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## Background

- Rising student loan debt in US, UK, Australia, Netherlands, Norway, Sweden, New Zealand, Canada
Contributing factors:
- Increase in costs of higher education, enrolment growth
- changes in the financing of higher education, with students and their families bearing a greater share of costs
- Increased financing through student loans


## Background

- Rising student loan debt in US, UK, Australia, Netherlands, Norway, Sweden, New Zealand, Canada
Contributing factors:
- Increase in costs of higher education, enrolment growth
- changes in the financing of higher education, with students and their families bearing a greater share of costs
- Increased financing through student loans
- Growing concern in U.S. about implications for
- the housing market
- post-college consumption and wealth accumulation
- Impact on family formation, living arrangements, fertility
- Job finding, small business formation
- overall economy


## Trends in Student Debt and Homeownership

Student debt at age 24


Homeownership by age


## Does Student Debt have Causal Impact on Early Homeownership?

## Why might we care?

- Decreased aggregate homeownership and individual lifetime home ownership; potential welfare losses
- Delayed wealth building - implications for wealth inequality
- Housing Market:
- Starter market - If you're trying to sell a starter home, you care
- Trade-up market - If you're trying to sell a trade-up home, you care about ability of starter-home owners to sell and trade up


## Roadmap for Presentation

- Recent trends in student loan borrowing and default
- Relationship between student debt and homeownership
- Descriptive evidence
- Causal evidence


## Roadmap for Presentation

- Recent trends in student loan borrowing and default
- Relationship between student debt and homeownership
- Descriptive evidence
- Causal evidence
- Analysis based on NYFed Consumer Credit Panel (CCP)
- $5 \%$ representative sample of US credit report holders in any given quarter. 1999Q1-2017Q3
- Panel tracking
- Has borrower-level information on student loan balances and payment status


## Recent Trends in Student Debt and Defaults

## Student Loans Defy Business Cycle

## Non-mortgage balances

Trillions of Dollars
Trillions of Dollars


## More New Borrowers and Larger Balances

Millions of Borrowers


## Decline in Housing Debt among Younger Borrowers Offset by Increase in Student Debt

2003-2016 Change in Real Debt Balances by Age of Borrower
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## Credit Quality Has Improved, Delinquency Rates Fallen

Transition into Serious Delinquency (90+) by Loan Type


## Credit Quality Has Improved, Delinquency Rates Fallen Except for Student Loans

Transition into Serious Delinquency (90+) by Loan Type


## Student Loan Defaults Peaked in 2011-2012



## Highest Default Rate from 2011 Cohort;



## Highest Default Rate from 2011 Cohort; 2013 Cohort Shows Improvement



## Relationship between Homeownership and Student Debt

## First Some Descriptive Evidence (work with Raji Chakrabarti and Nicole Gorton)

- Is homeownership related to education and student debt?
- Homeownership defined as ever having a mortgage by that age
- Reliable proxy as all-cash purchases rare among young homebuyers
- Does relationship between student debt and homeownership vary with (i) degree type, (ii) amount of debt
- Analysis based on a unique merger of CCP and National Student Clearinghouse
- Merger restricted to 1980-86 birth cohorts


## College Attendance Associated With Higher, Debt with Lower Homeownership

Homeownership Rate By College Attendance, Degree Type, Student Debt Status And Age


## Higher Student Debt Balance Associated With Lower Homeownership Rates

Homeownership Rate By College Attendance, Debt Balance Above \$25k, And Age



## Student Loan Delinquency and Default Associated with Lower Credit Scores and Homeownership Rates

Median credit score at age 30


Homeownership at age 30


## Is Association Causal? Potential Channels

- Decline in demand
- Student debt repayment competes with consumption opportunities post-college, including buying a home
- Decline in supply - decreased access to credit
- Exposure to the student loan system: exposure to delinquency and collections risk
- Increased SL delinquency lowers apparent creditworthiness
- See declining relative credit scores of student borrowers
- Back-end DTI ratio calculations: uses monthly payment amount on credit report (assuming loan is current). For deferred loans, calculate 1\% of student loan balance.


