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Abstract

Liquidity hoarding by banks and extreme volatility of the fed funds rate have been widely seen as severely disrupting the interbank market and the broader financial system during the 2007-08 financial crisis. We develop a model with credit and liquidity frictions in the interbank market in which banks rationally hold excess reserves intraday and overnight as a precautionary measure to self-insure against liquidity shocks. The model may explain how intraday the fed funds rate often spiked above the discount rate and crashed to near zero during the crisis. These phenomena during the crisis are explained as the stark but natural and expected outcome of our general model of the interbank market, which also gives a broad explanation for previously documented stylized facts of the interbank market and new predictions of the market.

1 Introduction

“Cash-rich banks will hoard their money if they fear that the interbank market will cease to function, cutting them off from future supply.” Economist, August 12, 2007

During the 2007-08 financial crisis, banks have been perceived as hoarding liquidity and being very reluctant to lend on the interbank market. Figure A shows that banks'
excess reserves spiked to over $130 billion in October 2008. The fed funds rate in the interbank market traded at erratic extremes. Figure B shows that the effective funds, which is the average lending rate within the interbank market calculated here at five minute intervals, deviated from the fed funds rate that is targeted by the Federal Reserve FOMC within the last hour between 5:30pm and 6:30pm by extreme amounts from August 9 through December 10, 2007. The effective rate crashed more than 400 bps below target at the 5th percentile and spiked more than 100 bps above target at the 95th percentile. The extreme liquidity hoarding by banks and fed funds rate volatility has been seen as severely hampering the provision of credit and liquidity within the financial system and to the broader economy.

In this paper, we develop a model that shows how borrowing and lending frictions based on liquidity and credit constraints in the interbank market may cause banks to rationally hold large precautionary balances intraday and overnight as a precautionary measure for self-insurance reasons, and may cause extreme end-of-day fed funds rate deviations from target. We explain how liquidity and credit constraints may lead to extreme fed funds intraday deviations from target and sizable bank precautionary reserve balances, which may be described as “hoarding.”

The predictions of extreme fed funds rate volatility and bank reserves hoarding that may occur in a crisis arise from a more general theoretical model of interbank market frictions. The model also gives broader theoretical results about the effects of such interbank lending frictions during non-crisis times. We start by documenting empirically that many
small banks appear constrained from fully borrowing and lending in the interbank market. We develop a model showing that constrained banks self-insure against intraday liquidity shocks, which occur in the form of unexpected large-value payments that must be made same-day. These constrained banks, which we label as “small,” lend excess reserves to unconstrained banks, which we label as “large,” during the day after the initial liquidity payment shock is realized. Such lending enables small banks to efficiently self-insure against liquidity shocks earlier in the day. This result is a novel intraday-liquidity based explanation for the stylized fact in the literature that small banks are on average large net lenders to large banks in the fed funds market. But small banks continue to hold some precautionary balances through the end of the day to self-insure against late-day shocks. Aggregate reserve balances can become trapped at the end of the day in the account of the small banks if the payments shocks turn out to flow to the small banks, which implies that even large unconstrained banks need to hold precautionary balances. This further implies that the fed funds rate has greater fluctuations at day-end. The model shows that because precautionary reserves are held until all shocks are realized at day-end, that is the time when there are more limited spikes and crashes of the fed funds rate, even during non-crisis times. This more limited but still substantial volatility of the fed funds after 6pm is shown by the pre-8/9 5th and 95th percentile levels in Figure B.

The model’s stark results for bank precautionary reserves and extreme spikes and crashes intraday of the fed funds rate is particularly insightful for the recent credit crisis. Starting in August 2007, many banks realized that they had a dramatic increase in payment liquidity risk because of ABCP liquidity lines and in credit uncertainty because of sub-prime loan exposure. These banks faced great uncertainty and potential difficulty about borrowing in the interbank market, similar to the “small” constrained banks in the model. Additionally, after Lehman filed for bankruptcy and the money market Reserve Fund “broke the buck” in mid-September 2008, money market funds had hundreds of billions in redemptions, causing extreme uncertainty for intraday payment liquidity shocks for banks.

The model can explain that credit-constrained “small” banks hoarded precautionary reserve balances to self-insure against liquidity shocks and would be very reluctant to lend excess balances. This leads to “contagious hoarding,” in which “large” unconstrained
banks also hoard reserves. The model is consistent with an increase in overnight fed funds volume, and explains the extreme fed funds rate volatility of the fed funds rate trading at zero percent and above the discount rate. If large banks’ hoarded reserves are insufficient for late-day liquidity shocks, the fed funds rate spikes to the marginal cost of borrowing, which is (shadow value of) the discount rate. Alternatively, if hoarded reserves are in excess to liquidity needs late day, large banks “dump” reserves of the market and drive the fed funds rate down to the marginal value of excess overnight reserves, which is zero once banks have met their reserve requirements during a maintenance period.

The literature on the fed funds market suggests a few different explanations for the pattern of small banks lending to large banks. Ho and Saunders (1985) develop a model in which small banks prefer taking deposits to borrowing on the fed funds market because of risk aversion. An alternative explanation for the reliance on deposits by small banks are the results of Rose and Kolari (1985) whose empirical results suggest that small regional banks have lower deposit-taking costs as a result of local monopoly power. Allen, Peristiani, and Saunders (1989) document that larger banks are net purchasers of fed funds, consistent with the hypothesis of small banks having greater adverse selection problems in the market, while the same pattern of net purchases does not exist in the repo market, a collateralized market that overcomes some of the adverse selection problems of the fed funds market. Ashcraft and Bleakley (2005) document that privately-held banks appear to face financial constraints when borrowing in the federal funds market. Allen and Saunders (1986) give an explanation based on asymmetric information leading to adverse selection. Small banks’ size and location outside of money centers makes information on their credit quality more difficult to discover. They further examine the roles of multi-period contracts and relationships to partially resolve those adverse selection problems in the fed funds market. We take the inability of small banks to borrow in the fed funds market as an assumption. This friction plays out through the banks’ behavior in the fed funds market and in their choices of precautionary balance levels, which contrasts with Allen and Saunders (1986) who consider multi-period implicit contract remedies for the adverse selection problem.

A more recent literature examines the implementation of monetary policy based on partial equilibrium models of payments shocks to bank reserves. The general equilibrium
effect that the payments are received by other banks in the model is not considered. Reserves are held because of the payments shocks that all banks are subject to after trading in fed funds has ended and autonomous shocks to the supply of reserves held by banks that the Fed cannot fully offset. This literature includes Ennis and Weinberg (2007), Whitesell (2006a,b), Pérez-Quirós and Rodríguez-Mendizábal (2006) and Berentsen and Monnet (2007).

In contrast, we provide a general equilibrium model of bank reserves and the fed funds market with a richer model of time-of-day payment shocks. In addition our model focuses on the heterogeneity of banks and their behavior in the fed funds market. The liquidity shocks in our model are a result of payments owing between banks within a complete, closed system of banks in the model at different times of the day. By modeling multiple trading rounds in the fed funds market, we can address the dichotomy between low and high volatility periods of trading within the day, as well as the evolution of banks’ balances during the day, for which we also provide empirical evidence.

Section 2 gives empirical motivation for the model. Section 3 present and solves the model. The results of the model for precautionary reserves, bank lending and fed funds rate volatility are given in Section 4. Section 5 gives policy implications and conclusions.

2 Empirical Motivation

This section outlines some motivating facts for the model. Figures 1-7 for this section are in the Appendix. First, we highlight the importance of the federal funds market at the end of the business day. Figure 1 in the documents how the cross-sectional distribution of balances changes during the last 90 minutes of the business day. We focus on the top 100 accounts during all business days of 2005. At the start of this window (17:00), note that a significant fraction of banks have negative balances. These typically large institutions make use of intraday credit throughout the day. This credit is provided by the Federal Reserve for a small fee (measured as 36 basis points at an annual rate, adjusted for the duration of the credit as a percentage of the day) to promote the timely sending of payments. As the end of the business day (18:30) nears, reserves are reallocated from institutions with positive balances to banks with negative balances, largely through federal funds loans.
Figure 2 documents that the last hour of the day is a more volatile time for banks. The graph plots the federal funds interest rate volatility measured by the time series standard deviation of the dollar-weighted average federal funds rate over the previous thirty minutes. The sample refers to loans between the top 100 banks during 2005. It is clear from the figure that volatility starts to increase around 17:30 and has a significant spike at 18:20 when banks seem fairly certain of their end-of-day balances. Banks in need of reserves during this time are subject to a severe hold-up problem, as the penalty on an overnight overdraft is the effective federal funds rate plus 400 basis points.

