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Agenda

- 1:00 – 1:15 pm: Welcome and Introductions; Purpose of Forum and Ground Rules
- 1:15 – 1:45 pm: IHS Markit
- 1:45 – 2:15 pm: Professors Antje Berndt and Darrell Duffie
- 2:15 – 2:45 pm: ICE Benchmark Administration
- 2:45 – 3:15 pm: Bloomberg
- 3:15 – 3:45 pm: American Financial Exchange
- 3:45 – 4:00 pm: Wrap Up and Closing Remarks
Purpose of the Forum

- The purpose of this Forum is to bring together a diverse set of banks and borrowers involved in prior Credit Sensitivity Group workshops, reference rate administrators, and other relevant parties to highlight areas of innovation underway in reference rates for commercial lending, particularly those with a credit-sensitive element.

- This Forum is not intended to facilitate or result in a recommendation of any particular products, services, or approaches. Participation by the official sector participants does not constitute an endorsement or recommendation of any rate discussed.

- The materials presented today are solely the responsibility of the presenting organizations and the statements in those materials, including any data, have not been verified by the official sector participants in the Forum.

- Opinions expressed or statements made by official sector staff during today’s Forum are solely those of the individual and do not necessarily reflect the views of their agency.
Ground Rules for the Day

- A video recording of the entire event, including all presentation materials and a list of participants, will be made publicly available on the FRBNY website.

- Participants should not disclose any confidential or commercially sensitive information during today’s Forum.

- The Forum is not intended, and should not be used, to facilitate any collective agreement or the adoption of any specific rate(s) or term(s) by participants.

- Participants should not make statements purporting to describe any conclusions of the Forum participants as a whole, or implying that the Forum constitutes an endorsement of any potential rate that may be discussed.
This Forum is intended to serve a public purpose and to be pro-competitive. However, participants must be mindful of their obligation to observe applicable antitrust laws.

By participating, all participants are agreeing to observe the antitrust guidelines that have been provided in advance of the Forum.

Those guidelines are intended to assist participants to ensure their conduct is consistent with law, but each participant is individually responsible for his or her own conduct.

Participants should police themselves, and should raise questions about and report suspected violations of the Antitrust Guidelines to an FRBNY attorney or an attorney for their respective firms. Anonymous reporting is also available using the FRBNY’s Integrity Hotline: (877) 52-FRBNY.
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1. IHS Markit Overview and Relevant Capabilities
2. Product Overview
3. Methodology
4. Historical Performance
5. IOSCO Principles for Financial Benchmarks
6. Expected Use
7. Questions
About Us

IHS Markit is a world leader in critical information, analytics and expertise to forge solutions for the major industries and markets that drive economies worldwide.

We enable our clients to improve efficiency and make better decisions through next-generation information, analytics and solutions.

IHS Markit

Market Cap $36bn
Revenue $4.4bn
Employees 15,000
S&P500 Company
NYSE “INFO”
## Relevant Expertise

Unique data, index and technology capabilities coupled with a robust compliance framework

### Data and Pricing
- Market leading credit and loan franchises.
- Over 23 million market data points gathered, reviewed and processed daily.
- More than 11mm valuations produced for OTC and cash positions per month, and more than 35,000 private asset valuations per year.

### Indices
- Our fixed income pricing powers important fixed income and credit indices that serve as key market benchmarks, including the iBoxx index franchise, across US, Europe, Asia and emerging markets.
- More than USD175 billion+ in ETFs linked to iBoxx indices, and USD1.5 trillion+ OTC derivative notional traded monthly.

### Technology
- Advanced custom-built technologies across asset classes enable us to ingest, organize and model data to create the highest-quality datasets and products.
- Robust business continuity processes and platform redundancies to ensure consistency and timeliness of outputs.

### Benchmark Administration
- FCA authorized Benchmark Administrator under the European Benchmark Regulation.
- Established governance and oversight structure.
- Significant experience and expertise in the administration of both proprietary and 3rd party benchmarks across all asset classes.
Product Overview

The IHS Markit Credit Spread Adjustment is designed to be a broad-based measure of average marginal funding spreads for banking institutions funding in U.S. Dollars, in institutional markets, on a senior unsecured basis.

Thus, allowing for the adjustment of “secured” reference rates to include a credit risk component.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inputs</th>
<th>Certificate of deposit, commercial paper, corporate bond transactions &amp; indicative price quotes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Filtering</td>
<td>Institution type, transaction size, coupon range, issuance size, days to maturity and various bond types/characteristics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methodology</td>
<td>Bucketing and Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thresholds</td>
<td>Transaction count thresholds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data cleaning</td>
<td>Bucket level outlier testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fallback</td>
<td>Indicative price quotes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limits</td>
<td>Issuer caps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currency</td>
<td>U.S. Dollar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenors</td>
<td>1M, 3M, 6M, 12M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publish time</td>
<td>8 a.m. Eastern</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Process Overview

Data filtering thresholds have been chosen to ensure appropriate benchmarks during the entire 5-year test period including stressed market conditions in March 2020.

Multiple curve fitting algorithms have been tested, and after considering various aspects of a sound and stable methodology, particularly: simplicity, transparency, accuracy, and consistency we narrowed in on a median approach.

