College Fed Challenge 2020 – Maiden Lane Scoring Rubric

	4-5 pts	2-3 pts	0-1 pt	Score
Analysis	Economic conditions within the case study are summarized clearly with data driven conclusions.	Summary of economic conditions within the case study is provided, but conclusions do not obviously flow from data.	No summary of current economic conditions from the case study is provided, or the conclusion given has no relationship to the data presented.	
Indicator analysis	All case study economic indicators are analyzed correctly.	Some case study economic indicators are analyzed incorrectly, but the analysis does not detract from understanding.	Case study economic indicators are analyzed incorrectly, and the analysis detracts from understanding.	
Macroeconomic relationships	Macroeconomic relationships between the economic indicators in the case study are explained clearly and accurately.	While macroeconomic relationships between indicators are provided, the analysis is not clear.	Little to no discussion is given about the macroeconomic relationship between indicators.	

College Fed Challenge 2020 – Maiden Lane Scoring Rubric

	4-5 pts	2-3 pts	0-1 pt	Score
Dual Mandate	Both parts of the dual mandate are discussed. Data is presented which analyzes to both.	Both parts of the dual mandate are discussed, but the data presented is unclear for one or both of them.	Only one part of the dual mandate is discussed.	
Policy Recommendation	Policy recommendation is clearly stated, compelling, supports the dual mandate, and is consistent with the group's indicator analysis.	Policy recommendation is clearly stated and supports the dual mandate, but links are not explicit.	Policy recommendation is not clearly stated or does not clearly support the dual mandate.	

College Fed Challenge 2020 – Maiden Lane Scoring Rubric

	3-5 pts	0-2 pts	Score
Communication	Communication is clear. Charts are referenced explicitly during the presentation.	Communication is not clear or the group does not explicitly reference charts during the presentation.	
Teamwork	All members of the team contribute. Team huddling is not for excessively long periods of time.	Team member contributions are disproportionate or team huddles for excessively long periods of time.	