Figure 3 illustrates the average propensity that a bank lends or borrows at least once during the day is related to its size. Here the sample refers to the approximately 700 banks that ever lend or borrow during the first two months of 2007. We measure size using percentiles of the cross-sectional distribution of average daily Fedwire send for the bank over this time period. While the smallest banks lend about one out of every five days, they rarely borrow (about 5 percent of business days). On the other hand, the largest decile of banks lends on about 8.5 out of every 10 days, and borrows on about 7.5 out of every 10. The key takeaway is that smaller institutions are less likely to borrow and lend across all states of nature.

Figure 4 focuses on the average propensity of the smallest banks to lend across different states of nature measured by the actual balance during different windows of the day. For each bank, we measure the percentiles of the distribution of balance at a given minute of the day across all days of the sample period. The point of using bank-specific distributions is to take into account the fact that different banks have different standards for what is normal at a given time of day. The figure documents that the smallest banks are most willing to lend in the 3pm to 5pm window, and that these institutes rarely lend during the last 90 minutes of the day. Moreover, the figure illustrates the natural phenomenon that banks are more likely to lend when faced when reserves are higher than normal. However, note that the willingness of these banks to lend is quite small, as only about 4 percent will lend during the 3pm to 5pm window when faced with the most favorable liquidity shock. These facts suggest that the smallest institutions withdraw from the federal funds market at the end of the day.

Figure 5 tells a much different story for the largest banks. While large banks are active
lenders during the 3pm to 5pm window, they are also active lenders during the last 90 minutes of the day when faced with a favorable reserve position. The graph documents that in contrast to the smallest banks, more than 50 percent of the largest banks with the most favorable reserve position will lend during the last 90 minutes of the day. Moreover, note that 20 percent of the largest banks facing the most adverse reserve position are willing to lend during this late period. Together, these facts suggest that large banks are active lenders throughout the business day.

Figure 6 documents the average propensity of the smallest banks to borrow across percentiles of the balance distribution for different time windows. The smallest banks typically borrow during the 3pm to 5pm window when the reserve position is in one of the two most adverse deciles. However, small banks also borrow during the last 90 minutes of the day, but only when faced with the tail of the reserve balance distribution. Note that the mean probability of borrowing is quite low for small banks, suggesting that reserve management is largely accomplished by holding large precautionary reserves and not through borrowing.

The mean frequency of borrowing for the largest banks across percentiles of the balance distribution is illustrated in Figure 7. Large banks borrow throughout the day, but do borrow the most when hit with an adverse reserve balance at the end of the day. Note that the means are much higher for the large banks. For example, 85 percent of banks hit with the worst reserve position during the last 90 minutes borrow. This suggests that federal funds trading is a key component of the reserve management strategy of large banks throughout the day.

3 Model

3.1 Environment

Banks hold reserves for precautionary reasons in the face of random intraday shocks to avoid being overdrawn at the end of the day. There are $L$ large banks called type ‘$l$’ and $S$ small banks called type ‘$s$’ and four periods $t \in \{1\text{pm}, 3\text{pm}, 6\text{pm}, 9\text{pm}\}$, abbreviated as $\{1, 3, 6, 9\}$. Banks receive payments shocks at $t \in \{3, 6\}$ that they must pay during the period. A bank can make any amount of payments intraday regardless of its reserve
balance, which abstracts from any fees or caps for intraday credit from the Fed. But if a bank is overdrawn at the end of the day, it must borrow from the discount window at a penalty rate.

The time periods are stylized and broadly represent the actual intraday events of the fed funds market. Period $t = 1$ represents morning and early afternoon transactions, before banks realized many payments shocks and when the Fed conducts open market operations using collateralized repos. Period $t = 3$ represents late afternoon when many liquidity shocks are realized. Period $t = 6$ represents the end-of-day when large liquidity shocks still potentially occur but when there is little time until 6:30pm, when the fed funds market and Fedwire closes for the day. The fed funds market is dominated by rapid trading by large money center banks allocating available reserves among themselves. Collateralized repo lending is not possible during the late day interbank market because of the time and cost for securities collateral delivery. However, we assume that large banks do not need collateralization because they have no credit constraints, and we show that small banks efficiently overcome non-collateralized borrowing constraints through self-insurance with precautionary reserves.

The model abstracts from reserve requirements. Many banks do not have binding reserve requirements because their vault cash is sufficient. Remaining reserve requirements imply that overnight reserves have a shadow value during the two-week maintenance period, and a more limited shadow value on the last day of the period. Up to 3\% of reserves in excess of requirements may count forward to the following period’s maintenance requirement. The model results are thus stylized and are mitigated by intra-maintenance period reserve smoothing and interperiod carryovers. During a crisis, increased demand for precautionary reserves met by the Fed may imply that banks are “locked-in,” or have reserve requirements satisfied earlier in the maintenance period. This implies that the model’s stark results for bank hoarding and rate spikes and crashes may be interpreted by literally, especially on day ten of the maintenance period. Also not considered are intraday overdraft fees of 36 bps per annum and caps, which may strengthen the effects of intraday precautionary reserves and rate volatility.

Positive values of the flow variables, payment shocks $p^i_t$ and fed funds loans $f^i_t$, represent outflows from banks, while negative values represent inflows. Discount window loans $w^i_6$
are always positive and represent inflows. The state variable $m_i^t$ represents the reserve balances held by bank $i$ entering period $t$.

**Timeline** The timeline is displayed in Figure C.

![Timeline Diagram]

Figure C: Timeline

1pm: Bank $i \in \{l, s\}$ holds $b_1^i \in \mathbb{R}$ bonds and $m_1^i \in \mathbb{R}$ Federal Reserve account balances at the start of the period. The Fed conducts open market operations (equivalent to a repo market) by buying and selling any amount of bonds to banks at a price of one and gross return that the Fed sets of $1 + R_b^t > 1$ at $t = 9$. The bank chooses $\Delta b_1^i \in \mathbb{R}$ bonds to buy.

3pm: Bank $i$ holds $b_3^i = b_1^i + \Delta b_1^i$ and $m_3^i = m_1^i - \Delta b_1^i$. Bank $l$ has a payment shock of $p_3^l$ to small banks and $p_3^s$ to other large banks. Bank $s$ has a payment shock of $p_3^s$ to large banks. For simplicity, bank $s$ has no payment shock to other small banks. (Bank $l$’s shocks to other large banks at $t = 1$ and $t = 3$ below are not required for any results). Banks may then trade on the fed funds market, in which prices are taken as given. Bank $s$ lends $f_3^s(R_3^s) \geq 0$ to large banks for a return due at $t = 9$ of $R_3^s$. Bank $l$ borrows $-f_3^l(R_3^s) \geq 0$ from small banks and lends $f_3^k(R_3^k) \in \mathbb{R}$ to other large banks.

6pm: Bank $l$ has a payment shock of $p_6^l$ to small banks and $p_6^k$ to other large banks. Bank $s$ has a payment shock of $p_6^s$ to large banks. Bank $l$ lends $f_3^k(R_6^k) \in \mathbb{R}$ in the fed funds market to other large banks. Bank $i \in \{l, s\}$ must borrow $w_6^i \geq 0$ from the Fed discount window for a return due at $t = 9$ of $R_6^w \geq R_1^i$, such that it’s balance at the end of the period is non-negative. $R_6^w$ is interpreted as the actual discount rate plus the shadow cost of stigma and potential restriction on future ability to borrow at the discount window.

---

$^2$We could equivalently assume bank $s$ does not trade during $t = 1$, and rather that $m_3^s$ is its steady-state level in a repeated game.
9pm: Period $t = 9$ can be considered as equivalent to occurring the next day before or at the beginning of the $t = 1$ period. Bank $l$ has payment shocks of $-(p_3^l + p_6^k)$ to small banks and $-(p_3^k + p_6^l)$ to other large banks. Bank $s$ has a payment shock of $p_9^s = -(p_3^s + p_6^g)$ to large banks. Bank $l$ has a payment of $-(1 + R_3^s)f_3^l - (1 + R_3^k)f_3^l - (1 + R_6^k)f_6^s$, and bank $s$ has a payment of $-(1 + R_3^s)f_3^s$, to repay funds. Bank $i$ makes a payment of $(1 + R_6^g)w_6^i$ to the Fed to repay its discount window loan, and the Fed redeems bonds to bank $i$ for $(1 + R_1^b)b_3^i$ in reserve balances (equivalent to trading longer-dated bonds for balances).

**Notation and distributions** To summarize the notation, lowercase variables generally denote individual bank values. An ‘$l$’ or ‘$s$’ superscript generally denotes a state variable for that bank type, a flow variable transaction from that bank type to the other bank type, or an interest rate $R_t^f$ involving transactions of bank type. A ‘$k$’ superscript generally denotes a flow variable or interest rate for transactions among large banks. Subscripts denote the period $t \in \{1, 3, 6, 9\}$.