- Filter data per static and dynamic thresholds
- Bucket across the four tenors: 1M, 3M, 6M, 12M
- Outlier test for each bucket: two standard deviations
- Days to maturity weighted median for each bucket
- Linear weighted average over last 5 days for each tenor
- Credit spread adjustment by subtracting SOFR swap rates
- Weight per source to normalize for change in source data
- Fall Back on indicative price quotes
Historical Performance

5 year historical performance

IHS Markit USD Credit Spread Adjustment: 3M (Jan 2015 - March 2020)

- Chinese Equity Markets / Yuan Devaluation
- Money Market Reform
- Tax reform
- China Trade War
- Oil Collapse
- COVID-19

Source: IHS Markit

© 2020 IHS Markit
Historical Performance
5 year eligible transaction counts, indicative price counts and trade volume

Eligible Transaction Count per Day (Jan 2015 - March 2020)

Eligible Bond Count per Day (Jan 2015 - March 2020)

Eligible Indicative Price Quote Count per Day (Jan 2015 - March 2020)

Total Trade Volume per Day (Jan 2015 - March 2020)

Source: IHS Markit, DTCC, FINRA
© 2020 IHS Markit
IOSCO Principles for Financial Benchmarks
All IHS Markit benchmarks are administered in accordance with IOSCO principles

IOSCO Principles Compliance

The Principles represent a set of practices that should be implemented by Benchmark Administrators and Submitters, including benchmark governance, quality, and accountability mechanisms.

IHS Markit operates in accordance with those principles across all indices administered. The USD Credit Spread Adjustment benchmark will be no exception.

In addition to IOSCO Principles, IHS Markit also complies with BMR rules to its index franchise.

EU Benchmark Regulation (BMR)

- IMBA UK (IHS Markit Benchmark Administration Ltd., FCA authorized since 07/2018).
- IMBA EU (Markit N.V., AFM authorized since 11/2019).
- Continuity in referencing iBoxx indices and other IHS Markit indices under BMR.
- IHS Markit is an early adopter committed to apply BMR protocols ahead of the transition period ending in 2020.
- IHS Markit is recorded on the ESMA Register of Benchmark Administrators.
Expected Use
A dynamic credit sensitive benchmark for the institutional markets

**A Dynamic Credit Sensitive Benchmark**

- Provides exposure to funding spreads for banking institutions funding in U.S. Dollars, in institutional markets, on a senior unsecured basis.
- Ensures banks are compensated, via higher lending rates at times when bank costs of funding rise, to protect interest margins.
- Updated daily and reflective of market conditions for the standard 1M, 3M, 6M and 12M tenors.

**Expected Use Cases**

- Minimizing value transfer on legacy USD LIBOR positions at LIBOR cessation date, as an add-on to SOFR and an alternative to more static fallback rates.
- In new loan issuances, combined with SOFR compounded, averaged or term SOFR, as well as other benchmarks lacking a dynamic credit component.
- Does not impede the adoption of SOFR as the benchmark recommended by the ARRC Committee as a replacement for USD LIBOR.
Questions & Comments

Thank you for your time and input.
Across-the-Curve Credit Spread Benchmarks

Antje Berndt    Darrell Duffie    Yichao Zhu
ANU            Stanford         ANU
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Credit spread benchmark: Across the curve

1. A credit spread benchmark should be robust, representative, and flexible to changes in bank funding patterns.

2. A successful credit spread benchmark is likely to underly substantial derivatives trade.

3. These criteria suggest combining a broad set of transactions from across the maturity curve.

4. Using TRACE and other data, we first estimate average wholesale unsecured funding spreads within each maturity bucket out to 5 years, and then average across maturity buckets by issuance.

5. The composition of unsecured issuance volumes across maturities changes substantially over time.
An average of short term and long term spreads

Figure: The black line is a roughly estimated across-the-curve credit spread index (AXI), constructed as the simple average of (a) weighted average long-term spreads (1-5 year bond spreads, TRACE data) and (b) weighted average short-term spreads, using data from ICE Benchmark Administration on wholesale deposits, CP, and CD primary issuances of a panel of 14 banks, restricted to issuances over $10 million and maturities under 250 days. Short-term spreads are weighted by average issuance and a rough estimate of average maturity.
Figure: In red, the spread of 3-month LIBOR over 3-month SOFR, compounded in arrears. In blue, AXI scaled by $B_{3\text{mo}} = 26/84$, which is the ratio of the mean of LIBOR-SOFR over the indicated sample period (26 bps) to the mean of AXI over the same sample period (84 bps). Bank loans or floating-rate notes linked to AXI would have floating interest payments every $n$ months of the contractual form

$$R = \text{SOFR}_n + B_n \times \text{AXI} + \text{borrower fixed spread}$$
Across-the-curve credit spread index (AXI) construction

- AXI is designed to measure the recent cost of U.S. dollar wholesale unsecured debt funding for publicly listed U.S. BHCs and commercial banks:

\[ AXI = \sum_m q_m s_m, \]

where, for each maturity bucket \( m \),

- \( s_m \): volume-wgtd median credit spread across secondary mkt trades in trailing month
- \( q_m \): fraction in maturity bucket \( m \) of the total issuance in the previous year

- For maturities of one year or longer, included instruments are senior unsecured corporate debentures, MTNs, or MTZs. To achieve a level of homogeneity, we exclude foreign currency, private placement, convertible, exchangeable, perpetual, unit deal, defaulted, Rule 144a, putable, Yankee, or Canadian bonds.

