratio




Noninterest Income Share



Note: Net operating revenue is defined as net interest income plus noninterest income.


Return on Trading Assets

Annualized trading income as % of trading assets




Non-Trading Noninterest Income Ratio


Annualized non-trading noninterest income as % of total assets



Efficiency Ratio



Noninterest Expense Ratio




Note: Net operating revenue is defined as net interest income plus noninterest income.

3. Loan Performance


Note: Non-performing loans include loans that are (1) 90 days or more past due and still accruing or (2) non-accrual. The adoption of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB)'s new "current expected credit losses" (CECL) methodology for estimating allowances for credit losses may have influenced the calculation of loan loss provisions and loan loss reserves. In addition, Section 4013 of the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security (CARES) Act and the Interagency Statements on Loan Modifications issued on March 22 and April 7, 2020, as well as the ASU No. 2022-02, "Financial Instruments - Credit Losses (Topic 326): Troubled Debt Restructurings and Vintage Disclosures", issued by FASB in March 2022, may have impacted the calculation of loan loss provisions, loan loss reserves, non-performing loans, and net charge-offs. See "Caveats and Limitations" for details.

Non-performing Loans




Non-performing Residential Real Estate Loans

Non-performing residential real estate loans as % of residential real estate loans




Non-performing Commercial Real Estate Loans

Non-performing commercial real estate loans as % of commercial real estate loans




Non-performing Commercial and Industrial (C&I) Loans

Non-performing C&I loans as % of C&I loans




Non-performing Consumer Loans

Non-performing consumer loans as % of consumer loans




Non-performing Other Loans

Non-performing other loans as % of other loans




Net Charge-offs

Annualized net charge-offs as % of total loans




Net Charge-offs on Residential Real Estate Loans

Annualized net charge-offs on residential real estate loans as % of residential real estate loans




Net Charge-offs on Commercial Real Estate Loans


Annualized net charge-offs on commercial real estate loans as % of commercial real estate loans



Net Charge-offs on Commercial and Industrial (C&I) Loans




Net Charge-offs on Consumer Loans




Net Charge-offs on Other Loans

Annualized net charge-offs on other loans as % of other loans




Loan Loss Provisions

Annualized loan loss provisions as % of total loans




Loan Loss Reserves

Loan Loss Reserves as % of total loans



Loan Loss Reserves, Percent of Non-performing Loans

Loan loss reserves as % of non-performing loans




4. Loan Performance: Detail

A. Residential Real Estate Loans


Non-performing Residential Real Estate Loans, Detail

Total non-performing residential real estate loans as % of total residential real estate loans




Net Charge-offs on Residential Real Estate Loans, Detail

Annualized net charge-offs on residential real estate loans as % of total residential real estate loans




B. Commercial Real Estate Loans

Non-performing Construction Loans, Detail




Net Charge-offs on Construction Loans, Detail




Non-performing Non-Farm, Other Domestic Real Estate Loans, Detail

Total non-performing non-farm, other domestic real estate loans as % of total non-farm, other domestic real estate loans



Net Charge-offs on Non-Farm, Other Domestic Real Estate Loans, Detail


Annualized net charge-offs on non-farm, other domestic real estate loans as % of total non-farm, other domestic real estate loans



C. Consumer Loans


Non-performing Consumer Loans, Detail

Total non-performing consumer loans as % of total consumer loans



Net Charge-offs on Consumer Loans, Detail


Annualized net charge-offs on consumer loans as % of total consumer loans



D. All Other Loans


Non-performing Other Real Estate Loans, Detail

Total non-performing other real estate loans as % of total other real estate loans




Net Charge-offs on Other Real Estate Loans, Detail


Annualized net charge-offs on other real estate loans as % of total other real estate loans




Non-performing Loans to Foreign Institutions, Detail




Net Charge-offs on Loans to Foreign Institutions, Detail



Non-performing Other Loans, Detail




Net Charge-offs on Other Loans, Detail



5. Capital Adequacy and Asset Growth


Note: CET1, tier 1 and total capital is reported instead of the components of tier 1 common equity and tier 1 and total risk-based capital by advanced approaches firms starting in 2014:Q1, and by all other firms starting in 2015:Q1, causing series breaks in some capital ratios in those quarters. Changes in the measurement of RWA starting in 2013:Q1 and 2015:Q1 also affect measurement of risk-weighted capital ratios and the ratio of RWA to total assets starting in those quarters. See "Caveats and Limitations" for details. See data notes for definition of tier 1 common equity.

