Executive Summary

  - Includes the Anti-Money Laundering Act (“AMLA”) and the Corporate Transparency Act (“CTA”)
  - Most significant revisions to U.S. anti-money laundering / countering the financing of terrorism (“AML/CFT”) laws since USA PATRIOT Act of 2001
- CTA introduces broad beneficial ownership disclosure requirements that will affect U.S. companies across industries and sectors
  - Response to longstanding criticism of U.S. for insufficient corporate transparency
- Other key features of AMLA:
  - Foreign bank subpoena power | Whistleblower program | Digital asset/cryptocurrency provisions
Three Key Takeaways

1. **Immediate impacts of the AMLA and CTA are limited**: numerous rulemakings are required to implement
   - Statute calls for action in next 12-18 months
   - Early indications suggest Treasury will act sooner, though effective dates likely to be deferred
   - Important provisions are self-executing (e.g., foreign bank subpoena power, whistleblower program)

2. **Biden administration appointees will have substantial influence** over ultimate regulations
   - Designee for key position not yet confirmed: Treasury Undersecretary for Terrorism and Financial Intelligence

3. **More significant impacts on AML programs** forthcoming
   - AML program will need to accommodate national priorities
   - CDD rule revisions to address new national registry
CREATION OF NATIONAL CORPORATE REGISTRY

• CTA requires “reporting companies” to disclose beneficial ownership information either:
  • at formation, for new entities; or
  • two years after implementing regulations, for existing companies
    - Information is to be stored and retained in a FinCEN database pursuant to “appropriate protocols” to protect “the security and confidentiality of information provided”

• Reporting mechanics and database design subject to future rulemaking

• “Beneficial owners” are individual(s):
  - “exercis(ing) substantial control” or
  - owning 25% or more of the ownership interests of an entity
WHAT ARE “REPORTING COMPANIES”? 

- U.S. Corporation
- U.S. Limited Liability Company
- “Other Entity” Formed by Filing with Secretary of State or Tribal Authority
- Foreign Entity Registered to do Business in U.S.
REPORTING EXEMPTIONS

• The CTA exempts 24 entity types from the definition of "reporting company"
  – Beneficial ownership information need not be submitted to FinCEN for these
  – FinCEN may impose a process to claim exemptions and/or provide additional exemptions
    – Standard for reliance on exemption and liability for misrepresenting eligibility not clear

Select Examples:

• issuers of securities registered under Exchange Act Section 12 or required to file information under Section 15(d)
• banks, bank holding companies or money transmitters
• broker-dealers, investment advisers, exchanges and clearing agencies or other SEC/CFTC registered entities
  – e.g., futures commission merchants, introducing brokers, swap dealers, FX dealers
EXPANSIVE AUTHORITY TO SEEK FOREIGN BANK RECORDS

• AMLA empowers the Treasury Secretary or Attorney General to seek production of “any records” relating to “any account” of a foreign bank that maintains a correspondent account in the United States
  - Records located abroad are susceptible to subpoena
  - No nexus to the bank’s U.S. correspondent account is required

• Failure to comply carries various penalties, including loss of access to banking services in the U.S.
• Objections based on conflict with local data privacy or other laws are prohibited
  - A Hobson’s choice for foreign banks?
Whistleblower Provisions

BSA WHISTLEBLOWER PROGRAM

- Includes substantial financial incentives:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Previous Program</th>
<th>New Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Discretionary award capped at lesser of 25% of the resulting financial penalty or $150,000.</td>
<td>Mandatory award of up to 30% of funds collected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Statute also provides:
  - Expanded definition of eligible whistleblowers, including employees (e.g., internal compliance) who report misconduct internally; and
  - Enhanced protections from employer retaliation for whistleblowing complaints

- SEC whistleblower program established under Dodd-Frank was model for these provisions
MODERNIZING THE BSA TO INCORPORATE DIGITAL ASSETS

- AMLA broadens and updates the Bank Secrecy Act and the U.S. AML/CFT framework by:
  - Codifying FinCEN guidance related to digital currencies
  - Expanding and modifying BSA definitions to encompass “value that substitutes for currency.”
- Potentially removes ambiguity as to whether certain market participants must register with FinCEN as money services business
- Supports reporting and recordkeeping requirements for transactions involving certain types of digital currencies as proposed by FinCEN earlier in 2020
Implementation: What to Expect

TIMELINE OF KEY AGENCY ACTIONS*

June 30, 2021
- Publication of National AML/CFT priorities
- Assessment and proposed rules regarding FinCEN no-action process

Dec. 26, 2021
- BSA program proposed rule for antiquities dealers
- Implementing regulations on incorporation of national priorities into AML/CFT programs

Dec. 31, 2021
- Beneficial ownership reporting and FinCEN Corporate registry implementing regulations
- SAR/CTR thresholds report and/or proposed rule
- Final Rule on pilot program for SAR sharing with foreign affiliates
- Report on Treasury review of BSA regulations and guidance
- National FinTech Assessment

No deadline or contingent on earlier action
- CDD Rule Revisions
- BSA Whistleblower Program Rule
- Rules on protection of information shared through FinCEN Exchange
- Reports on efficacy of beneficial ownership reporting rules, exemptions and international criticism
- Appointment of BSA Innovation Officers

* Statutory deadlines; action may occur sooner or later
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