- For money-market maturities, such as 1 day, 1 month, 3 months, and 6 months, include issues such as CP, wholesale CDs, Eurodollar deposits, and federal funds borrowings of U.S. banks and BHCs, with trailing issuance amounts replaced for weighting purposes with current outstanding amounts, scaled as desired.
Monthly transaction volumes (1-5 year maturities)

Figure: Transactions volumes by month and maturity range (TRACE, transactions above $250K)
Figure: Transaction-volume-weighted credit spreads of U.S. banks and BHCs, by maturity range, and the associated interquartile range of spreads. Underlying data: TRACE, transactions above $250K.
Figure: Trailing annual issuance (principal amt) in each maturity range. Underlying data: TRACE.
From AXI to FXI

Figure: In addition to the long-term component of the AXI, the figure shows the analogous long-term component of the Financial Conditions Credit Spread Index (FXI) that includes all corporate bonds.
Monthly transaction volumes by issuer type

Figure: Transaction volumes by month for BHCs and commercial banks (Banks), other financials and non-financials. Underlying data: TRACE, transaction above $250K.
Concluding remarks

- AXI is a measure of the recent cost of wholesale unsecured debt funding for publicly listed U.S. BHCs and their commercial banking subsidiaries.

- The index is a weighted average of credit spreads for unsecured debt instruments with maturities ranging from overnight to five years, with weights that reflect
  - Secondary market transaction volumes, and
  - Primary market issuance volumes

- Data filters strike a balance between (i) basing spread index computations on a homogeneous set of bonds and (ii) retaining as many observations as possible.

- Incorporating transaction data for non-bank issuers scales up the dollar volume of covered transactions by a factor of nearly 5. The resulting FXI spreads are highly correlated with AXI spreads, especially over the past few years.
Constructing a Credit Sensitive Supplement to SOFR – The Bank Yield Index

ICE Benchmark Administration
Timothy J. Bowler, President
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Background

• U.S. dollar LIBOR has been widely used in lending transactions over the past thirty years.

• Lenders and borrowers have generally expressed comfort in the economic premise behind U.S. dollar LIBOR, as the benchmark:
  • Allows lenders to extend credit based upon marginal unsecured bank funding cost; while
  • Facilitating competitive credit markets where the borrower does not need to take its specific lender’s, or a small group of lenders’, cost of funds risk.

• The transition to risk free rates has raised questions on the risks related to moving away from LIBOR to overnight rates and, in the case of U.S. dollars, a secured overnight rate for lending arrangements.

• For lenders these risks include a potential:
  • Divergence between realized marginal funding cost and the yields on overnight rates; and
  • Increased usage in undrawn liquidity facilities; particularly during a period of stress.

• For borrowers these risks include a potentially:
  • Less competitive lending market; and
  • Reduced access to undrawn lending facilities.
Rationale for a credit sensitive supplement to SOFR

Risk free rates (e.g. UST & SOFR) and marginal unsecured bank borrowing costs are different and can diverge.
Rationale for a credit sensitive supplement to SOFR

Money market credit spreads are correlated with term debt spreads paid by banks for bond financing

- The chart above shows the new issue spreads to either U.S. Treasuries or SOFR paid by U.S. and International banks for new term unsecured U.S. dollar bonds (left hand axis) compared to the spread between 3M LIBOR and T-Bill yields (right hand axis).

- The chart demonstrates that these banks’ marginal funding cost is correlated to risk premiums associated with LIBOR or other unsecured benchmarks that measure bank funding cost.
Available transaction based data sets

- Primary money market transactions in unsecured bank liabilities:
  - **Institutional Certificates of Deposit (CDs)**
  - **Commercial Paper (CP)**
  - **Wholesale unsecured deposits**
    - Short dated primary market issuance of unsecured bonds

- Secondary market transactions in unsecured bank liabilities:
  - **Unsecured bonds that have rolled down the yield curve**
  - Secondary transactions in CDs and CP

- Transactions in Credit Default Swaps (CDS)

A subset of the data sets in **bold above** has been used by IBA to evaluate a potential supplement to SOFR through the creation of the Bank Yield Index.
Data set used by IBA to explore a potential supplement to SOFR

Review of daily data sourced to build the Bank Yield Index test rates since December 2017

Note – Funding transactions are primary unsecured money market borrowings ≥/$10MM in notional from fourteen global banks and the bond transactions are secondary money market trades in the unsecured debt of thirty internationally active banks that are ≥/$5MM in notional (block transactions)
Data set used by IBA to explore a potential supplement to SOFR

Rolling five day average of data sourced to build the Bank Yield Index test rates since December 2017

Note – Funding transactions are primary unsecured money market borrowings =/>$10MM in notional from fourteen global banks and the bond transactions are secondary money market trades in the unsecured debt of thirty internationally active banks that are =/> $5MM in notional (block transactions)
Data set used by IBA to explore a potential supplement to SOFR

Composition of funding data
Building a supplement to SOFR – The Bank Yield Index

IBA collates bank funding transaction data ($10MM or greater) and secondary market transaction data ($5MM or greater), and calculates the Bank Yield Index. This involves:

1. Aggregation of eligible transactions over initial five day window; increasing the window if necessary to meet minimum aggregate trade count and volume thresholds (currently $15B and 100 discrete transactions);
   - IBA chose a five day window to ensure a diverse and robust data set that reflects average bank yields
   - Minimum funding volumes and transaction counts are set to ensure a representative benchmark

2. Calculation of a fitted unsecured bank yield curve using a regression analysis across all eligible data points;

3. Review of transaction data points against fitted curve to identify extreme outliers for exclusion (currently any trades over 200bps above or below the curve are excluded), and the curve fitting is repeated using the remaining transactions; and

4. Determination of 1M, 3M and 6M Index rates from the fitted bank yield curve.

© Copyright 2020 ICE Benchmark Administration Limited

1 IBA also uses minimum trade count thresholds for various maturity buckets across the yield curve. Further detail can be found on the IBA website here: https://www.theice.com/publicdocs/IBA_US_Dollar_ICE_Bank_Yield_Index_Fourth_Update.pdf
The dots in the chart are transaction data points sourced over 5 business days (Nov 2 to Nov 6) which are used to derive a best-fit bank yield curve. From this yield curve one-month, three-month and six-month points can be determined (red circles) and used as the Index settings.

