Intro Framework

Data

Major Cyclicality

Correlates of Switching

Robustness

Implications

Investment over the Business Cycle: Insights from College Major Choice

Erica Blom¹ Brian C. Cadena² Benjamin J. Keys³

¹Urban Institute

²Economics Department University of Colorado Boulder

³The Wharton School University of Pennsylvania and NBER

> FRBNY December 2017

Intro	Framework	Data	Major Cyclicality	Correlates of Switching	Robustness	Implications
Moti	vation					

- Business cycles have important economic consequences
 - Firms reallocate captial toward more productive uses (Davis and Haltiwanger 1990, Caballero and Hammour 1994)
 - Personal exposure changes expectations (Malmendier and Nagel 2015), risk preferences (Malmendier and Nagel 2011), beliefs about luck vs. work (Giuliano and Spilimbergo 2014)
- Large literature on responses along the "extensive margin" of the human capital investment decision (Betts and McFarland 1995, Light and Strayer 2000, Dellas and Sakellaris 2003, Bedard and Herman 2008, Dynarski 2008, Bound, Lovenheim, and Turner 2010, Barr and Turner 2013)
- Recent work suggests allocative margin of choice of college major nearly as important for earnings outcomes (Altonji, Blom, and Meghir 2012)
- Growing literature examines the determinants of major choice more broadly, especially the role of expected earnings
 - Altonji, Arcidiacono, and Maurel (2016) synthesize the recent literature
 - Baker et. al. (2017) RCT giving labor market information at 2-year level

Intro	Framework	Data	Major Cyclicality	Correlates of Switching	Robustness	Implications
Mot	vation					

- Business cycles have important economic consequences
 - Firms reallocate captial toward more productive uses (Davis and Haltiwanger 1990, Caballero and Hammour 1994)
 - Personal exposure changes expectations (Malmendier and Nagel 2015), risk preferences (Malmendier and Nagel 2011), beliefs about luck vs. work (Giuliano and Spilimbergo 2014)
- Large literature on responses along the "extensive margin" of the human capital investment decision (Betts and McFarland 1995, Light and Strayer 2000, Dellas and Sakellaris 2003, Bedard and Herman 2008, Dynarski 2008, Bound, Lovenheim, and Turner 2010, Barr and Turner 2013)
- Recent work suggests allocative margin of choice of college major nearly as important for earnings outcomes (Altonji, Blom, and Meghir 2012)
- Growing literature examines the determinants of major choice more broadly, especially the role of expected earnings
 - Altonji, Arcidiacono, and Maurel (2016) synthesize the recent literature
 - Baker et. al. (2017) RCT giving labor market information at 2-year level



- Use 50+ cohorts of newly available data to explore one important determinant of the college major decision: personal experience with economic conditions
- Provide a framework for how to separate out the influence of the business cycle on major choice

• Answer two research questions...



- Which majors gain and lose share?
- How do responses differ between men and women?

Question 2: What characteristics of majors are associated with a net gain/loss in market share?

- How important are short-run vs. long-run considerations?
- Does anything matter other than labor market prospects?

Implication: How significant is this compensating behavior in attenuating or exacerbating the costs of graduating in a recession?



- Which majors gain and lose share?
- How do responses differ between men and women?

Question 2: What characteristics of majors are associated with a net gain/loss in market share?

- How important are short-run vs. long-run considerations?
- Does anything matter other than labor market prospects?

Implication: How significant is this compensating behavior in attenuating or exacerbating the costs of graduating in a recession?



- Which majors gain and lose share?
- How do responses differ between men and women?

Question 2: What characteristics of majors are associated with a net gain/loss in market share?

- How important are short-run vs. long-run considerations?
- Does anything matter other than labor market prospects?

Implication: How significant is this compensating behavior in attenuating or exacerbating the costs of graduating in a recession?

Conceptual Framework

Key Question: Why switch?

- Costs from temporary shock small relative to lifetime income
- Substantial switching inconsistent with strong preferences and careful selection of majors
- Literature suggests students' choices not fully "rational"
 - Relatively little information about career prospects (Betts 1996, Arcidiacono, Hotz, and Kang 2011, Zafar 2009, Stinebrickner and Stinebrickner 2011, Zafar 2011, Wiswall and Zafar 2012)
- Most likely explanations:
 - Economic conditions affect the salience of college-as-investment vs. college-as-consumption
 - Students become sensitive to their majors' signal of ability to employers

Switching majors helps "recession-proof" post-graduation career

Key Question: Why switch?