For economy of notation, the superscript ‘$l$’, ‘$s$’ or ‘$k$’ that indicates a bank or transaction type is also used as the index number for summations, where $l \in \{1, \ldots, L\}$, $k \in \{1, \ldots, K\}$ and $s \in \{1, \ldots, S\}$. For each lowercase variable, its uppercase $P^i_t$, $F^i_t$, $M^i_t$ or $W^i_t$ denotes the sum for type $i$ at period $t$. For instance, $P^s_t = \sum_{s=1}^s p^s_i$ and $P^l_t = \sum_{l=1}^L p^l_i$ for $t \in \{3, 6\}$. Banks are competitive, so they take prices and aggregate quantities $F^i_t$ and $W^i_t$ as given. The aggregate payment shocks from small banks to large banks equals the aggregate payment shocks from large banks to small banks, implying $P^s_t = -P^l_t$. Aggregate payment shocks among large banks must aggregate to zero, implying $P^k_t = 0$ for $t \in \{3, 6\}$.

Payments shocks have zero mean, with a uniform distribution $p^l_i \sim U[-\overline{p}, \overline{p}]$, $i \in \{l, s\}$, and an unspecified distribution for $p^k_i$, for $t \in \{3, 6\}$. For simplicity, we assume that $P^l_i$ has a uniform distribution, where $P^l_i \sim U[-\overline{P}, \overline{P}]$, for $i \in \{l, s\}$ and $t = \{3, 6\}$. $\overline{P} = \gamma^l \overline{p}$ for $i \in \{l, s\}$, where $\gamma^l \in (0, L)$ and $\gamma^s \in (0, S)$, which implies that shocks for type $i \in \{l, s\}$ are not perfectly positively or negatively correlated.\footnote{It is natural to think of unexpected payments as having zero mean, because any expected payments would typically be funded by repos or fed funds traded in the morning fed funds market. The uniform distribution of $P^l_t$ is assumed for simplification and should not qualitatively effect the results. Consider the correlation of $p_t$ across all banks of a particular type $i \in \{l, s\}$ and period $t \in \{3, 6\}$. If the correlation is negative one, $P^l_t$ has a degenerate uniform distribution of $U[0, 0]$ and corresponds to the limiting case of $\gamma^l = 0$. If the correlation is one, $P^l_t$ has a uniform distribution of $U[-L\overline{p}, L\overline{p}]$ for $i = l$ and $U[-S\overline{p}, S\overline{p}]$.} Bank $i$ has combined liquid assets in...
the form of bonds and reserves greater that its potential payment shocks to other banks:
\[ m_i^1 + b_i^1 \geq 2\bar{p}_i^1 + \overline{p}_i^k \mathbf{1}_{i=s} \] for \( i \in \{l, s\} \).

### 3.2 Bank Optimizations and Results for the Fed Funds Rate

The bank \( i \in \{l, s\} \) optimization problem to maximize profits is as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\max_{A^i} & \quad E[\pi^i] \\
\text{s.t.} & \quad m_i^i \leq b_i^i + m_i^1 \\
& \quad -f_{3i}^1 \mathbf{1}_{i=l} + f_{3i}^s \mathbf{1}_{i=s} \geq 0 \\
& \quad w_i^s \geq 0 \\
& \quad m_i^i \geq 0.
\end{align*}
\]

For bank \( l \),

\[
\begin{align*}
m_6^l &= m_3^l - p_3^l - p_6^k - f_{3}^l - f_{3}^k \\
m_9^l &= m_6^l - p_6^l - f_{6}^k + w_6^l \\
\pi^l &= (1 + R_{1}^b) b_{3}^l + m_3^l - R_{6}^w w_6^l + R_{6}^k f_{6}^k + R_{3}^b f_{3}^l + R_{3}^k f_{3}^k - b_1^l - m_1^l \\
A^l &= \{m_3^l, f_{3}^l, f_{3}^k, f_{6}^k, w_6^l\}.
\end{align*}
\]

For bank \( s \),

\[
\begin{align*}
m_6^s &= m_3^s - p_3^s - f_{3}^s \\
m_9^s &= m_6^s - p_6^s + w_6^s \\
\pi^s &= (1 + R_{1}^b) b_{3}^s + m_3^s - R_{6}^w w_6^s + R_{3}^s f_{3}^l - b_1^s - m_1^s \\
A^s &= \{m_3^s, f_{3}^s, w_6^s\}.
\end{align*}
\]

for \( i = s \), which corresponds to the limiting case of \( \gamma^i \) equal to \( L \) and \( S \), respectively. If the correlation is zero, the central limit theorem implies that as \( L \) and \( S \) go to infinity, the distributions of \( P^l_i \) and \( P^s_i \), would approach normal given by \( N(0, \frac{L_1}{L_2}) \) and \( N(0, \frac{S_1}{S_2}) \), respectively. Instead, the variance of \( P^i_i \) with its assumed uniform distribution is \( \frac{L_1}{L_2} \). For \( \gamma^l = L \) and \( \gamma^s = S \), \( P^i_i \) has the same variance as it would under the central limit theorem. The difference is that a uniform distribution implies \( P^i_i \) has much “fatter tails,” or extremely lower kurtosis, than \( P^i_i \) would have under a normal distribution. This can be interpreted as a positive correlation of \( p_i^i \), with a particularly high correlation among tail values of \( p_i^i \).
Constraint (2) gives the maximum reserve balances $m^i_3$ that can be held at $t = 3$. We call $m^i_3$ bank $i$’s “clean balances,” and is equal to the bank’s daily starting reserve balances net of any fed funds or discount window loans, and before any payments shocks for the day. Constraint (3), where $1_{[\cdot]}$ represent the indicator function, gives the restriction that small banks cannot borrow from large banks. Constraint (4) restricts discount window loans to be non-negative, and constraint (5) requires that overnight reserve balances $m^i_9$ are non-negative.

We examine equilibria that are symmetric among type $i \in \{l, s\}$, and for which constraint (3) does not bind. As equilibrium conditions, aggregate interbank lending among large banks must net to zero each period, implying $F^k_t = 0$ for $t \in \{3, 6\}$, and aggregate interbank lending between large and small banks must satisfy $F^l_3(R^s_3) = - F^s_3(R^s_3)$.

We solve the model starting at $t = 6$. For a large bank, if payment shocks during $t = 6$ are larger than its balance entering the period, a large bank can borrow the difference from other large banks at a rate of zero if aggregate reserves of large banks are positive. If aggregate reserves of large banks are negative, the large bank must borrow from the discount window or from another large bank at $R^k_6 = R^w_6$. In contrast, a small bank must always borrow at the discount window at $R^w_6$ if its $t = 6$ payment shock is larger than its balance entering the period.

**Lemma 1.** If large banks’ aggregate balances at day-end $M^l_6 - P^l_6 < 0$, then $R^f_6 = R^w_6$ and large banks’ discount window borrowing is $W^l_6 > 0$. If $M^l_6 - P^l_6 \geq 0$, then $R^f_6 = 0$ and no large bank borrows from the discount window: $w^l_6 = 0$ for all $l$. If and only if a small bank’s individual balances at day-end $m^s_6 - p^s_6 < 0$, then its discount window borrowing $w^s_6 > 0$.

**Proof.** See Appendix. ■

At $t = 3$, banks choose interbank lending. Bank $l$ chooses interbank lending $f^l_3(R^s_3)$ to small banks (in negative amounts) and $f^k_3(R^k_3)$ to large banks.

**Lemma 2.** The large banks’ aggregate demand for fed funds borrowing from small banks is

$$-F^l_3(R^s_3) = -2 \frac{R^s_3}{R^w_6} \mathcal{P} - M^l_3 + P^l_3 + \mathcal{P},$$

(9)
and the fed funds rate at \( t = 3 \) is

\[
R^k_3 = R^s_3 = E_3[R^k_6].
\] (10)

**Proof.** See Appendix. ■

Arbitrage by large banks ensures result (10). The individual bank \( l \) first order conditions for \( f^l_3 \) and \( f^k_3 \) determine aggregate large bank borrowing \( F^l_3 \) such that

\[
R^s_3 = R^w_6 \left( \overline{P} + P^l_3 + F^l_3 - M^l_3 \right) \frac{2P}{2P^s}.
\] (11)

holds. The left-hand side of equation (11) is the return \( R^s_3 \) on a marginal unit of fed funds borrowed by large banks in aggregate. This must equal the right-hand side of equation (11), which is the expected cost of large banks needing to borrow a marginal unit from the discount window. This expected cost is the discount rate \( R^w_6 \), multiplied by the probability that large banks have to borrow from the discount window, which is the last factor on the right-hand side of (11). For simplicity, we assume large banks trade at \( t = 3 \) to hold equal balances: \( m^l_3 = \frac{M^l_3}{L} \). Substituting for \( m^l_6 \) from (6) into \( m^l_6 = \frac{M^l_6}{L} \), simplifying and solving for \( f^k_3 \),

\[
f^k_3 = -\frac{M^l_6}{L} + m^l_3 - p^l_3 - p^k_3 - f^l_3.
\] (12)

**Lemma 3.** A small bank’s fed funds supply to lend to large banks is

\[
f^s_3(R^s_3) = 2P^s \frac{R^s_3}{R^w_6} - p^s_3 + m^s_3 - \overline{P}^s.
\] (13)

**Proof.** See Appendix. ■

The first order condition for \( f^s_3 \) implies

\[
R^s_3 = R^w_6 \left[ \overline{P}^s - (m^s_3 - p^s_3 - f^s_3) \frac{2P^s}{2P^s} \right].
\] (14)

Bank \( s \) chooses \( f^s_3 \) to equate its return on a marginal unit of fed funds lending, \( R^s_3 \), with
its expected cost of needing to borrow a marginal unit from the discount window. This expected cost is the discount rate $R^w_6$ multiplied by the probability bank $s$ has to borrow, which is the factor in brackets in (14).