USD ICE Bank Yield Index: Nov 06, 2020

1M BYI Yield: 0.17%
3M BYI Yield: 0.23%
6M BYI Yield: 0.26%
Bank Yield Index – Correlation with other indexes

Comparison of test results to U.S. dollar LIBOR and Treasury Yields

**USD ICE Bank Yield Index comparisons : 3M**

- **ICE BYI 3M**
- **LIBOR 3M**
- **US Treasury 3M**

Average ICE BYI 3M: 1.52%
Average LIBOR 3M: 1.53%
Average US Treasury 3M: 1.54%

**USD ICE Bank Yield Index comparisons : 1M**

- **ICE BYI 1M**
- **LIBOR 1M**
- **US Treasury 1M**

Average ICE BYI 1M: 1.65%
Average LIBOR 1M: 1.65%
Average US Treasury 1M: 1.50%

Test results are updated daily at [https://www.theice.com/iba/Bank-Yield-Index-Test-Rates](https://www.theice.com/iba/Bank-Yield-Index-Test-Rates)
• The Bank Yield Index methodology leverages a rolling five days of input data in order to anchor the proposed benchmark in transactions.

• To ensure a representative and diverse set of transactional input is used, IBA sets minimum volume and transaction count thresholds:
  • Minimum total funding volume threshold: $15B
  • Minimum transaction count across the money market curve: 100
  • Minimum transaction count by key tenor buckets:
    • 5 - 44 Days: 30
    • 45 – 119 Days: 30
    • 120 – 249 Days: 20
    • 250+ Days: 20

• If these thresholds are not met, IBA uses look back day(s) to add data (i.e. add one or more previous days’ data) to meet the requirement.

• In three years of testing, 1.2% of calculations have extended to six days of input data. There has not been a need to add more than one day of “look-back” data.

• IBA is exploring an incremental contingency methodology that would incorporate third party valuations and associated yields on unsecured bank bonds in the event that transactional activity dries up for a prolonged period.
Bank Yield Index – Spread based supplement to SOFR

The Bank Yield Index can also be calculated as a spread to SOFR rates (SOFR+)

- Collate the transactions over a rolling collection window, as in the methodology.
- Determine a Transaction Credit Spread for each transaction by subtracting the contemporaneous risk free market rate (e.g. Term SOFR¹ for the same day) from the unsecured bank debt yields observed (i.e. determine the credit spread).
- Create a fitted credit spread curve to the data points. (Blue line on chart)
- Determine Bank Yield Credit Spreads from the fitted yield curve. (Red circles on the chart)
- Add the Credit Spreads to the current term risk free rate (e.g. Term SOFR today) to determine the Bank Yield Index.
- *This realized spread to risk free rates can also be added to compounded and in arrears SOFR calculations.*

¹ Indicative implied forward-looking SOFR term rates produced by IBA for testing purposes
Bank Yield Index spreads to Term SOFR¹ Yields - 2020

¹ Indicative implied forward-looking SOFR term rates produced by IBA for testing purposes
Compliance with all 19 IOSCO Principles

The Index is designed to comply with all 19 of the IOSCO Principles for Financial Benchmarks across the 4 four broad categories

A. Governance
- Sole responsibility for all aspects of the benchmark determination by IBA
- Independent IBA Board
- Dedicated Oversight Committee to be established

B. Quality of the Benchmark
- Open and transparent methodology based on transactions; no exercise of expert judgement
- Pre-publication verification and post-publication surveillance, and
- Operational contingency procedures are in place

IOSCO Principle categories:

A. Governance
B. Quality of the Benchmarks
C. Quality of the Methodologies
D. Accountability
Compliance with IOSCO Principles (continued)

The Index is designed to comply with all 19 of the IOSCO Principles for Financial Benchmarks across the 4 four broad categories

C. Quality of the Methodology

- Clear criteria for including and excluding unsecured bank transactional data
- Stringent arrangements to safeguard data integrity
- A Code of Conduct to be kept under review by the Oversight Committee, and
- Consultations will be used before any material changes to the methodology

D. Accountability

- Comprehensive control framework, policies and procedures
- Annual schedule of internal and external audits to assess the benchmark
- Open and co-operative liaison with market regulators and central banks

IOSCO Principle categories:

A. Governance
B. Quality of the Benchmarks
C. Quality of the Methodologies
D. Accountability
Bank Yield Index - Next Steps

1. Engage with stakeholders to seek advice on key aspects of the Bank Yield Index, including:
   - Input data used, including whether Bank Holding Company (BHC) level debt should be used and how to best create a nexus to SOFR rates;
   - Should both yield and spread settings be produced;
   - Should term settings be produced or just one average spread across the money market curve;
   - Time period used to calculate the rates (i.e. is the rolling 5-day window appropriate or should a shorter or longer window be used).