CET1 and Tier 1 Common Equity Ratio




Tier 1 Capital Ratio

Tier 1 capital as % of risk-weighted assets




Total Capital Ratio

Total capital as % of risk-weighted assets




Leverage Ratio




Note: Asset, loan and deposit growth rates presented below are affected by mergers with nonbanking firms and conversions to and from a BHC charter during the sample period. For example, this affects the year-over-year asset growth rate between 2009:Q1 and 2009:Q4 due to the entry of several new firms in 2009:Q1, and between 2016:Q3 and 2017:Q2 due to the introduction of several IHCs in 2016:Q3. See "Caveats and Limitations" for details.


Asset Growth Rate




Loan Growth Rate



Domestic Deposit Growth Rate




Risk-Weighted Assets Ratio



Note: Chart starts in 1996:Q1 because data for risk-weighted assets are not reported prior to that date. Values of the ratio are affected by changes in the definition of risk weighted assets over time, particularly in 2013:Q1 and 2015:Q1. See "Caveats and Limitations" for details.

Industry Concentration

Assets of the 5, 10, and 50 largest firms as % of total industry assets



Financial Statistics for Large BHCs and Banks
2023Q3

Rank	Name of Institution	Total Assets (Bil USD)	Quarterly Net Income (Mil USD)	Profitability		Capital Adequacy Ratios (%)		
				Annualized Return on Assets	Annualized Return on Equity	CET1 Ratio	Tier 1 Capital Ratio	Total Capital Ratio
1	JPMORGAN CHASE & CO	3898.33	13151.00	1.35	16.57	14.29	15.87	17.78
2	BANK OF AMER CORP	3153.09	7802.00	0.99	10.87	11.90	13.64	15.38
3	CITIGROUP	2368.48	3546.00	0.60	6.77	13.59	15.40	17.93
4	WELLS FARGO & CO	1909.26	5767.00	1.21	12.76	11.01	12.55	15.26
5	GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP THE	1577.15	2058.00	0.52	7.02	14.83	16.46	18.74
6	MORGAN STANLEY	1169.01	2408.00	0.82	9.71	15.58	17.55	19.96
7	U S BC	668.04	1523.00	0.91	11.47	9.66	11.23	13.36
8	PNC FNCL SVC GROUP	557.36	1554.23	1.12	12.57	9.83	11.53	13.36
9	TRUIST FC	542.71	1177.00	0.87	7.61	9.86	11.42	13.46
10	TD GRP US HOLDS LLC	511.77	948.47	0.74	7.15	17.33	17.33	18.56
11	CAPITAL ONE FC	471.43	1790.65	1.52	13.35	12.98	14.31	16.21
12	BANK OF NY MELLON CORP	405.25	1038.00	1.02	10.14	11.91	15.06	16.08
13	BMO FNCL CORP	291.08	329.24	0.45	4.10	10.25	10.89	12.66
14	STATE STREET CORP	284.42	422.00	0.59	7.15	11.02	12.69	13.97
15	AMERICAN EXPRESS CO	250.59	2451.00	3.91	35.88	10.72	11.48	13.39
16	CITIZENS FNCL GRP	225.64	430.91	0.76	7.53	10.41	11.55	13.42
17	HSBC N AMER HOLDS	224.50	129.94	0.23	3.79	12.08	13.82	15.93
18	FIRST CITIZENS BSHRS	213.77	751.90	1.41	14.75	13.24	13.83	15.64
19	FIFTH THIRD BC	212.97	660.00	1.24	15.96	9.80	11.06	13.13
20	M&T BK CORP	209.12	689.94	1.32	10.53	10.95	12.27	13.98
21	UBS AMERS HOLD LLC	196.50	50.49	0.10	0.83	14.37	21.43	21.73
22	ALLY FNCL	195.70	296.00	0.60	9.23	9.31	10.71	12.49
23	KEYCORP	187.99	303.17	0.65	9.08	9.83	11.43	13.82
24	HUNTINGTON BSHRS	186.65	547.27	1.17	11.84	10.10	11.87	14.11
25	BARCLAYS US LLC	180.86	76.00	0.17	1.85	13.74	15.23	17.06
26	RBC US GRP HOLDS LLC	169.04	-1625.47	-3.85	-28.90	15.68	15.68	16.38
27	SANTANDER HOLDS USA	165.65	119.75	0.29	2.60	12.82	14.23	16.26
28	REGIONS FC	154.22	490.00	1.27	12.17	10.29	11.60	13.37
29	NORTHERN TR CORP	146.33	327.80	0.90	11.07	11.45	12.41	14.51
30	DISCOVER FS	143.43	682.62	1.90	19.18	11.61	12.45	14.08
31	NEW YORK CMNTY BC	111.23	206.56	0.74	7.52	9.59	10.17	11.97
32	DB USA CORP	110.34	75.00	0.27	2.32	26.95	34.21	34.25
33	ZIONS BC NA	87.27	174.72	0.80	13.15	10.21	10.87	12.76
34	COMERICA	85.91	251.00	1.17	20.19	10.80	11.30	13.17
35	FIRST HORIZON CORP	82.54	137.45	0.67	6.47	11.12	12.12	13.63
36	RAYMOND JAMES FNCL	78.36	433.83	2.21	16.99	21.23	21.40	22.81
37	WEBSTER FNCL CORP	73.13	226.48	1.24	11.05	11.12	11.64	13.79
38	MIZUHO AMERS LLC	72.11	205.00	1.14	13.29	17.14	17.14	17.22
39	WESTERN ALLI BC	70.89	216.64	1.22	15.08	10.56	11.28	13.49
40	POPULAR	69.74	137.00	0.79	12.29	16.81	16.87	18.67
41	CIBC BC USA	69.60	197.83	1.14	7.24	15.39	15.39	16.65
42	EAST WEST BC	68.29	287.74	1.69	17.45	13.30	13.30	14.73
43	VALLEY NAT BC	61.18	141.35	0.92	8.53	9.21	9.64	11.68
44	SYNOVUS FC	59.34	97.10	0.65	8.56	10.13	11.18	13.12
45	BNP PARIBAS USA	58.63	59.88	0.41	4.10	19.61	19.61	19.61
46	WINTRUST FC	55.55	164.20	1.18	13.09	9.34	10.18	12.04
47	COLUMBIA BKG SYS	51.99	135.85	1.05	11.73	9.49	9.49	11.63
48	OLD NAT BC	49.06	147.88	1.21	11.29	10.41	11.06	12.32
49	BOK FC	48.97	134.50	1.10	11.18	12.06	12.07	13.16
50	CULLEN/FROST BKR	48.79	155.65	1.28	20.76	13.32	13.81	15.28
TOTALS**	TOP 50 (BHCS AND BANKS)	22283.25	53481.52	0.96	10.65	12.44	13.93	15.93
	ALL INSTITUTIONS (BHCS AND BANKS)	27312.58	66087.14	0.97	10.46	13.12	14.41	15.87

