Discussion of "Measuring Consumer Uncertainty about Future Inflation"

Wändi Bruine de Bruin, Charles F. Manski, Giorgio Topa, Wilbert van der Klaauw

Jan-Oliver Menz

Universität Hamburg

Summary: Main goal of the paper

- Measuring consumers' subjective probability distribution of future inflation: density forecasts of price and wage inflation
- Extending Michigan survey by follow-up question: Indicate the percent chance that, over the next 12 months, the following things may happen:

Prices/Wages will

percent chance

```
go up by 12 % or more go up by 8 % to 12 % go up by 4 % to 8 % go up by 2 % to 4 % go up by 0 % to 2 % go down by 0 % to 2 % go down by 2 % to 4 % go down by 4 % or more
```

Summary: Results

- People are willing and able to make density forecasts
- Substantial heterogeneity in uncertainty, stemming from demographic characteristics and financial literacy
- Positive link between point forecasts and density forecasts
- ► Higher uncertainty ⇒ higher level of expectations
- ▶ Time variation:
 - Persistence in uncertainty explained by unobserved time-invariant heterogeneity
 - More uncertainty leads to larger revisions in point forecasts in the next period

Questions of clarification

- Reasons for splitting the ALP participants in December 2006 into an "old" and "young" sample?
- About half of individual point forecasts do not fall between the first and the third quartile of the same individual's forecast density:
 - ⇒ Possible explanation?
- Idea for unobserved time-invariant heterogeneity?

Discussion: Do people really think like that?

- Experimental evidence for the use of subjective probabilities?
- ► Bryan/Palmqvist, 2006¹: Focal points in inflation expectations:
 - ▶ Point forecasts center around 0, 3, 5, 7, 10 % inflation
 - People make qualitative forecasts
- Extending the survey by a qualitative question:
 - How certain are you about your forecast? or
 - Judge the certainty of your forecast:

Answer:

very certain - certain - uncertain - very uncertain - don't know

¹Bryan, Michael / Palmqvist, Stefan (2006): *Testing near-rationality using detailed survey data*, in: European Commission Economic Papers

Discussion: Impact of sequence of questions?

Survey first asks about point forecasts, then about density forecasts

- Does this result in an anchoring effect?
 - Higher uncertainty, i.e., more bins used, if point forecast is left out?
 - Individual multimodal distribution instead of unimodal distribution?

Discussion: Further implications

- Heterogeneity in forecast uncertainty further motivation for models with heterogeneous agents?
- ► Malmendier/Nagel, 2009²: life-time experience influences inflation expectations
 - individuals having experienced high-inflation periods in their life tend to have higher inflation expectations
 - Does this affect uncertainty as well?
 - Life-time experience a possible candidate for unobserved time-invariant heterogeneity?

²Malmendier, Ulrike / Nagel, Stefan (2009): Learning from Inflation

Experience, working paper