2. Update the Bank Yield Index methodology based upon feedback received from stakeholders.

3. Obtain commitments from banks (LIBOR Panel Banks and non LIBOR Panel banks over time) to provide their funding data to IBA on a daily basis to build the Index.

4. Once steps 1-3 are complete, establish a U.S. domicile from which the Bank Yield Index would be produced on an on-going basis.
Bank Yield Index - Summary

• The transition to risk free rates from U.S. dollar LIBOR raises potential risks, including:
  • For lenders, a potential divergence between realized marginal funding cost and the yields on overnight rates, and of increased usage in undrawn liquidity facilities; and
  • For borrowers, potential for a less competitive lending market and reduced access to undrawn lending facilities.

• The Bank Yield Index is a forward-looking, credit and liquidity sensitive benchmark developed as a potential replacement for LIBOR for lending activity. It is compliant with the IOSCO Principles and the EU and UK BMR. It has been tested for three years.

• The Index settings (e.g. three months) are calculated using a fitted unsecured bank yield curve built on transaction based input data over a rolling five day window. The transaction based input data currently being used is:
  • Primary money market transactions of $10MM or greater in unsecured bank liabilities; and
  • Secondary market transactions of $5MM or greater in unsecured bank level bonds. (Note – IBA is asking for feedback from stakeholders if bank holding company (BHC) level debt should be used as well)

• The Index settings can be produced on a yield basis or a spread to implied term SOFR rates (SOFR+).

• IBA will launch the Index once it receives sufficient commitments from banks to provide their primary market funding data to IBA on an on-going basis.

Test results are updated daily at https://www.theice.com/iba/Bank-Yield-Index-Test-Rates
Appendix
Bank Yield Index spreads to Treasury Yields

BYI spread over Constant Maturity Treasury (CMT) by Tenor

Average BYI-Constant Maturity Treasury (CMT) Spread, compared to 3 Month LIBOR-3 Month CMT Spread
Data set used by IBA to explore a potential supplement to SOFR

Split between funding and bond data

**Note** – Funding transactions are primary unsecured money market borrowings ≥/>$10MM in notional from fourteen global banks and the bond transactions are secondary money market trades in the unsecured debt of thirty internationally active banks that are ≥/> $5MM in notional (block transactions)
About Intercontinental Exchange

Intercontinental Exchange (NYSE: ICE) is a Fortune 500 company formed in the year 2000 to modernize markets. ICE serves customers by operating the exchanges, clearing houses and information services they rely upon to invest, trade and manage risk across global financial and commodity markets. A leader in market data, ICE Data Services serves the information and connectivity needs across virtually all asset classes. As the parent company of the New York Stock Exchange, the company is the premier venue for raising capital in the world, driving economic growth and transforming markets.

Trademarks of ICE and/or its affiliates include Intercontinental Exchange, ICE, ICE block design, NYSE and New York Stock Exchange. Information regarding additional trademarks and intellectual property rights of Intercontinental Exchange, Inc. and/or its affiliates is located at http://www.intercontinentalexchange.com/terms-of-use. Key Information Documents for certain products covered by the EU Packaged Retail and Insurance-based Investment Products Regulation can be accessed on the relevant exchange website under the heading “Key Information Documents (KIDS).”

Safe Harbor Statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 -- Statements in this press release regarding ICE’s business that are not historical facts are "forward-looking statements" that involve risks and uncertainties. For a discussion of additional risks and uncertainties, which could cause actual results to differ from those contained in the forward-looking statements, see ICE’s Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) filings, including, but not limited to, the risk factors in ICE’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2019, as filed with the SEC on February 6, 2020.
ICE Benchmark Administration Limited (IBA) is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority for the regulated activity of administering a benchmark, and is authorised as a benchmark administrator under the EU Benchmarks Regulation (Regulation (EU) 2016/1011 of 8 June 2016). ICE, LIBOR, ICE LIBOR, ICE Swap Rate and ICE Benchmark Administration are trademarks of Intercontinental Exchange, Inc. (ICE) and/or its affiliates. All rights in these trademarks are reserved and none of these rights may be used without a written licence from ICE and/or its affiliates, as applicable.

The contents of this presentation, all associated data and information and all discussions in connection with it should not be disclosed, transmitted, distributed or disseminated, either directly or indirectly through any third parties, to any person or entity without the express written consent of IBA. Any person receiving this presentation in error should inform IBA immediately, destroy and disregard this presentation and not disclose, share, use or rely on it in any way. The information and data contained herein constitutes valuable information and property owned by IBA, its affiliates, licensors and/or other relevant third parties. ICE and IBA reserve all rights in the methodologies (patent pending) and information and data disclosed in this presentation, and in the copyright in this presentation. None of these rights may be used without a written licence from ICE and/or its affiliates, as applicable.

This presentation is not, and should not be taken as or relied upon as constituting, financial, investment, legal, tax, regulatory or any other form of advice, recommendation or assurance. Data and outputs relating to the ICE bank yield index are provided for information and illustration purposes only, might not be accurate or reliable and may not be used for any other purpose. In particular, they are not currently intended for use as, and IBA expressly prohibits their use as, an index by reference to which the amount payable under a financial instrument or a financial contract, or the value of a financial instrument, is determined, or as an index that is used to measure the performance of an investment fund with the purpose of tracking the return of such index or of defining the asset allocation of a portfolio or of computing the performance fees. Such outputs should not be used as a benchmark within the meaning of the EU Benchmarks Regulation or otherwise.