The aggregate supply of interbank loans by small banks is

$$F^s_3(R^s_3) = \sum_{s=1}^{S} f^s_3(R^s_3)$$

$$= S[2p^s R^w_6 + m^s_3 - \bar{p}^s] - \sum_{s=1}^{S} p^s_3,$$

where $\sum_{s=1}^{S} m^s_3 = Sm_3$ since banks of type $i \in \{l, s\}$ are ex-ante identical and choose the same $m^s_3$ at $t = 1$. Solving for $R^s_3$ gives

$$R^s_3 = \frac{R^w_6[3^s + P^s_3 - M^s_3 + S\bar{p}^s]}{2S\bar{p}^s}.$$

Lemma 4. The competitive market equilibrium for fed funds is

$$F^s_3 = -P^s_3 + \frac{PM^s_3 - S\bar{p}^sM^l_3}{S\bar{p}^s + P}, \quad (15)$$

$$R^s_3 = \frac{1}{2} R^w_6 \{1 - \frac{M^s_3 + M^l_3}{S\bar{p}^s + P}\}. \quad (16)$$

Proof. The equilibrium condition $F^s_3(R^s_3) = -F^l_3(R^s_3)$ determines $F^s_3$ and $R^s_3$.  \[\blacksquare\]

$R^s_3$ does not depend on $P^s_3$. An early payment shock $P^s_3$ shifts the aggregate small banks’ supply curve and large banks’ demand curve in equal amounts to the right, so the fed funds amount increases but the price is unchanged.

The amount borrowed from small banks is equal across large banks by assumption from above. By (13), bank lending across small banks is equal except for the $p^s_3$ term. Thus, in equilibrium, $-f^l_3 = \frac{F^l_3}{L}$ and $f^s_3 = -p^s_3 + \frac{F^s_3 - P^s_3}{S}$, which gives

$$-f^l_3 = \frac{P^l_3}{L} + \frac{PM^s_3 - S\bar{p}^sM^l_3}{L(S\bar{p}^s + P)}, \quad (17)$$

$$f^s_3 = -p^s_3 + \frac{PM^s_3 - S\bar{p}^sM^l_3}{S(S\bar{p}^s + P)}, \quad (18)$$
Proposition 1. The deviation of the fed funds rate from target is greater at \( t = 6 \) than at \( t = 3 \). The deviation at \( t = 6 \) is based on payments shocks (and hence post-shock reserve balances) at \( t = 6 \):

\[
R_s^3 = R_b^1 = E_3[R_b^6] \quad (19)
\]

\[
R_b^6 = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } P_b^6 \leq \overline{P}(1 - \frac{2R_b^6}{R_b^w}) \\
R_b^w & \text{if } P_b^6 > \overline{P}(1 - \frac{2R_b^6}{R_b^w}) 
\end{cases} \quad (20)
\]

\[
R_s^6 = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } M^l_6 - P^l_6 \geq 0 \\
R_s^w & \text{if } M^l_6 - P^l_6 < 0.
\end{cases} \quad (21)
\]

Proof. See Appendix. ■

The fed funds rate at \( t = 3 \) equals the rate targeted by Fed open market operations at \( t = 1 \). Small banks can efficiently fully self-insure against payments shocks at \( t = 3 \) since they hold precautionary balances and lend excess balances. Thus, payments shocks during this period do not affect the fed funds rate at \( t = 3 \) and there is no volatility. For large enough payments shocks to small banks at \( t = 6 \), reserves are trapped in small banks and the fed funds rate at \( t = 6 \) spikes to \( R_b^w \). For payments shocks to large banks at \( t = 6 \), the fed funds rate crashes to 0. Since constrained banks have lending friction at day-end, this is the time when the fed funds rate volatility is greatest.

Solving for the aggregate clean balances by substituting \( R_b^6 \) for \( R_s^6 \) into (16) gives

\[
M_s^3 + M^l_3 = (1 - \frac{2R_b^6}{R_b^w})(S\overline{p}^s + \overline{P}). \quad (22)
\]

From the equilibrium solution for \( f^s_3 \) in (18) and \( f^l_3 \) in (17), if

\[
\overline{P}M_s^3 - S\overline{p}^sM^l_3 > p^s_3S(S\overline{p}^s + \overline{P}) \text{ for all } s, \quad (23)
\]

then \( f^s_3 > 0 \) for all \( s \), and \( f^l_3 < 0 \) for all \( l \), since \( f^l_3 = -\frac{S}{L}f^s_3 \), so constraint (3) holds and does not bind.
The inequality (23) always holds if

$$\gamma^s M^l_3 - S M^l_3 > S \bar{p}^s (\gamma^s + S),$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

and implies that

$$F^s_3 = \sum_{s=1}^{S} f^s_3 > S \bar{p}^s - \bar{P} > 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)

This shows that when each bank $s$ holds optimal balances so that its borrowing constraint is not binding, their precautionary reserves imply that there is always aggregate strictly positive lending to large banks. For solutions satisfying (22) and (24),

$$M^l_3 < \bar{P}(1 - \frac{2R^b_{1}}{R^w_6}) - S \bar{p}^s < 0$$

$$M^s_3 > 2S \bar{p}^s (1 - \frac{R^b_{1}}{R^w_6}) > 0$$

which imply

$$m^l_3 < \frac{\bar{P}}{L} (1 - \frac{2R^b_{1}}{R^w_6}) - \frac{S}{L} \bar{p}^s < 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (26)

$$m^s_3 > 2\bar{p}^s (1 - \frac{R^b_{1}}{R^w_6}) > 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (27)

To satisfy constraint (2), $m^s_3 < 2\bar{p}^s$, which implies $m^l_3 \geq \frac{\bar{P}}{L} (1 - \frac{2R^b_{1}}{R^w_6}) - \frac{S}{L} \bar{p}^s (1 + \frac{2R^b_{1}}{R^w_6})$. Thus, to satisfy constraints (2) and (3),

$$m^l_3 \in \left( \frac{\bar{P}}{L} (1 - \frac{2R^b_{1}}{R^w_6}) - \frac{S}{L} \bar{p}^s (1 + \frac{2R^b_{1}}{R^w_6}), \frac{\bar{P}}{L} (1 - \frac{2R^b_{1}}{R^w_6}) - \frac{S}{L} \bar{p}^s \right)$$

$$m^s_3 \in \left( 2\bar{p}^s (1 - \frac{R^b_{1}}{R^w_6}), 2\bar{p}^s \right),$$

subject to (22).
4 Model Results for Precautionary Reserves and Bank Lending

Figure D summarizes the model’s precautionary balances and bank lending results, which are explained in further detail in the Propositions in this section. The x-axis is a bank’s balances scaled to the individual (large or small) bank’s maximum payment shock size. The y-axis is a bank’s lending as a percentage of available balances at \( t = 3 \). Period \( t \) precautionary balances are defined as \( m_{t'} \), where \( t' \) is the period following \( t \). These are the balances that a bank does not lend at period \( t \) in order to hold as a balance \( m_{t'} \) entering period \( t' \) for shocks in period \( t' \). For results in this section, we assume that aggregate reserve balances \( M_{l3} + M_{s3} \), as determined in equation (22) by model parameters, are positive, which is the case in the U.S.