* There is one non-BHC in the Top 50: Zions Bancorporation National Association. The Top 50 excludes non-typical institutions (see Data Note 3).

** For the industry net income and capital adequacy ratios, we sum the numerator and denominator across individual firms and then compute ratios.

Notes and Caveats

Methodology

The data used to construct the statistics in this report are drawn from the quarterly Consolidated Financial Statements for Bank Holding Companies (FR Y-9C), and Consolidated Reports of Condition and Income for commercial banks (FFIEC 031 and 041). Reported statistics are defined in a time-consistent way across reporting form vintages.

To calculate the “all institutions” quarterly series, we aggregate the data for top-tier holding companies, including US bank holding companies (BHCs), Intermediate Holding Company (IHC) subsidiaries of foreign banking organizations,³ commercial banks owned by BHCs that are too small to file Y-9C reports (the current reporting threshold is \$3bn of total assets)⁴, and unaffiliated (stand-alone) commercial banks not owned by a BHC. We identify “top-tier” BHCs or IHCs (i.e. the U.S. parent entity) via the National Information Center (NIC, <http://www.ffiec.gov/nicpubweb/nicweb/nichome.aspx>), which provides data on firm attributes and structure. We identify commercial banks that are standalone firms or are owned by small BHCs by identifying all US commercial banks whose high holder does not submit a FR Y-9C report.

Separate statistics are also reported in the Quarterly Trends report for the subset of BHCs with greater than \$750 billion in total assets as of the current quarter, for the subset of BHCs or IHCs with \$50 - \$750 billion in total assets in the current quarter, and for the remainder of the industry. For consistency, time-series graphs for the “>\$750bn” and “\$50-\$750bn” groups are in general prepared on a pro forma (merger-adjusted) basis; specifically, on the basis that all BHCs acquired by each of these firms over the sample period with US regulatory filings are part of the consolidated BHC from the start of the historical time period. Data values of acquired BHCs are then summed with acquirer data in the period before the acquisition. Merger events are identified using the NIC transformations table maintained by the Federal Reserve Board of Governors. After constructing the pro forma series for each firm, we aggregate the data to create the “BHCs >\$750bn” and the “BHCs \$50-\$750bn” series. Finally, the “all other banks and BHCs” quarterly series is constructed by subtracting the “BHCs > \$750bn” and “BHCs \$50-\$750bn” series from the “all institutions” series.