The ICE bank yield index methodologies disclosed in this presentation are subject to changes in response to feedback from market participants and other stakeholders and IBA's further development work, which might alter the information and data shown in this presentation. There is no guarantee that IBA will continue to test the ICE bank yield index, be able to source data to derive the index or publish the index in the future. Users of LIBOR should not rely on the potential publication of the ICE bank yield index when developing and executing transition or fallback plans.

None of IBA, ICE, or any of its or their affiliates accepts any responsibility or will be liable in contract or tort (including negligence), for breach of statutory duty or nuisance, for misrepresentation or under antitrust laws or otherwise, or in respect of any damage, expense or other loss you may suffer arising out of or in connection with the information and data contained in or related to this presentation or any use that you may make of it or any reliance you may place upon it. All implied terms, conditions and warranties and liabilities in relation to the information and data are hereby excluded to the fullest extent permitted by law. None of IBA, ICE or any of its or their affiliates excludes or limits liability for fraud or fraudulent misrepresentation or death or personal injury caused by negligence.

Trace Reporting and Compliance Engine and TRACE are trademarks of Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. (FINRA), in the US and/or other countries. All rights reserved. See http://www.finra.org/industry/trace for further details regarding TRACE. The U.S. Dollar ICE Bank Yield Index is not associated with, or endorsed or sponsored by, FINRA.

SOFR is published by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York (New York Fed) and is used in this presentation subject to New York Fed Terms of Use for Select Rate Data (available at https://www.newyorkfed.org/markets/reference-rates-terms-of-use). New York Fed has no liability for your use of the data contained in this presentation. The ICE bank yield index is not associated with, endorsed or sponsored by the New York Fed.
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The Bloomberg Short-Term Bank Yield Index (BSBY)

BSBY measures the average yields at which large, global banks access USD unsecured wholesale funding. It is dynamic, credit sensitive and reflects marginal funding cost at O/N, 1M, 3M, 6M and 12M tenors.

• BSBY is based on aggregated anonymized data anchored in transactions in CP, CD and bank deposits sourced from Bloomberg electronic trading solutions along with corporate bonds trade data from TRACE.

• The index is based on transaction related data and does not rely on subjective inputs in its calculation.

• BSBY is intended to provide the loan market with rates which can be used to supplement SOFR.

• The index is currently being published on an indicative basis for illustration and feedback purposes only. We will be ensuring compliance with the high standards of the EU benchmarks regulation and the best practices set forth in the IOSCO Principles for Financial Benchmarks.
The Four ‘R’s of BSBY

**The essential building blocks of Bloomberg Short-term Bank Yield Index**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Representative</th>
<th>Robust</th>
<th>Responsive</th>
<th>Resilient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| • Can we build an index which represents the marginal funding cost of large global banks?  
• Can we accurately calculate representative rates across the term structure? | • Is there sufficient transaction related activity in the money market across various tenors?  
• Can we construct an index that is not susceptible to data manipulations? | • Can the index be sensitive enough to reflect the day to day changes in wholesale funding market? | • Can we provide a methodology to ensure the index representativeness and accuracy in periods of market stress? |
Construction – The Ingredients

- BSBY sources transaction-related data from primary markets for USD bank deposits, commercial paper, and certificates of deposits and secondary market trades for bank bonds.

- BSBY uses CP, CD, ECP, ECD, YCD, bank deposits and short term bank bonds data.

- BSBY is constructed from a combination of transactions and firm executable offers.

- The aggregated anonymized resulting dataset will then go through a filtering criteria underpinned by a governance framework that will be reviewed on a periodic basis by BISL’s oversight committee.
Construction – The Ingredients

- Contribution by instrument type for each BSBY tenor (Dec 2017 – Oct 2020)

Average Instrument Type Contribution to the BSBY Tenors

- Corporate Bonds
- YCD
- CD
- CP
- Deposits
Construction - Recipe

Bloomberg Index Services Limited

Curve Fitting
- Weighting
- Outlier Trimming
- Localized Linear Regressions

Robust

Volume Threshold Checks

Fallback Logic

Final Verification

Bloomberg Execution Solutions
- CP
- CD

Deposit Rates

Bank Bonds

Data Checks & QC

Filtering Criteria

Source
- Aggregate
- Anonymize

Benchmark Production

Publication

O/N
1M
3M
6M
12M
Construction - Recipe

• The BSBY rates are generated through a **localized trimmed methodology** where the algorithm seeks to fit a curve locally around each of the tenors.

• The issuer eligible dataset is **filtered** by days-to-maturity and only contributes to the calculation if their maturities fall within a defined date range.
Construction - Recipe

- To ensure representativeness with a given tenor corridor:
  - Each transaction and executable quote volume is capped at **500MM**.
  - A given bank’s contribution cannot exceed **20%**.

- Outlier yield data points are trimmed at **75%** and **25%** volume quantiles.

- The published BSBY rate is result of **volume weighted** linear regression to account for term structure.

---

**Diagram:**

Transaction data for BSBY 6M rate trimmed at the 25% and 75% percentiles.
Transaction Volumes

• The BSBY rates are based on instruments that have averaged more than $55 billion in daily transactions over the past three years and $70+ billion when executable quotes are included.

• BSBY ensures a minimum volume threshold per tenor.
BSBY Volume Per Tenor

O/N Volume in Billions

1M Volume in Billions

3M Volume in Billions

6M Volume in Billions
Benchmark Integrity and Validation

- In a hypothetical scenario, instruments from two of our top five contributing banks adjust, in unison, the yields of their instruments in an effort to impact the rates: (median impact of -0.85 bps for -20 bps shift and 0.35 bps for 20 bps shift).