As indicated in Figure D, a small bank holds very large clean balances at \( t = 1 \) to self-insure against \( t = 3 \) and \( t = 6 \) payments shocks. These clean balances is large enough that the small bank’s borrowing constraint at \( t = 3 \) never binds, so the small bank always lends balances to large banks at \( t = 3 \). A large bank holds negative clean balances. Small and large banks hold precautionary balances not lent at \( t = 3 \) for self-insurance against shocks at \( t = 6 \). Large banks borrow if necessary to acquire precautionary balances. The percentage of balances lent by small and large banks increases with balances above the precautionary balance level. For any scaled balance on the x-axis, a large bank lends a greater percentage than a small bank.

We first compare the percentage of available balances that large and small banks lend on the interbank market at \( t = 3 \). We show that for a given bank reserve balance,
controlling for the size of the bank by scaling by the maximum $t = 6$ shock size, large banks lend a greater percentage of available reserve balances than small banks.

**Proposition 2.** Small banks lend a smaller percentage of available reserve balances at $t = 3$ than large banks.

**Proof.** See Appendix. ■

**Proposition 3.** Small banks hold larger scaled precautionary balances at 3pm than large banks.

**Proof.** The precautionary balances held are found by subtracting balances lent from balances available, and are equivalent to $m_i^t$ balances held at the end of period $t = 3$. Banks target to hold the same amount of precautionary balances $m_i^t$ across their type at the end of $t = 3$. The amount of precautionary balances that they do not lend out during $t = 3$ is $m_i^6$. Bank $l$ holds (scaled) precautionary balances at $t = 3$ of

$$\frac{m_l^t}{p^l + p^k} = \frac{\bar{P}}{L(p^l + p^k)} (1 - \frac{2R_i^h}{R_6^w}) < (1 - \frac{2R_l^h}{R_6^w}),$$

compared to that of bank $s$, which holds

$$\frac{m_s^t}{p^s} = (1 - \frac{2R_i^h}{R_6^w}).$$

Bank $i$ holds fixed precautionary balances at $t = 3$ (and bank $l$ will borrow if necessary to acquire them) to have available entering $t = 3$ regardless of the amount of reserve balances the bank has available to lend at $t = 3$. Hence, the percentage of balances that large or small banks lend increases with their available balances.

Taking the derivative of the left-hand side (right-hand side) of (48) with respect to the left-hand side (right-hand side) of (47) shows that the lending percentage of bank $l$ ($s$) is a concave function of its scaled balances. The lending percentage increases for bank $s$ and $l$ with scaled balances, and the difference of lending percentage between bank $s$ and $l$ decreases with scaled balances.
Rewriting (28) and (29) as

$$R^w_6 \left( \frac{P - M^l_6}{2P} \right) = R^s_3 \quad (30a)$$

$$R^w_6 \left( \frac{P^s - m^s_6}{2P^s} \right) = R^s_3, \quad (30b)$$

respectively, shows that these $t = 3$ precautionary balances equalize the expected marginal cost $R^w_6$ of having to borrow from the discount window due to $t = 6$ shocks times the probability of discount window borrowing, with the marginal opportunity cost $R^s_3 = R^b_1$ of holding excess precautionary balances at $t = 3$.

Bank $s$ holds greater scaled precautionary balances because it cannot borrow at $t = 6$. Bank $l$ can borrow from other large banks, so it only has to borrow at the discount window if the aggregate shock to large banks at $t = 6$ is greater than the aggregate balances held. This is why (30a) is written with the probability of overdraft of large banks in aggregate as a factor, whereas (30b) is written with the probability of overdraft of an individual small bank.

These precautionary balance and lending percentage results are derived assuming that large banks hold equal balances at the end of $t = 3$. However, large banks are indifferent to the relative balances held among themselves. The rate $R^s_3$ at which they trade among themselves at $t = 3$ is equal to the expected rate they trade at $t = 6$. If there were a cost of trading, they would trade less at $t = 3$, which could possibly show that they lend a lower percentage of balances than small banks lend. However, if large banks were slightly risk averse, or if there were any trading frictions at $t = 6$, they would strictly prefer this amount of trading.

When $R^b_1 = \frac{1}{2} R^w_6$, banks hold zero precautionary balances to give a one-half probability of borrowing at the discount window with a one-half probability of holding excess $t = 3$ precautionary balances. When $R^b_1 < \frac{1}{2} R^w_6$, banks hold strictly positive precautionary balances since the cost of excess balances is less than the cost of the discount window.

**Proposition 4.** Aggregate overnight reserve balances held by small and large banks decrease with the fed funds target rate and increase with the discount rate.

**Proof.** From (30a) and (30b), $M^l_6$ and $m^s_6$ decrease with $R^b_1$ and increase with $R^w_6$. □
Proposition 5. Large banks lending percentage of scaled balances increases with the $t = 6$ fed funds rate.

Proof. The percentage of available balances that is lent by large banks at $t = 6$ is

$$\frac{f^k_6}{m^l_6 - p^l_6 - p^k_6} = \frac{m^l_6 - p^l_6 - p^k_6 - \frac{1}{L}(M^l_6 - P^l_6)}{m^l_6 - p^l_6 - p^k_6}.$$ 

For $W^l_6 = 0$, this lending percentage is less than one since $M^l_6 - P^l_6 \geq 0$. Since there are excess balances, banks do not lend them all, and $R^k_6 = 0$. As reserve balances increase for bank $l$, the percentage lent increases toward one.

For $W^l_6 > 0$, $M^l_6 - P^l_6 < 0$, so the lending percentage is actually greater than one. This is because we assume large banks borrow equally from the discount window. Anticipating this, banks who need the least amount (or zero) borrowing at the discount window lend to others at the fed funds rate of $R^k_6 = R^w_6$. An alternative assumption is that banks with $m^l_6 - p^l_6 - p^k_6 \geq 0$ do not borrow from the discount window, and only banks with $m^l_6 - p^l_6 - p^k_6 < 0$ do borrow from the discount window. This still implies that banks with available balances lend all of them at a rate of $R^k_6 = R^w_6$.

The model also gives more general implications when there is any market friction that prevents a random positive epsilon amount of reserves from being tradable efficiently at the end of the day, such that the segment of the market that is trading at the end of the day is always in aggregate long or short of reserves. If this segment trades efficiently, then $R^k_6$ is either zero or $R^W_6$. Greater end-of-day rate volatility implies greater market efficiency given that the full market does not trade. This also holds true if the random long or short for the market is due to “misses” by the Fed’s open market operations desk that targets the supply of reserves in the market and if this “miss” information is only revealed throughout the day.

Proposition 6. Discount window borrowing for small banks compared to that for large banks is less correlated among the bank type, occurs more frequently and is of larger average scaled amounts.
Proof. The average (or expected) amount of discount window borrowing, scaled for size, for bank $s$ is

$$E \left[ \frac{w^s_6}{P^s} \right] = \left( \frac{p^s_3 + f^s_3 - m^s_3 + p^s}{2P^s} \right)^2 \left( \frac{R^b_1}{R^w_6} \right)^2,$$

found by substituting for $E[w^s_6]$ from (41) and then for $f^s_3$ from (45), whereas for bank $l$ it is

$$E \left[ \frac{w^l_6}{P^l + P^k} \right] = \frac{1}{L(\bar{P}^l + \bar{P}^k)} \int_{-\bar{M}^b_6}^{\gamma P^l} \frac{(-M^b_6 + P^b_6) \frac{1}{2P^l} dP^l_6}{L(\bar{P}^l + \bar{P}^k)} = \left( \frac{R^b_1}{R^w_6} \right)^2 < \left( \frac{R^b_1}{R^w_6} \right)^2.$$

Proposition 7. Small banks hold larger average scaled amounts of nonborrowed reserves overnight than do large banks.

Proof. The scaled amount of nonborrowed reserves for bank $s$ is

$$E \left[ \frac{m^s_6 - w^s_6}{P^s} \right] = \frac{m^s_6}{P^s} = (1 - \frac{2R^b_1}{R^w_6}), \quad (31)$$
whereas for bank \( l \) it is

\[
E\left[ \frac{m^l_6 - w^l_6}{p + pk} \right] = \frac{m^l_6}{p + pk} = \frac{P}{L(p + pk)(1 - \frac{2R^b_1}{R^w_6})} < (1 - \frac{2R^b_1}{R^w_6}).
\] (32)

Note that while we include the shock size \( pk \) for payments between large banks, all results hold for \( pk = 0 \). The term \( pk \) shows that the results hold even more strongly as the amount of payments shocks among large banks increases.