## Why is Impact of Student Debt so Difficult to Measure?

Observed student debt levels reflect

- consumer demand for education (quantity as well as quality)
- application behavior and admission policies
- available family resources, financing options, needs and costs

Many determinants unobserved

- Incomplete information on educational attainment
- Debt repayment ability and ambition, risk attitudes
- Parent generosity

Limited loan policy variation to exploit: Inconveniently fair policy!
" > 90\% of student loan market consists of federal loans: homogenous, caps move intermittently

## We Focus on Variation in Student Debt due to Differential State-Cohort Tuition Changes

- How have students responded to the rising cost of education: by dropping out, or by borrowing more?
- Tuition $\rightarrow$ Educational attainment
- Tuition $\rightarrow$ Student debt
- To what extent is the sharp decline in homeownership among younger Americans attributable to .. the rise in college costs?
- Tuition $\rightarrow$ Homeownership
- Estimates causal impact of policy-induced shifts in education financing away from government to students and their families
- and to .... the tuition-induced increase in student debt
- Student Debt $\rightarrow$ Homeownership (using IV)


## Empirical Specification

Relate outcomes $Y$ (homeownership at ages 28-30, student debt and educational attainment at age 24) to college cost $E$ as in:

$$
Y_{i c l t}=X_{i c l t} \beta+E_{c s(l)} \gamma+\delta_{s(l)}+\tau_{t}+\varepsilon_{i c l t}
$$

Individual $i$, cohort $c$, county $I$, state $s(I)$, time $t$, with

- $X_{\text {iclt }}$ time-varying regressors: county employment share (QCEW), state youth unemployment (CPS) and wages (QCEW), at current age (and age 18), fileholder age
- $E_{c s(l)}$ time-fixed state-cohort college cost: average (enrollmentweighted) public tuition at age 22
- Year FEs, age-22 state FEs, current state FEs


## Identification

- Based on (exogenous policy-induced) variation in timing and magnitude of tuition increases across states
- Focus on state-cohort's enrollment-weighted mean sticker price at $4 y r$ public institutions (per school year)
- Variation also exploited by Mezza et al (2016)
- Control for state and cohort fixed effects as well as for current local conditions (as well as at age 18) faced by cohorts


## Identification

- Based on (exogenous policy-induced) variation in timing and magnitude of tuition increases across states
- Focus on state-cohort's enrollment-weighted mean sticker price at $4 y r$ public institutions (per school year)
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## IV approach: use tuition as instrument for student debt

 attributes entire tuition effect on home ownership to its impact on student loan debt- Likely biased downward (more negative) as it rules out channels other than student debt that may lower homeownership
- Possible decline in quality of acquired college education
- Declining parental support in making home down payments


## Sample Construction

- For homeownership and student debt regressions: CCP fileholders
- Individuals belonging to 1979-1987 birth cohorts
- Homeownership at ages 28-30; maximum individual student debt observed by age 24
- Public tuition \& fees observed at age 22 for state-cohort (IPEDS)
- 1\% sample of Q4 in each year from 2003-2015
- $N=774,794$ person-year observations
- For educational attainment regressions: using IPUMS data
- Years of post-secondary education, college enrollment, Bachelors degree awarded; all individual-level data
- Other outside sources of data on local economic conditions
- BLS' Quarterly Census of Earnings and Wages (QCEW), county
- CPS age 18-30 unemployment, state


## Growth and Increased Dispersion in State Tuition



## Simple Cross-State \& Cohort Relationship Between Tuition and Student Debt Changes

Change in average student debt per person and tuition across states and cohorts


Slope 0.586** (pooled data)

## Simple Cross-State \& Cohort Relationship Between Tuition and Educational Attainment Changes

Change in years of education per person and tuition across states and cohorts


Slope -0.002 (pooled data)

## Simple Cross-State \& Cohort Relationship Between Tuition and Age-28 Homeownership Rate Changes

Change in homeownership and tuition across states and cohorts


## From Cohort-State Level Correlations to Causal Estimates

We next consider regression estimates of these relationships, using individual-level data, and

- extending the estimation sample to include all 2001-2009 cohorts
- incorporating home ownership at ages 29 and 30
- controlling for state and cohort fixed effects
- controlling for time-varying local economic conditions facing different cohorts in the different states and counties