- The Index governance framework ensures that the oversight committee will regularly review large idiosyncratic moves to further increase the index robustness.
Back-test of BSBY 1M

• In a three year back-test, BSBY was reactive to day to day interest rate changes and funding costs and strongly correlated with 1M LIBOR.
Back-test of BSBY 3M

- In a three year back-test, BSBY 3M was dynamic and proved to be a leading indicator in periods of market stress.
Fallback Logic

- Each BSBY rate must be generated from robust transaction-based volumes.

- In the event that the minimum volume threshold per tenor is not met, BSBY construction algorithm relies on a fallback process by first expanding the corridor size around the tenor with low volume.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ticker</th>
<th>Days to Maturity</th>
<th>Standard Window</th>
<th>Expanded Window</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Start</td>
<td>End</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSBYON</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSBY1M</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSBY3M</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSBY6M</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSBY12M</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- If the minimum threshold is still not met, BSBY uses transactions from a supplemental list of banks with similar credit quality (A1/P1) to the original list.
Conclusion and Future Steps

BSBY aims to represent the average rates at which global banks access the USD wholesale, unsecured funding market at the O/N, 1M, 3M, 6M and 12M tenors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accessing BSBY</th>
<th>Next Steps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• BSBY is published at 8:00 am ET on non-holiday US business days.</td>
<td>• Calculate BSBY as credit spread to term SOFR as it becomes available.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Available on the Bloomberg Terminal backfilled to 12/21/2017 for illustrative purposes only.</td>
<td>• Potential alternate formulations being researched:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Tickers are BSBYON, BSBY1M, BSBY3M, BSBY6M and BSBY12M.</td>
<td>• Expanding the eligibility criteria to include non-financial corporations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Displayed on the BTMM&lt;GO&gt;, USSW&lt;GO&gt;.</td>
<td>• Using a rolling window to aggregate transaction volume to improve smoothness and resiliency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• BSOF&lt;GO&gt; function displays credit spread of BSBY to SOFR.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Disclaimer

Notwithstanding anything in the 'Introducing the Bloomberg Short-Term Bank Yield Index (BSBY)' Presentation to the contrary, the information included in this Presentation is for informational purposes only. Bloomberg Finance L.P. and/or its affiliates (as applicable, "Bloomberg") makes no guarantee as to the adequacy, correctness or completeness of, or make any representation or warranty (whether express or implied) with respect to this White Paper. To the maximum extent permitted by law, Bloomberg and its affiliates shall not be responsible for or have any liability for any injuries or damages arising out of or in connection with this White Paper. All the information provided in this White Paper is confidential and proprietary to Bloomberg. Customer may not share, reproduce, publish, distribute or communicate this White Paper or information of any kind relating to these responses to any company, third parties or persons other than within Customer and only to such persons on a need-to-know basis in connection with this review.

The BLOOMBERG TERMINAL service and Bloomberg data products (the “Services”) are owned and distributed by Bloomberg Finance L.P. (“BFLP”) except (i) in Argentina, Australia and certain jurisdictions in the Pacific islands, Bermuda, China, India, Japan, Korea and New Zealand, where Bloomberg L.P. and its subsidiaries (“BLP”) distribute these products, and (ii) in Singapore and the jurisdictions serviced by Bloomberg’s Singapore office, where a subsidiary of BFLP distributes these products. BLP provides BFLP and its subsidiaries with global marketing and operational support and service. Certain features, functions, products and services are available only to sophisticated investors and only where permitted. BFLP, BLP and their affiliates do not guarantee the accuracy of prices or other information in the Services. Nothing in the Services shall constitute or be construed as an offering of financial instruments by Bloomberg Finance L.P., BLP or their affiliates, or as investment advice or recommendations by Bloomberg Finance L.P., BLP or their affiliates of an investment strategy or whether or not to “buy”, “sell” or “hold” an investment. The following are trademarks and service marks of BFLP, a Delaware limited partnership, or its subsidiaries: BLOOMBERG, BLOOMBERG ANYWHERE, BLOOMBERG MARKETS, BLOOMBERG NEWS, BLOOMBERG PROFESSIONAL, BLOOMBERG TERMINAL and BLOOMBERG.COM.

Absence of any trademark or service mark from this list does not waive Bloomberg’s intellectual property rights in that name, mark or logo.

All rights reserved. © 2020 Bloomberg.
“Forum on ongoing innovation in reference rates for commercial lending”

AMERIBOR®
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Financial Innovation

1. Dutch East India Company – 1605
2. Osaka Rice Exchange – 1697
3. Chicago Board of Trade Wheat – 1848
5. Treasury Bond Futures – 1977
7. AMERIBOR® - 2011
AFX Timeline

• 2011 - CONCEIVED OF THE AMERICAN FINANCIAL EXCHANGE- A PEER TO PEER INTERBANK LENDING PLATFORM THAT WOULD PROVIDE THE BASIS FOR A TRANSACTION BASED INTEREST RATE BENCHMARK-AMERIBOR®

  ➢ 3 years before Fed convened ARRC to begin exploring alternatives
  ➢ 6 years before FCA moved to sunset LIBOR index by 2021
  ➢ 7 years before the ARRC settled on SOFR as a potential alternative