The clean balances held by banks from (8) is

\[
m^s_3 = m^s_6 + p^s_3 + f^s_3 > \bar{p}^s(1 - \frac{2R^b_1}{R^w_6}) + \bar{p}^s,
\]

where the second line is from (27) and (29). The first term of the second line is the \( t = 3 \) precautionary balances of bank \( s \). The second term is the bank’s pre- \( t = 3 \) precautionary balances to self-insure against \( p^s_3 \). Any excess \( f^s_3 = m^s_3 - m^s_6 - p^s_3 \) is lent at \( t = 3 \). Thus, bank \( s \) always lends a strictly positive amount, even when it ends up borrowing at the discount window at day’s end. The clean balances held by bank \( l \) is shown by (26) to be negative. In expectation, bank \( l \) rolls-over overnight fed funds borrowing every day to hold \( t = 3 \) precautionary balances during the day and positive balances overnight. Since bank \( s \) has to choose its lending before \( t = 6 \) shocks, it has to lend every day, whereas bank \( l \) can borrow on the aggregate market after \( t = 6 \) shocks, which explains why aggregate fed funds lending (25) from small to large banks is strictly positive

\[
F^s_3 = Sp^s - \bar{P} > 0.
\]

The model offers a partial explanation for the large amount of interbank lending relative to bank reserves. The interbank market lends for an overnight term multiples of the amount of aggregate reserve balances held by banks. At first, this phenomenon may
appear to imply that banks must lend the same funds multiple times among banks. However, this model offers a different explanation. In this model, large banks have negative clean balances, $M^l_3 < 0$, and rely on borrowing from small banks to achieve non-negative overnight reserves. The amount of funds lent $F^s_3$ may exceed the net supply of reserve balances $M^s_3 + M^l_3$, even if there is no relending of reserves. The model also explains why fed funds lending that acts as a large source of financing from small to large banks is primarily of overnight term. Since the lending is a way for small banks to self-insure against daily shocks, the small banks require daily repayment for its potential liquidity needs.

The aggregate amount of clean balances equals the aggregate amount of nonborrowed reserves, and also equals the aggregate amount of $t = 3$ precautionary balances:

$$M^l_3 + M^s_3 = (M^l_9 - W^l_6) + (M^s_9 - W^s_6)$$

$$= M^l_6 + M^s_6,$$

found by substituting (32) and (31) into the right-hand side of (22). In aggregate, the only purpose for reserves is for precautionary reasons at $t = 3$, because the aggregate pre-$t = 3$ precautionary balances held by small banks that are not used for $t = 3$ shocks are lent to large banks. Anticipating this lending, large banks hold negative clean balances. The following proposition summarizes these results.

**Proposition 8.** Small banks hold positive clean balances (balances net of fed funds and discount window loans) and large banks hold negative clean balances. Small banks lend positive amount of fed funds each night.

Aggregate reserves can also be interpreted in the context of an interest rate corridor, with a deposit facility rate of zero and a lending facility rate of $R^w_6$. If $R^s_3 = \frac{1}{2} R^w_6$, (22) shows aggregate reserves equal zero. The marginal opportunity cost of depositing excess reserves and borrowing needed reserves are equal since banks have a one-half probability of either occurring. As $R^b_1$ decreases below the corridor midpoint, overnight shortages are costlier than overnight excesses, so aggregate reserves increase.
5 Policy Implications and Conclusion

In order to study bank excess reserves, we examine a simple model of trading frictions in the interbank fed funds market. We show that the concept of precautionary balances can explain the stylized facts that small banks hold relatively large amounts of excess reserves overnight, while lending large amounts to large banks overnight, despite lending a lower percentage of available balances during the day than large banks lend. We also show there is an increase in the volatility of the fed funds rate late in the day, and that fed funds lending increases with the fed funds rate. Furthermore, we offer a new explanation for the phenomena of large amounts of fed funds lending that is multiples of aggregate bank reserves.

The model shows that spikes and crashes in the fed funds rate are not surprising, especially for the last day of a maintenance period. The empirical evidence suggests that reserve requirements over a maintenance period held prevent extreme rate deviations during normal times but do not prevent these extreme rates during a crisis period.

The model suggests that during the 2007-08 financial crisis, the supply of overnight fed funds increased as more banks become constrained and needed to self-insure. Based on anecdotal reports of reduced term lending, these banks likely substituted to overnight interbank lending away from term lending. However, the extreme volatility of the fed funds rate likely increased the demand for term rather than overnight borrowing. The Term Auction Facility (TAF) introduced by the Fed in December 2007 helped to meet the increased net demand for term borrowing by lending to banks for originally a 28 day term. Evidence from McAndrews et al. (2008) shows that the TAF had helped to reduce the term LIBOR spread.

The model allows for interpreting the current Fed regime as a corridor system of monetary policy implementation, with a lower bound of zero and an upper bound of the shadow cost of borrowing at the discount window. This may suggest from a simplistic point of view that a narrow corridor paying positive interest on reserves near the fed funds target rate and a discount window lending rate at a small spread above the target would minimize spikes and crashes and provide a good outcome. Under Congressional authorization, the Fed began paying interest on reserves starting on October 9, 2008. The Fed set interest rates on excess reserve balances at 75 bps below the target rate. However,
the model shows that reduced interest rate volatility does not necessarily reduce bank hoarding of reserves and reluctance to lend. Furthermore, fed funds rates traded above the discount rate suggests that discount window stigma would hamper implementing a narrow corridor. Rather, a system of paying interest on reserves near the target rate with a very large amount of reserves supplied to the banking system may reduce the impact of bank hoarding. An abundance of reserves implies bank credit extension and payments would be less dependent on interbank borrowing and more independent of intraday payments shocks.
Appendix: Proofs

Proof of Lemma 1. For bank $l$,

$$
\pi^l = (b^l_1 + m^l_1 - m^l_3)R^b_1 - R^w_6 w^l_6 + R^k_6 f^k_l + R^s_3 f^l_3 + R^k_3 f^k_3.
$$

Bank $l$ chooses discount window borrowing $w^l_6$ and interbank lending $f^k_l$. Constraints (4) and (5) imply that

$$
w^l_6 = \max\{0, -m^l_6 + p^l_6 + p^k_6 + f^k_l\},
$$

which is greater than zero if the bank cannot borrow enough on the interbank market to ensure its overnight balance $m^l_6$ is not overdrawn. For $m^l_6 \neq w^l_6$, the first order condition for $f^k_l$ implies

$$
R^k_6 = R^w_6 \frac{dw^l_6}{df^k_l} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } w^l_6 = 0 \\ \frac{R^w_6}{R^w_6} & \text{if } w^l_6 > 0. \end{cases}
$$

If $m^l_6 = w^l_6$, then $w^l_6 = 0$. If $m^l_6 = w^l_6 = 0$ for all $l$, then there is no trading in the interbank market and $R^k_6 \in [0, R^w_6]$ is indeterminate. In order for the first order condition to hold for all large banks for which $m^l_9 \neq w^l_6$, either they all borrow from the discount window or none do. This means that no large banks borrow at the discount window while others hold excess overnight balances. This allows for deriving the aggregate discount window borrowing

$$
W^l_6 = \sum_{l=1}^{L} w^l_6 = \max\{0, -M^l_6 + P^l_6\},
$$

where

$$
M^l_6 = M^l_3 - P^l_3 - F^l_3.
$$

If $W^l_6 = 0$, there is sufficient aggregate balances among large banks. No large banks borrow at the discount window, and those that need funds borrow from those with excess funds at $R^k_6 = 0$. If $W^l_6 > 0$, there is an aggregate shortage of balances among large banks, which requires borrowing at the discount window. The interbank lending rate equals the discount window rate, so it is arbitrary how large banks choose between $w^l_6$ and $f^k_l$. For simplicity, we assume that all large banks borrow equally from the discount window according to

$$
\begin{align*}
w^l_6 & = \frac{1}{L} W^l_6 \\
& = \max\{0, \frac{1}{L} (-M^l_6 + P^l_6)\},
\end{align*}
$$

if $W^l_6 > 0$. If $W^l_6 = 0$, there is sufficient aggregate balances among large banks. No large banks borrow at the discount window, and those that need funds borrow from those with excess funds at $R^k_6 = 0$. If $W^l_6 > 0$, there is an aggregate shortage of balances among large banks, which requires borrowing at the discount window. The interbank lending rate equals the discount window rate, so it is arbitrary how large banks choose between $w^l_6$ and $f^k_l$. For simplicity, we assume that all large banks borrow equally from the discount window according to

$$
\begin{align*}
w^l_6 & = \frac{1}{L} W^l_6 \\
& = \max\{0, \frac{1}{L} (-M^l_6 + P^l_6)\},
\end{align*}
$$

if $W^l_6 > 0$. If $W^l_6 = 0$, there is sufficient aggregate balances among large banks. No large banks borrow at the discount window, and those that need funds borrow from those with excess funds at $R^k_6 = 0$. If $W^l_6 > 0$, there is an aggregate shortage of balances among large banks, which requires borrowing at the discount window. The interbank lending rate equals the discount window rate, so it is arbitrary how large banks choose between $w^l_6$ and $f^k_l$. For simplicity, we assume that all large banks borrow equally from the discount window according to