## Estimated Tuition Effects

|  | Student Debt <br> (\$1,000) | College Attendance (100=Yes, $0=n$ ) | Years of College Education | BA Graduate 100=Yes, $0=n o$ | Home Ownership (100=Yes, $0=n 0$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public Tuition $(\$ 1,000)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.455^{* * *} \\ & (0.051) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.116 \\ (0.101) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.006^{*} \\ & (0.003) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.121 \\ (0.091) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.237^{* *} \\ (0.089) \end{gathered}$ |
| Clustered SEs <br> State <br> Driscoll-Kraay | $\begin{aligned} & (0.051) \\ & (0.073) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (0.115) \\ & (0.060) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (0.004) \\ & (0.002) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (0.106) \\ & (0.069) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (0.137) \\ & (0.089) \end{aligned}$ |
| Age 22 State FEs Current State FEs Year FEs Current Labor market conditions Number Obs Source | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yes } \\ \text { Yes } \\ \text { Yes } \\ 293,915 \\ \text { CCP } \end{gathered}$ | Yes <br> Yes <br> Yes <br> 261,181 <br> IPUMS |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yes } \\ \text { Yes } \\ \text { Yes } \\ \text { Yes } \\ 774,794 \end{gathered}$ |

## Estimated Tuition Effects

|  | Student Debt <br> (\$1,000) | College Attendance (100=Yes,0=no) | Years of College Education | BA Graduate 100=Yes,0=no | Home Ownership (100=Yes, $0=n 0$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public Tuition $(\$ 1,000)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.455^{* * *} \\ & (0.051) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.116 \\ (0.101) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.006^{\star} \\ & (0.003) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.121 \\ (0.091) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.237^{* *} \\ & (0.089) \end{aligned}$ |
| Clustered SEs <br> State <br> Driscoll-Kraay | $\begin{aligned} & (0.051) \\ & (0.073) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (0.115) \\ & (0.060) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (0.004) \\ & (0.002) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (0.106) \\ & (0.069) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (0.137) \\ & (0.089) \end{aligned}$ |
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## Estimated Tuition Effects

|  | Student <br> Debt <br> $(\$ 1,000)$ | College <br> Attendance <br> $(100=$ Yes,0=no) | Years of <br> College <br> Education | BA <br> Graduate <br> $100=$ Yes, $0=n o$ | Home <br> Ownership <br> $(100=$ Yes,0=no $)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public Tuition <br> $\mathbf{( \$ 1 , 0 0 0 )}$ | $0.455^{* * *}$ | -0.116 | $-0.006^{*}$ | -0.121 | $-0.237^{* *}$ |
| $(0.051)$ | $(0.101)$ | $(0.003)$ | $(0.091)$ | $(0.089)$ |  |
| Clustered SEs | $(0.051)$ | $(0.115)$ | $(0.004)$ | $(0.106)$ | $(0.137)$ |
| State <br> Driscoll-Kraay | $(0.073)$ | $(0.060)$ | $(0.002)$ | $(0.069)$ | $(0.089)$ |
| Age 22 State FEs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current State FEs <br> Year FEs | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Current Labor <br> market conditions <br> Number Obs <br> Source | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |

## Estimated Tuition Effects on Homeownership

|  | Baseline <br> Specification | Added age 24 <br> LM controls | Added age 18 <br> LM controls | IV estimate <br> effect of debt on <br> homeownership |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Public Tuition <br> (\$1,000) | $-0.237^{* *}$ | $-0.192^{* *}$ | $-0.222^{* *}$ | $-0.480^{* *}$ |
|  | $(0.089)$ | $(0.090)$ | $(0.091)$ | $(0.184)$ |

Source: New York Fed Consumer Credit Panel/Equifax

## Estimated Tuition Effects on Homeownership

|  | Baseline <br> Specification | Added age 24 <br> LM controls | Added age 18 <br> LM controls | IV estimate <br> effect of debt on <br> homeownership |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Public Tuition <br> $(\$ 1,000)$ | $-0.237^{* * *}$ | $-0.192^{* *}$ | $-0.222^{* *}$ | $-0.480^{* *}$ |
|  | $(0.089)$ | $(0.090)$ | $(0.091)$ | $(0.184)$ |