³ The term “foreign-banking organization” generally refers to a foreign bank that (1) operates a branch, agency, or commercial lending company subsidiary in the United States; (2) controls a bank in the United States; or (3) controls an Edge corporation acquired after March 5, 1987. The term also includes any company of which such a foreign bank is a subsidiary. See 12 C.F.R. § 211.11(o).

⁴ The asset size threshold was increased from \$1bn to \$3bn effective September 2018 (2018Q3). Because we include both banks and BHCs in our aggregate calculations, this did not affect our report in a significant way.

Caveats and Limitations

Statistics in this report are presented “as is,” based on calculations conducted by Federal Reserve Bank of New York research staff. While significant efforts have been made to ensure accuracy, the statistics presented here may be subject to future revisions, for example because of changes or improvements in the “pro forma” methodology used to calculate statistics for industry subgroups.

We highlight a number of important caveats and limitations of the statistics presented here:

- Statistics exclude financial firms that are not either commercial banks or part of a commercial bank holding company or IHC. This creates discontinuities in the time-series graphs when nonbanking firms are acquired or sold by banks or BHCs, or when firms switch to or from a bank or BHC charter. For example, in 2009:Q1, Goldman Sachs, Morgan Stanley, Ally Financial, and American Express each began filing a FR Y-9C due to the conversion of each of these firms to a commercial banking holding company charter. This largely accounts for the sharp 13% increase in total measured industry assets in 2009:Q1, and a corresponding discontinuous upward shift in the industry asset growth rate during 2009. Similarly, four large IHCs enter the data sample in 2016:Q3 (Credit Suisse Holdings, Deutsche Bank USA, UBS Americas, and Barclays US) and other firms consolidate nonbanking assets under their designated IHC over the course of 2016. This causes industry assets to rise by approximately 4% in 2016:Q3, and has smaller effects on industry assets in earlier quarters.
- For the same reason, only 4 of the 6 BHCs in the BHCs > \$750bn group exist in the data for the entire sample period from 1991:Q1 onwards: JPMorgan Chase, Bank of America, Wells Fargo, and Citigroup. Goldman Sachs and Morgan Stanley enter the sample in 2009:Q1.
- Flow variables in bank and BHC regulatory filings are reported on a year-to-date basis. Quarterly flow variables are derived by “quarterizing” the data, that is, by subtracting the variable at time t-1 from the variable at time t for Q2, Q3, and Q4 of each calendar year. This quarterization procedure can create discontinuities when a bank or BHC enters the sample any time other than in Q1. To account for this, we average the value of flow variables for mid-year entrants using up to four subsequent consecutive quarters of data to generate a usable data point for the quarter of entry. If an institution is in the sample for only one quarter, we drop the flow variables from the firm’s quarter of entry from the sample.

- Due to data limitations, industry statistics exclude nonbank subsidiaries of small BHCs that do not file a FR Y-9C (currently the FR Y-9C is filed only by firms with \$3bn in total assets, although this reporting threshold has changed over time). The effect of this exclusion on industry statistics is expected to be minor, however, since small BHCs generally do not have large nonbank subsidiaries.
- In the first quarter of 2010, banking organizations were required to transfer certain off-balance sheet items onto their balance sheets under FASB 166 and 167. These guidelines substantially affected loan balances, as large amounts of securitized loans were transferred onto bank balance sheets for accounting purposes. This accounting change caused an increase in year-over-year growth rates of loans and total assets during this period.
- The implementation of the Basel II.5 US market risk rule in 2013:Q1 affects the measurement of risk-weighted assets beginning in that quarter.
- As part of the transition to the Basel III capital framework, during 2014, advanced approaches holding companies commenced filing Part 1.B of schedule HC-R of the Y-9C, and no longer filed Part 1.A of this schedule. (Part 1.A of schedule HC-R was still filed by non-advanced-approaches firms). One consequence of this reporting change was that advanced approaches firms no longer reported the components used to calculate tier 1 common equity, and instead reported common equity tier 1 (CET1). The change in reporting also affected other capitalization measures such as tier 1 capital. This report presents capital ratios that combine the capital reported by firms in Part 1.A and Part 1.B during 2014. It does not attempt to adjust measured capital ratios to account for the methodological differences between these two measures. Beginning in 2015:Q1, all remaining firms began reporting regulatory capital under the Basel III framework. Consequently, Part 1.A of the schedule HC-R has now been retired, and Part 1.B of this schedule has been renamed as Part 1.