$$
\begin{align*}
w^l_6 & = \frac{1}{L} W^l_6 \\
& = \max\{0, \frac{1}{L} (-M^l_6 + P^l_6)\},
\end{align*}
$$

if $W^l_6 > 0$. If $W^l_6 = 0$, there is sufficient aggregate balances among large banks. No large banks borrow at the discount window, and those that need funds borrow from those with excess funds at $R^k_6 = 0$. If $W^l_6 > 0$, there is an aggregate shortage of balances among large banks, which requires borrowing at the discount window. The interbank lending rate equals the discount window rate, so it is arbitrary how large banks choose between $w^l_6$ and $f^k_l$. For simplicity, we assume that all large banks borrow equally from the discount window according to

$$
\begin{align*}
w^l_6 & = \frac{1}{L} W^l_6 \\
& = \max\{0, \frac{1}{L} (-M^l_6 + P^l_6)\},
\end{align*}
$$

if $W^l_6 > 0$. If $W^l_6 = 0$, there is sufficient aggregate balances among large banks. No large banks borrow at the discount window, and those that need funds borrow from those with excess funds at $R^k_6 = 0$. If $W^l_6 > 0$, there is an aggregate shortage of balances among large banks, which requires borrowing at the discount window. The interbank lending rate equals the discount window rate, so it is arbitrary how large banks choose between $w^l_6$ and $f^k_l$. For simplicity, we assume that all large banks borrow equally from the discount window according to

$$
\begin{align*}
w^l_6 & = \frac{1}{L} W^l_6 \\
& = \max\{0, \frac{1}{L} (-M^l_6 + P^l_6)\},
\end{align*}
$$

if $W^l_6 > 0$. If $W^l_6 = 0$, there is sufficient aggregate balances among large banks. No large banks borrow at the discount window, and those that need funds borrow from those with excess funds at $R^k_6 = 0$. If $W^l_6 > 0$, there is an aggregate shortage of balances among large banks, which requires borrowing at the discount window. The interbank lending rate equals the discount window rate, so it is arbitrary how large banks choose between $w^l_6$ and $f^k_l$. For simplicity, we assume that all large banks borrow equally from the discount window according to

$$
\begin{align*}
w^l_6 & = \frac{1}{L} W^l_6 \\
& = \max\{0, \frac{1}{L} (-M^l_6 + P^l_6)\},
\end{align*}
$$

if $W^l_6 > 0$. If $W^l_6 = 0$, there is sufficient aggregate balances among large banks. No large banks borrow at the discount window, and those that need funds borrow from those with excess funds at $R^k_6 = 0$. If $W^l_6 > 0
and trade in the interbank market to give themselves equal overnight balances. Banks are indifferent because if \( R_k^6 = 0 \), then \( w_6^l = 0 \) and they borrow in the fed funds market at no cost. If \( R_k^6 = R_w^6 \), then all large banks hold \( m_9^l = 0 \), and borrow at the same rate in the fed funds as at the discount window. This implies that for each large bank, \( m_9^l = \frac{1}{L} M_9^l = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=1}^L m_9^l \). Substituting for \( m_9^l \) from (7) and simplifying,

\[
m_6^l - p_6^l - p_6^k - f_6^k + w_6^l = \frac{1}{L} (M_6^l - P_6^l + W_6^l).
\]

Substituting for \( w_6^l = \frac{1}{L} W_6^l \) and solving for \( f_6^k \) gives

\[
f_6^k = -\frac{1}{L} (M_6^l - P_6^l) + m_6^l - p_6^l - p_6^k,
\]

to complete bank \( l \)'s optimization at \( t = 6 \).

For bank \( s \),

\[
\pi^s = (b_1^s + m_1^s - m_3^s)R_1^b - R_6^w w_6^s + R_3^s f_3^s.
\]

Bank \( s \) chooses only discount window borrowing. Constraints (4) and (5) imply that bank \( s \) chooses

\[
w_6^s = \max\{0, -m_3^s + p_3^s + f_3^s + p_6^s\}.
\]

\[\blacksquare\]

**Proof of Lemma 2.** The first order conditions for \( f_3^l \) and \( f_3^k \) are

\[
R_3^s = \frac{d}{df_3^l} E_3[R_6^w w_6^l - R_6^w R_3^s f_3^k - R_3^s f_3^l]
\]

(36)

\[
R_3^k = \frac{d}{df_3^l} E_3[R_6^w w_6^l - R_6^w R_3^k f_3^k - R_3^k f_3^l],
\]

(37)

respectively. For solutions such that constraint (3) does not bind, \( f_3^l < 0 \) implies \( R_3^k = R_3^s \). To show this, suppose \( R_3^k < R_3^s \). Bank \( l \) would borrow infinitely from small banks to lend to other large banks, implying \( f_3^k = \infty \). In aggregate, \( F_3^k = \sum_{l=1}^L f_3^k = \infty \), a contradiction to the equilibrium condition of \( F_3^k = 0 \). Suppose instead \( R_3^s > R_3^k \). Bank \( l \) would demand to borrow from other large banks and not from small banks, implying \( f_3^l(R_3^s) = 0 \) for all \( l \), a contradiction to \( f_3^l < 0 \).
Since $R^k_3 = R^s_3$, bank $l$ is indifferent between lending to large or small banks, so its choice between $f^l_3$ and $f^k_3$ is arbitrary. We assume for simplicity that all large banks borrow equally from small banks according to $f^l_3 = F^l_3$, and then redistribute funds among themselves. This structure would also correspond to a model of small banks lending in a correspondent banking relationship to large banks, which then relend the funds on the interbank market.

Net borrowing at $t = 6$ is

$$R^w_6 w^l_6 - R^k_6 f^k_6 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } W^l_6 = 0 \\ R^w_6 (-m^l_6 + p^l_6 + p^k_6) & \text{if } W^l_6 > 0, \end{cases}$$

(38)

found by substituting into the left-hand side of (38) for $w^l_6$ from (33), and for $R^k_6$ from (34), noting that $w^l_6 > 0$ if and only if $W^l_6 > 0$.

Expected net borrowing at $t = 6$ is

$$E_3[R^w_6 w^l_6 - R^k_6 f^k_6] = R^w_6 \int \int \int (-m^l_6 + p^l_6 + p^k_6)1_{W^l_6 > 0} \psi(p^k_6, p^l_6, P^l_6)dp^k_6 dp^l_6 dP^l_6$$

(39)

where $\psi(\cdot)$ is a uniform (joint where appropriate) p.d.f. Substituting the right-hand side for the left-hand side of (39) into (36), substituting for $m^l_6$ from (6), noting $R^k_3 = R^s_3$ and
simplifying gives

\[ R_3^s = \left(1 + \frac{df^k}{df^3} \right) R_6^w \int \int \int \psi(p_6^k, p_6^l, P_6^l) dp_6^k dp_6^l dP_6^l - R_3^s \frac{df^k}{df^3} \]

\[ = R_6^w \int \int \int \psi(p_6^k|p_6^l, P_6^l) \psi(p_6^l|P_6^l) \psi(P_6^l) dp_6^k dp_6^l dP_6^l \]

\[ = R_6^w \int \frac{1}{2P} dP_6^l \]

\[ = \frac{R_6^w (\mathcal{P} - M_6^l)}{2P}. \]

Substituting similarly as above into (37) and simplifying gives the same solution:

\[ R_3^s = \left(1 + \frac{df^l}{df^3} \right) R_6^w \int \int \int \psi(p_6^k, p_6^l, P_6^l) dp_6^k dp_6^l dP_6^l - R_3^s \frac{df^l}{df^3} \]

\[ = R_6^w \int \frac{1}{2P} dP_6^l \]

\[ = \frac{R_6^w (\mathcal{P} - M_6^l)}{2P}. \]

Substituting for \( M_6^l \) from (35) gives

\[ R_3^s = R_6^w \frac{(\mathcal{P} + P_3^l + F_3^l - M_3^l)}{2P}. \] (40)

Solving for \(-F_3^l\) gives (9). Finally,

\[ E_3[R_6^k] = \frac{R_6^w}{2P} \int \int \psi(p_6^k, p_6^l, P_6^l) dp_6^k dp_6^l dP_6^l \]

\[ = \frac{R_6^w}{2P} \int \frac{1}{2P} dP_6^l \]

\[ = R_3^s, \]

where we substitute for \( R_6^s \) on the left-hand side from (34). Since \( E_3[R_6^k] = R_3 \) and (40) are independent of \( f_3^l \) and \( f_3^k \), bank \( l \) is indifferent to borrowing/lending at \( t = 3 \) versus at \( t = 6 \). □
Proof of Lemma 3. For bank $s$, the first order condition for $f_3^s$ is

$$R_3^s = R_w^6 \frac{d}{df_3^s} E_3[w_6^s],$$

where

$$E[w_6^s] = E[w_6^s|p_6^s > m_6^s] \Pr(p_6^s > m_6^s) = \left(\frac{p^s - m_6^s}{2p^s}\right) \left(\frac{p^s - m_6^s}{2}\right).$$