- Costs from temporary shock small relative to lifetime income
- Substantial switching inconsistent with strong preferences and careful selection of majors
- Literature suggests students' choices not fully "rational"
 - Relatively little information about career prospects (Betts 1996, Arcidiacono, Hotz, and Kang 2011, Zafar 2009, Stinebrickner and Stinebrickner 2011, Zafar 2011, Wiswall and Zafar 2012)
- Most likely explanations:
 - Economic conditions affect the salience of college-as-investment vs. college-as-consumption
 - Students become sensitive to their majors' signal of ability to employers

• Switching majors helps "recession-proof" post-graduation career



Data

- Data from 2009-2013 ACS, sample limited to those with at least a bachelor's degree
- Key variables: year turned 20 years old (matched to economic conditions), field of degree, gender
 - Pro: Coverage of 50+ birth cohorts
 - Con: Cross-sectional survey; Later life outcomes by major only in 2009-2013; limited covariates measured at time of major selection

Motivating Example

- Calculate average mid-career earnings by major (ages 35-45 in 2009-2013)
- Compare average "average mid-career earnings" by cohort to unemp rate at age 20 using each cohort's major distribution as weights



- Data
 - Data from 2009-2013 ACS, sample limited to those with at least a bachelor's degree
 - Key variables: year turned 20 years old (matched to economic conditions), field of degree, gender
 - Pro: Coverage of 50+ birth cohorts
 - Con: Cross-sectional survey; Later life outcomes by major only in 2009-2013; limited covariates measured at time of major selection
- Motivating Example
 - Calculate average mid-career earnings by major (ages 35-45 in 2009-2013)
 - Compare average "average mid-career earnings" by cohort to unemp rate at age 20 using each cohort's major distribution as weights

Unemployment Rate and Average Earnings of Chosen Major



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲厘▶ ▲厘▶ 厘 の��



$$log(S_{cm}) = \beta_m * unemp_20_c + \eta_m + \delta_{1m}c + \delta_{2m}c^2 + \epsilon_{cm}$$

- Observations: cohort-major cells, grouped to be harmonized between ACS and B&B
- Dependent variable: log(share) of a cohort selecting the major, motivated by conditional logit
- Includes major fixed effects and major-specific quadratic terms
- Coefficient of interest is β_m, response in major share to unemployment rate at age 20
- Block-bootstrap standard errors by re-sampling cohorts, the effective level of variation

Framework Data Major

Major Cyclicality

Correlates of Switching

ching Robustness

Implications

Question 1: Major-Specific Trends



Major-specific quadratic trends: Women

Question 1: Identifying Variation

Log(Share) residuals vs. Unemployment Rate residuals: Women



Estimated Elasticities

Change in Log(Share) due to 1 ppt increase in Unemployment Rate - Women



Estimated Elasticities

Change in Log(Share) due to 1 ppt increase in Unemployment Rate - Men





- Yes a one ppt increase in the unemployment rate leads to a 3.2 (4.1) ppt total reallocation for men (women)
- People seem to pick harder, more math-intensive, higher paying majors
- Women respond more than men do; looks like diffusion among women.

Question 2 Overview

Question 2: What characteristics of majors (measured in ACS and B&B) are associated with a net gain/loss in market share?

- Labor Market Prospects Long Run
 - Long-run earnings (proxy for demand changes during recession)
 - Career orientation (FTFY mid-career)
- Labor Market Prospects Short Run
 - Job search difficulty
 - Employment probability (unconditional and/or relevant to degree)

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

- Difficulty
 - Math SAT of majors
 - Average math GPA of majors
 - Major-specific GPA
- Other
 - Female share (long-run)
 - Geographical mobility required(or enabled)
 - Narrowness/breadth of job opportunities
 - Pathway to graduate school

Question 2 Overview

Question 2: What characteristics of majors (measured in ACS and B&B) are associated with a net gain/loss in market share?

- Labor Market Prospects Long Run
 - Long-run earnings (proxy for demand changes during recession)
 - Career orientation (FTFY mid-career)
- Labor Market Prospects Short Run
 - Job search difficulty
 - Employment probability (unconditional and/or relevant to degree)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Difficulty
 - Math SAT of majors
 - Average math GPA of majors
 - Major-specific GPA
- Other
 - Female share (long-run)
 - Geographical mobility required(or enabled)
 - Narrowness/breadth of job opportunities
 - Pathway to graduate school

Question 2 Overview

Question 2: What characteristics of majors (measured in ACS and B&B) are associated with a net gain/loss in market share?