Source: New York Fed Consumer Credit Panel/Equifax
Each \$1000 increase in annual public tuition leads to:

- increase of $\$ 455$ in student debt per capita at age 24
- precisely estimated zero effects on educational attainment
- decrease of 24 basis points in likelihood of owning home

Extra $\$ 1000$ student debt reduces likelihood of owning home by 0.48 ppt

## Implications

- Observed $\$ 3578$ mean tuition climb can explain
- Approximately $\$ 1628$ (30\%) of the increase in age 24 student debt between 2003-2011
- About 0.84 (11\%) of the observed 7.7 ppt decline in age 28-30 homeownership over 2007-2015
- Observed $\$ 5707$ increase in per-capita student debt can explain 2.7 ppt (35\%) of observed homeownership decline
- As tuition measure is a proxy for college cost, estimates likely attenuated


## Tuition Effects Increase in Magnitude with State College Enrollment Rate

|  | Student Debt | Home Ownership |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Tuition (\$1,000) | $0.375^{* * *}$ <br> $(0.051)$ | -0.156 <br> $(0.097)$ |
| Tuition * Above Median <br> College Going Rate | $0.140^{* * *}$ | $-0.128^{* *}$ <br> $(0.015)$ |
| Tuition *College Going <br> Rate (Effective Tuition) | $1.237^{* * *}$ <br> $(0.073)$ | $-\mathbf{- 0 . 4 3 5 ^ { * * * }}(0.148)$ |

- Similar findings distinguishing by BA receipt and years of college education
- Tuition ownership effect 1.8 times larger for college-goers (45\% of cohorts do not attend college, never pay tuition), even larger for BA students


## Survey findings - Why not just ask whether student debt delayed home purchase?

- Pew Research Center US representative survey
- $48 \%$ of SL borrowers "Student debt makes it harder to pay other bills."
- $25 \%$ of SL borrowers: "Student debt has made it harder to buy a home
- NAR national survey of homebuyers
- Among 18-35: 44\% had student debt at time of homebuyer survey, median $\$ 25,000$.
- $53 \%$ of 18-35 buyers report having been delayed by student debt in purchasing home
- American Student Assistance survey of young professionals
- $60 \%$ : confusion with student loan repayment paperwork
- 69\%: confusion with student loan repayment options
- $75 \%$ : student debt had affected their ability to purchase a home
- NeighborWorks America national phone survey
- $57 \%$ : Student debt "at least somewhat of an obstacle to buying a home"


## Thank You!

## Conclusions

- Estimates indicate that rise in tuition contributed to increase in student debt (but not educational attainment), a decline in homeownership and increase in parental co-residence
- Signals active role for U.S. student loan system in shielding young Americans' human capital investments against shocks to education costs
- Results imply that states that increase college costs for current student cohorts can expect a response not through decline in workforce skills, but through weaker spending among young in future
- Implications for policy
- State (re-)funding of higher education
- Improving effectiveness of repayment plan options
- Student loan treatment in mortgage underwriting


## Reference Slides

## New York Fed Consumer Credit Panel (CCP)

- Features of credit report data
- 5\% representative sample of US credit report holders in any given quarter. 1999Q1-2016Q4
- Panel tracking
- Representative entry, as consumers age in or immigrate, and exit, as consumers die or emigrate
- Standard consumer debts, delinquency, collections, bankruptcy
- Age, location to Census block group, mobility, household
- Importantly, the CCP contains borrower-level information on student loan balances and payment status


## Total Household Debt Passed 2008Q3 Peak but Composition Has Changed

## Total Debt Balance and its Composition

Trillions of Dollars


## Popular Discussion

- National Association of Realtors: drop from steady-state 40\% to $30 \%$ share of existing home purchases by first-time buyers
- NAR 2014: NAR President Steve Brown cites student loans as primary factor holding back first-time buyers
- General Motors (2015), cited student debt as a major reason why millennials are not purchasing cars
- CFPB 2013: hypothesizes links between student debt, delayed household formation, delayed homeownership