In the second line, the first factor is the probability of being overdraft, and the second factor is the expected discount window borrowing given that the bank is overdraft. Taking the derivative with respect to $f_3^s$ gives

$$E_3[w_6^s] = \int_{p^s}^{M_6} (p_6^s + p_6^s + f_3^s - m_3^s) \mathbf{1}_{p_6^s > m_3^s - f_3^s} \psi(p_6^s) dp_6^s$$

$$= \int_{M_6 - f_3^s}^{M_6} (p_6^s + p_6^s + f_3^s - m_3^s) \psi(p_6^s) dp_6^s$$

$$= \frac{(p_3^s + f_3^s - m_3^s + p^s)^2}{4p^s},$$

(giving

$$R_3^s = R_w^6 \left[ \frac{p^s - (m_3^s - p_3^s - f_3^s)}{2p^s} \right].$$

Solving for $f_3^s$ gives (13). □

Proof of Proposition 1. By equations (34), (35) and (40), (20) and (21) hold since $w_6^l = \frac{1}{L} W_6^l$. At $t = 1$, bank $i$ chooses $m_3^i$ by buying $\Delta b_1^i$ bonds according to their first order condition for $m_3^i$. For bank $l$, this is

$$R_1^b = \frac{d}{dm_3^l} E_1[-R_w^6 w_6^l + R_k^k f_3^k + R_3^s f_3^l + R^k f_3^k].$$

Substituting for $R_k^k$ with $R_3^s$, for $-R_w^6 w_6^l + R_6^k f_6^k$ from (38), for $f_3^k$ from (12) and simplifying gives

$$R_1^b = \frac{d}{dm_3^l} E_1[R_w^6 \left(\frac{M_6^l}{L} - p_6^l - p_6^l\right) W_6^{l>0} - R_3^s \left(\frac{M_6^l}{L} - m_3^l + p_3^l + p_5^l\right)]$$

$$= E_1[R_3^s] = R_3^s.$$
For bank $s$, the first order condition is

$$R_b^s = \frac{d}{dm^3} E_1[-R^w_6 w^s_6 + R^s_3 f^s_3]$$

$$= \frac{d}{dm^3} E_1[E_3[-R^w_6 w^s_6 + R^s_3 f^s_3]]$$

Substituting for $w^s_6$ from (41) and for $f^s_3$ from (13) and simplifying gives the same result,

$$R_b^s = \frac{d}{dm^3} E_1[-R^w_6 w^s_6 + R^s_3 f^s_3]$$

$$= E_1[R^s_3] = R^s_3.$$

\[\blacksquare\]

**Proof of Proposition 2.** The net amount that bank $l$ lends at $t = 3$ is

$$f^k_3 + f^l_3 = -m^l_3 + \frac{P^l_3}{L} + \frac{F^l_3}{L} + m^l_3 - p^l_3 - p^k_3$$

$$= m^l_3 - p^l_3 - p^k_3 - \frac{p}{L}(1 - \frac{2R^b_1}{R^w_6}), \quad (42)$$

which is found by substituting on the right-hand side of (42) for $F^l_3 = f^l_3$ from (17), solving for $M^s_3$ in (22) and substituting for it, then simplifying. The reserve balances that bank $l$ has available to lend at $t = 3$ are

$$m^l_3 - p^l_3 - p^k_3. \quad (44)$$

The net amount that bank $s$ lends at $t = 3$ is

$$f^s_3 = m^s_3 - p^s_3 - \bar{p}^s(1 - \frac{2R^b_1}{R^w_6}), \quad (45)$$

which is found by solving for $M^l_3$ in (22) and substituting for it in (18). The reserve balances that bank $s$ has available to lend at $t = 3$ are

$$m^s_3 - p^s_3. \quad (46a)$$

To compare lending percentage between bank $l$ and $s$ when their scaled bank balances are equal, set the right-hand side of (44) divided by $\bar{p}^l + \bar{p}^k$ equal to the right-hand side
of (46a) divided $p^s$:
\[
\frac{m_l^3 - p_l^3 - p_k^3}{p_l^3 + p_k^3} = \frac{m_s^3 - p_s^3}{p_s^3}.
\] (47)

We want to show that bank $l$ lends a greater percentage of available balances at $t = 3$ than bank $s$:
\[
\frac{m_l^3 - p_l^3 - p_k^3 - \frac{p}{L} (1 - \frac{2R^b}{R^w})}{m_l^3 - p_l^3 - p_k^3} > \frac{m_s^3 - p_s^3 - p^s (1 - \frac{2R^b}{R^w})}{m_s^3 - p_s^3},
\] (48)

where the percentage of balances lent by bank $l$ is on the left-hand side and by bank $s$ is on the right-hand side.

With positive available reserve balances, substituting from (47) and for $P = \gamma' p'$ and simplifying gives the inequality condition (48) as
\[
L > \frac{p'}{p_l^3 + p_k^3} \gamma',
\]
which always holds.  ■
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Figure 1: Distribution of reserves across banks over the day. Normalized balance is defined as the actual balance for that bank at that time of day divided by the amount sent by that institution using Fedwire over the month. The x-axis documents time of day for the last 90 minutes of the business day. The graph documents the massive redistribution of reserves which occurs within the top 100 institutions over the last 90 minutes of the day. Note that many institutions (typically the largest) have large negative balances throughout the day, making generous use of intra-day credit from the Federal Reserve, and rely on their ability to unwind these positions through Federal Funds borrowing quickly before the close of Fedwire at 6:30 pm.
Figure 2. Distribution across days of federal funds interest rate volatility. The graph documents the time-series volatility the interest rate on federal funds loans between banks in the top 100 across the last 90 minutes of the day. The interest rate is a dollar-weighted average of all federal funds loans in a particular minute of the day. The figure illustrates a significant increase in interest rate volatility during the last 60 minutes of the day.
Figure 3: The propensity to borrow and lend across bank size. The graph documents the probability that a bank either borrows or lends in the federal funds market at least once during the day across institution size. Bank size is defined by the percentile of cross-sectional distribution of the average dollar amount sent over Fedwire. The sample is limited to approximately 700 banks which ever borrow or lend during January through February 2007. The picture illustrates that smaller banks are generally less likely to lend and borrow.
Figure 4: The propensity of small banks to lend. This picture documents the propensity of the smallest decile of banks to lend across the percentile of balance during four different time windows of the day: 9pm-1pm; 1pm-3pm; 3pm-5pm; and 5pm-6:30pm. The percentile of balance is measured for each institution at a given time of day across all days. The graph illustrates that the propensity of small banks to lend is maximized during the 3pm-5pm window, and that small banks are reluctant to lend even when hit with favorable liquidity shocks. At the highest percentile of reserve balance, small banks only lend at a frequency of about 4.5%.
Figure 5: The propensity of large banks to lend. This picture documents the propensity of the largest decile of banks to lend across the percentile of balance during four different time windows of the day: 9pm-1pm; 1pm-3pm; 3pm-5pm; and 5pm-6:30pm. The percentile of balance is measured for each institution at a given time of day across all days. The graph illustrates that the propensity of large banks to lend is maximized during the 5pm-6:30pm window when balances are high. Moreover, large banks appear eager to lend during the late period even when hit with adverse liquidity shocks. At the lowest percentile of reserve balance, large banks still lend at a frequency of about 18%. 
Figure 6: The propensity of small banks to borrow. This picture documents the propensity for the smallest decile of banks to borrow across the percentile of balance during four different time windows of the day: 9pm-1pm; 1pm-3pm; 3pm-5pm; and 5pm-6:30pm. The percentile of balance is measured for each institution at a given time of day across all days. The graph illustrates that the propensity of small banks to borrow is maximized during the 5pm-6:30pm window in the face of the most adverse liquidity shock, but that this figure is less then 4 percent. In other words, the vast majority of small banks survive the most adverse liquidity shocks by holding a high reserve balance.
Figure 7: The propensity of large banks to borrow. This picture documents the propensity for the largest decile of banks to borrow across the percentile of balance during four different time windows of the day: 9pm-1pm; 1pm-3pm; 3pm-5pm; and 5pm-6:30pm. The percentile of balance is measured for each institution at a given time of day across all days. The graph illustrates that the propensity of small banks to borrow is maximized during the 5pm-6:30pm window in the face of the most adverse liquidity shock, where this figure is most than 80 percent. In other words, large banks rely extensively on the federal funds market in order to manage their reserve balance.