In addition, in 2015:Q1 firms commenced reporting risk weighted assets according to Basel III definitions rather than Basel I definitions.

The relevant figures presented in this report represent a combination of Basel I and Basel III capital and risk weighted asset measures, depending on which measure is available for each firm at each point in time. No attempt is made to adjust these measures for comparability. As a result, these series are subject to structural breaks due to the changes in reporting definitions described above. This for example accounts for the sharp increase in the ratio of risk weighted assets to total assets observed in 2015:Q1.

- In 2020:Q1, some non-advanced approaches firms began to implement a simplified capital rule to calculate CET1 in Schedule HC-R Part II. This is reflected in the calculation of aggregate CET1 and related ratios.
- Starting on January 1, 2020, 260 firms that are public companies, representing 84% of total banking assets, began to adopt the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB)'s new "current expected credit losses" (CECL) methodology for estimating allowances for credit losses. This affects the calculation of loan loss provisions and loan loss reserves. The 2020 CECL adopters had the option to delay transitioning the effects of the adoption of CECL on their regulatory capital for 2 years, followed by a 3-year phase in period that is expected to be completed by the end of 2024. The remainder of all firms were obliged to adopt CECL as of January 1, 2023. The 2023 CECL adopters do not have the option of the two-year delay, but will still have the option to phase in the initial impact of CECL adoption on their regulatory capital ratios over a three-year period beginning in the quarter of CECL adoption.
- Section 4013 of the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security (CARES) Act and the Interagency Statements on Loan Modifications issued on March 22 and April 7, 2020, allowed banks to suspend the recognition of certain loan modifications as troubled debt restructurings (TDRs) during the applicable period under the CARES Act as extended by the Consolidated Appropriations (CA) Act (i.e., between March 1, 2020, and January 1, 2022). In addition, the ASU No. 2022-02, "Financial Instruments - Credit Losses (Topic 326): Troubled Debt Restructurings and Vintage Disclosures", issued by FASB in March 2022 eliminated the recognition of trouble debt restructurings for banks that have adopted the "current expected credit losses" (CECL) methodology for estimating allowances for credit losses. This was reflected in the calculation of loan loss provisions, loan loss reserves, non-performing loans, net charge-offs, and risk-weighted assets effective January 1, 2023.
- The interim final rules of March 23, 2020, and April 13, 2020, and the final rule of October 28, 2020, adopted by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (together, the agencies), allowed banking organizations to neutralize the regulatory capital effects of participating in the Money Market Mutual Fund Liquidity Facility (MMLF) and the Paycheck Protection Program Liquidity Facility (PPPLF). In addition, Paycheck Protection Program loans received a zero percent risk weight under the agencies' regulatory capital rules. This may have impacted the calculation of risk-weighted assets and the leverage ratio.

Data Notes

1. As of 2020Q1, the largest asset group used for statistics reported by BHC size was raised from a threshold of \$500bn to \$750bn to reflect the changing nature of the banking industry. The six BHCs previously reported in the >\$500bn group remain the same in the new >\$750bn set (J.P. Morgan Chase, Bank of America, Citigroup, Wells Fargo, Goldman Sachs, and Morgan Stanley). In general, the subsets of BHCs with statistics reported by asset totals remain consistent throughout time in this report.
2. The definition of tier 1 common equity for BHCs used for this report is: tier 1 common equity = tier 1 capital – perpetual preferred stock and related surplus + nonqualifying perpetual preferred stock – qualifying Class A noncontrolling (minority) interests in consolidated subsidiaries – qualifying restricted core capital elements (other than cumulative perpetual preferred stock) – qualifying mandatory convertible preferred securities of internationally active bank holding companies. The definition of tier 1 common equity for banks is: tier 1 common equity = tier 1 capital – perpetual preferred stock and related surplus + nonqualifying perpetual preferred stock – qualifying noncontrolling (minority) interests in consolidated subsidiaries.
3. Some FR Y-9C and call report filers deemed to be non-typical of the US commercial banking industry are excluded from the historical data sample used to construct the Quarterly Trends report, including ICE Trust U.S. LLC, The Depository Trust Company, UBS Bank USA, John Hancock and MetLife Inc.