## Housing Debt is \$900B Below Previous Peak; Student and Auto Debt up \$700B and \$375B

Trillions of Dollars



Total Debt by Type

## Older Borrowers (Age 60+) Take Greater Share of Debt

Trillions of Dollars
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\$8.1 Trillion


Total Debt by Age of Borrower
\$12.7 Trillion

\$12.6 Trillion


## Super-Prime Borrowers Increase Share as Subprime Tally Eases

Trillions of Dollars

8.1 Trillion


2003

Debt Balances by Credit Score

## Institutional Background

- Over $90 \%$ of debt is federal student loan debt:
- Undergraduate loans. Current variable interest rate $4.5 \%$, up to annual and cumulative loan limits
- Graduate and professional student loans at 6.0\% up to annual and cumulative loan limits
- Parent Plus and Graduate Plus loans at 7\% up to full cost of attendance
- Typical term is 10 years; options to consolidate/extend terms to 20, 25 or 30yrs if large debt
- Student debt generally not dischargeable in bankruptcy
- Growing number of repayment plan options for those w high DTI:
- Income Based Repayment (IBR), PAYE/RE-PAYE, ICR, ISR, Public Service Loan Forgiveness (PSLF), Teacher Loan Forgiveness (TLF)


## Student Debt Totaled \$1.3 Trillion in 2016, Up 170\% from 2006



## $5 \%$ of Borrowers Have More Than $\$ 100,000$ Debt in 2016, But Account For About 30\% of Total Debt

Borrower Distribution by Outstanding Balance (out of 44 million borrowers in 2016)


Debt Distribution by Outstanding Balance
(out of $\$ 1.3$ trillion in 2016)
 from Lower Income Areas


## Higher Default Rates Among Lower-Balance Borrowers



## But Repayment difficulties extend to High-Balance Borrowers



Source: New York Fed Consumer Credit Panel / Equifax

## Graduates Have Higher Homeownership Rates, Regardless Of Debt Status

Homeownership Rate By College Attendance, Graduation Status, Student Debt Status And Age


## Strong Cyclicality in Default Rates: Increased for Recession Cohorts, Declined for Recovery Cohorts



## Determinants of Student Loan Default by age 30

| 2yr Private for Profit | 0.057* (0.023) | Above Mean ZIP Income | -0.035* (0.006) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2yr Public | 0.060* (0.018) | Graduate | -0.076* (0.006) |
| 4yr Private for Profit | 0.109* (0.020) | Art | 0.039* (0.008) |
| 4 yr Private non-profit | 0.004 (0.018) | Stem | -0.001 (0.008) |
| 4yr Public | 0.003 (0.017) | Vocational | -0.003 (0.008) |
| Selective school | -0.025* (0.006) | Loan Amount (1000s) | 0.000 (0.001) |

$N=12,908$. Standard errors in parentheses. Outcome is a dummy for default between ages 27 and 30. Omitted major category is business; omitted school type is 2-year NFP. $p<0: 05, p<0: 01, p<0: 001$

Source: New York Fed Consumer Credit Panel/Equifax, National Student Clearinghouse. 1980-1986 cohorts

## Estimated Tuition Effects

|  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Student } \\ \text { Debt } \\ (\$ 1,000) \end{array}$ | College <br> Attendance <br> ( $100=Y$ Yes, $0=n 0$ ) | Years of College Education | BA Graduate | Home Ownership ( $100=$ Yes, $0=n o$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public Tuition $(\$ 1,000)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.455^{* * *} \\ & (0.051) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.116 \\ (0.101) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.006^{*} \\ & (0.003) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.121 \\ (0.091) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.237^{* *} \\ (0.089) \end{gathered}$ |
| Clustered SEs State <br> Driscoll-Kraay | $\begin{aligned} & (0.051) \\ & (0.073) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (0.115) \\ & (0.060) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (0.004) \\ & (0.002) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (0.106) \\ & (0.069) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (0.137) \\ & (0.089) \end{aligned}$ |
| Age 22 State FEs Current State FEs <br> Year FEs <br> Current Labor market conditions Number Obs Source | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Yes } \\ \\ \text { Yes } \\ \text { Yes } \\ \\ 293,915 \\ \text { CCP } \end{array}$ | Yes <br> Yes <br> Yes <br> 261,181 <br> IPUMS | Yes <br> Yes <br> Yes <br> 261,181 <br> IPUMS | Yes <br> Yes <br> Yes <br> 261,181 <br> IPUMS | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yes } \\ \text { Yes } \\ \text { Yes } \\ \text { Yes } \\ \\ 774,794 \\ \text { CCP } \end{gathered}$ |
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## Estimated Tuition Effects on Co-residence