• 2012 – filed for a patent and trademark for a market-based interest rate benchmark in August 2012 – AMERIBOR®; published first paper as clarion call for a transaction-based interest rate benchmark (published by World Federation of Exchanges in September 2012)

• 2013-2014 – visited over 125 banks all over the country

• Partnered with Cboe in 2015. Advised by Rodge Cohen of Sullivan & Cromwell

• 2015 – launched AFX on Dec. 11, 2015
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>AMERIBOR®</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Only U.S. banks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Regulated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transparent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% transaction-based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOSCO compliant (confirmed by third party verification)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Need for a Truly U.S. National Benchmark

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FHFB Rates</th>
<th>Overnight as of September 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atlanta</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cincinnati</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Des Moines</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indianapolis</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pittsburgh</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topeka</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Institutional Framework

AFX BOARD OF MANAGERS
• Arthur L. Kelly, Lead Director; former lead independent Director of Northern Trust; former Board Member of Deere & Co., BASF and BMW
• Robert Albertson, Chief Strategist, MD Financial Services Group, Piper Sandler & Co.
• The Honorable J. Christopher Giancarlo, former Chairman of the U. S. Commodities Futures Trading Commissions (CFTC)
• Andy Lowenthal, Executive Vice President, Global Strategy, Cboe Global Markets
• The Honorable Carole L. Brookins*, former Executive Director of the World Bank

AFX Management

Cboe Global Markets
• Electronic platform hosting
• Operations
• Compliance & Market Surveillance
• Cboe Futures Exchange (CFE)

 RULEBOOK
Market Architecture
Rules and Regulations
(130 pgs)

*In memoriam
Rules and Committee Structure

- Committee on Compliance and Dispute Resolution
- Committee on AMERIBOR® Benchmark Oversight
- Committee on Trading and Market Operations
- Committee on Participation (Membership)
Price Mechanism Design

• National in scope to take advantage of succession of rolling regional economies (e.g. southeast vs northeast regional economic characteristics which impact loan growth, etc.)

• Regional rates (secured) vary by as much as thirty basis points in a current zero interest rate environment

• Lender-driven with three bilateral filters: counterparty, rate and quantity optionality thereby making each trade credit-adjusted

• Transparent Central Order Book (multiple parties and bids and offers)

• AFX utilizes Cboe (a federally-regulated exchange) as a third party services provider to perform market surveillance, regulatory, and compliance services. In this role, Cboe conducts surveillance of the trading on AFX, submits surveillance reports to AFX, reports deviations and infractions to AFX for any necessary Compliance Committee action, and acts as a record keeper of daily AFX exchange data.
Average Daily Outstanding Volume and AFX Membership Growth

Total All Products
As of October 1st, 2020

* In addition to the 205 AFX Members, there are more than 1,200 downstream banks through AFX’s correspondent bank lending program that have access to the AFX Platform, representing roughly a quarter of America’s banks.
WHAT IS AMERIBOR®

• The AFX facilitates the calculation of AMERIBOR®, the volume-weighted average of real, daily transactions in the AFX's overnight unsecured loan market. Each individual trade is filtered by counterparty, quantity and rate.

• Stable reference benchmark interest rate; 99.74% correlation to LIBOR

• AMERIBOR® independently determined to adhere to IOSCO’s 19 principles for financial benchmarks

• Contains a credit spread component based on unsecured loans in contrast to SOFR which is based on secured (i.e., collateralized) loans

• Represents the actual funding costs for approximately 25% of all US banks

• Optimizes asset-liability management; matches asset pricing & liability costs to a single common benchmark

• Cboe Futures Exchange (CFE), a Designated Contract Market (DCM), lists one-week, 30-day and 90-day futures contracts on AMERIBOR®.

• Trading on AMERIBOR® futures began on August 16, 2019
• Non-banks (insurance companies; broker-dealers; asset managers; and corporations – e.g. John Deere)

• AMERIBOR® commercial loans (e.g. Frost Bank)

• AMERIBOR® floating rate deposits (e.g. Promontory)

• AMERIBOR® subordinated debt (e.g. Signature Bank)

• AMERIBOR® interest rate swaps (in process)
30-Day Term LIBOR vs 30-Day Forward Looking Term AMERIBOR®

Correlation Coefficient: .9943
1. Bloomberg
2. CNBC
3. Thompson Reuters (Refinitiv)
4. Morningstar
5. MSCI
6. S&P
7. TraditionDATA
8. Quanthouse
9. QuoteMedia
10. SIX Financial
11. Savvis
12. Barchart
13. Ignite
14. Hanweck Associates
15. Devexperts
16. Activ Financial
# Diverse and Growing List of AFX MDI Members

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abacus Federal Savings Bank</td>
<td>New York, NY</td>
<td>Asian-American</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank of Hope</td>
<td>Los Angeles, CA</td>
<td>Asian-American</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citizens Trust Bank</td>
<td>Atlanta, Georgia</td>
<td>African-American</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unity National Bank</td>
<td>Houston, TX</td>
<td>African-American</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East West Bank</td>
<td>Pasadena, CA</td>
<td>Asian-American</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FirstBank of Puerto Rico</td>
<td>Santurce, PR</td>
<td>Hispanic-American</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lone Star National Bank</td>
<td>Pharr, TX</td>
<td>Hispanic-American</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific City Bank</td>
<td>Los Angeles, CA</td>
<td>Asian-American</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People's Bank of Seneca</td>
<td>Seneca, MO</td>
<td>Native-American</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>