Tuition effect among top half of states with highest college graduation rates

|  | Living with <br> Parents | Living with <br> Roommates | Living as a <br> Couple | Living Alone |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average State <br> Tuition <br> $(\$ 1,000)$ | $0.778^{* * *}$ | $-0.898^{* * *}$ | 0.058 | -0.188 |
|  | $(0.254)$ | $(0.228)$ | $(0.167)$ | $(0.136)$ |

Source: New York Fed Consumer Credit Panel/Equifax

- Extra $\$ 1000$ tuition leads to .78 ppt increase in share living w parents (tuition rise can explain about $25 \%$ of increase in parental co-residence)
- Also find significant tuition effect on transitions into/out of parental residence


## Estimated Tuition Effects on Homeownership

|  | Baseline <br> Specification | Added age 24 <br> LM controls | Added age 18 <br> LM controls | IV estimate <br> effect of debt on <br> homeownership |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public Tuition <br> (\$1,000) | $-0.237^{* * *}$ | $-0.192^{* *}$ | $-0.222^{* *}$ | $-0.480^{* *}$ |
|  | $(0.089)$ | $(0.090)$ | $(0.091)$ | $(0.184)$ |

Source: New York Fed Consumer Credit Panel/Equifax
Each $\$ 1000$ increase in annual public tuition leads to:

- increase of \$455 in student debt per capita at age 24
- precisely estimated zero effects on educational attainment
- decrease of 24 basis points in likelihood of owning home

Extra $\$ 1000$ student debt reduces likelihood of owning home by 0.48 ppt

But Lower Repayment Rates for Recent Cohorts 5 Years After Leaving School


## For-profit Students Have, By Far, Highest Default Rates but Community College Students Not Too Different



Percentage EVER defaulted by each age, out of college-goers with student loans.

- Wide divergence (16 ppt at age 33 ) of default rates between 2 year and 4 year public college students, unlike for for-profits and not-for-profits.


# Major Matters for Non-Selective Colleges, Not So Much for Selective Colleges 



Percentage EVER defaulted by each age, out of college-goers with student loans.

- Gap Differences Vary: By Far Largest for Business and Arts
- Vocational has Close to Lowest Default Rates (also true among Associates and For-profit students)


## Increasing Default Rate for Higher Balance Borrowers in Recent Cohorts

5-year default rate of high-balance borrowers by school-leaving cohort


## Non-Graduates, Associates Have Higher Default Rates



Percentage EVER defaulted by each age, out of college-goers with student loans. Bachelor's and Bachelor's+ synonymously refer to Bachelors and post-Bachelors students

## For-profit Students Have, By Far, Highest Default Rates but Community College Students Not Too Different



Percentage EVER defaulted by each age, out of college-goers with student loans.

## Major Matters for Non-Selective Colleges, Not So Much for Selective Colleges

Default Rate By Field, School Selectivity, and Age


Percentage EVER defaulted by each age, out of college-goers with student loans.

## Strong Cyclicality in Default Rates: Increased for Recession Cohorts, Declined for Recovery Cohorts



## Associates' Default Rates Considerably More Affected By Recessionary Labor Market Than Bachelor's

3-year Cohort Default Rates by Repayment Cohort and Degree Type


## Declining relative credit scores of student borrowers

## Average risk scores at $\mathbf{2 5}$ and $\mathbf{3 0}$



## Trends in Student Debt, Homeownership and Living Arrangements

Student debt at age 24


Homeownership by age


Co-residence trends among 23-25 year olds



[^0]:    Source: New York Fed Consumer Credit Panel/Equifax

[^1]:    Source: New York Fed Consumer Credit Panel/Equifax