- Labor Market Prospects Long Run
 - Long-run earnings (proxy for demand changes during recession)
 - Career orientation (FTFY mid-career)
- Labor Market Prospects Short Run
 - Job search difficulty
 - Employment probability (unconditional and/or relevant to degree)

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

- Difficulty
 - Math SAT of majors
 - Average math GPA of majors
 - Major-specific GPA
- Other
 - Female share (long-run)
 - Geographical mobility required(or enabled)
 - Narrowness/breadth of job opportunities
 - Pathway to graduate school



$$\hat{\beta}_m = \phi_0 + \phi_1 * X_m + \omega_m$$

- Use coefficient estimates from Question 1 as dependent variables
- Explanatory variables calculated from the ACS and a single wave of B&B (treated as fixed)
- Specification details:
 - Weighted using inverse of sampling variance of dep. var.
 - Bootstrapped standard errors (repeating entire exercise)

ntro Framework

Data

Major Cyclicality

Correlates of Switching

Robustness

Implications

Correlates of Switching - Long Run Earnings





◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 _ のへぐ



Question 2: What characteristics of majors are associated with a net gain/loss in market share?

- Robust evidence that recessions alter the distribution of completed college majors toward those that have higher labor market returns.
- Long-run and short-run labor market prospects explain much of the cyclicality, but not all (76 pct for women; 60 pct for men).
- Even *conditional* on labor market prospects, individuals have increasing preferences for more difficult, more career-oriented, and more male-dominated fields (esp. among women)



Question 2: What characteristics of majors are associated with a net gain/loss in market share?

- Robust evidence that recessions alter the distribution of completed college majors toward those that have higher labor market returns.
- Long-run and short-run labor market prospects explain much of the cyclicality, but not all (76 pct for women; 60 pct for men).
- Even *conditional* on labor market prospects, individuals have increasing preferences for more difficult, more career-oriented, and more male-dominated fields (esp. among women)



Question 2: What characteristics of majors are associated with a net gain/loss in market share?

- Robust evidence that recessions alter the distribution of completed college majors toward those that have higher labor market returns.
- Long-run and short-run labor market prospects explain much of the cyclicality, but not all (76 pct for women; 60 pct for men).
- Even *conditional* on labor market prospects, individuals have increasing preferences for more difficult, more career-oriented, and more male-dominated fields (esp. among women)



• Age for unemployment rate

- Results strongest using ages 17-21; earlier or later ages weaker and insignificant
- Composition of cohorts
 - Adjust for observables (race x major FEs, birth region x major FEs) results quite similar

 Adjust for unobservables (major-specific effects of share of cohort enrolled/completed) - results qualitatively similar; relationship with earnings somewhat smaller itro Framewor

Data

Major Cyclicality

Correlates of Switching

ng Robustness

표 🛌 표

Implications

Results Robust to Different Unemployment Ages



Relationship between major cyclicality and long-run earnings



- Age for unemployment rate
 - Results strongest using ages 17-21; earlier or later ages weaker and insignificant
- Composition of cohorts
 - Adjust for observables (race x major FEs, birth region x major FEs) results quite similar

 Adjust for unobservables (major-specific effects of share of cohort enrolled/completed) - results qualitatively similar; relationship with earnings somewhat smaller



- Geographic variation in economic conditions
 - Results similar when using unemp rate based on state of birth (only geography available)
 - Noisy results when using only spatial variation (within-year) in unemp rates
- Wages of marginal students
 - No growth in left tail of earnings for countercyclical majors during recessions - marginal students appear to experience typical returns



- Geographic variation in economic conditions
 - Results similar when using unemp rate based on state of birth (only geography available)
 - Noisy results when using only spatial variation (within-year) in unemp rates
- Wages of marginal students
 - No growth in left tail of earnings for countercyclical majors during recessions marginal students appear to experience typical returns

Additional Motivation: Costs of Graduating in a Recession

- Growing literature on costs of graduating in a recession (Oyer 2006, Kahn 2010, Oreopoulos, von Wachter, and Heisz 2012, Wee 2013, Altonji, Kahn, and Speer 2013)
- Possibilities for compensating behavior
 - Enroll in and complete more schooling
 - Choose a different major
- Adjustment on the allocative margin could pay off nicely:
 - Variation in earnings across college majors nearly as large as College-HS wage gap (Altonji, Blom, and Meghir 2012)
 - Impact of recession varies substantially by major (Oreopoulos, et al. 2012, Altonji et al. 2013)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Previous literature focuses on the total effect of recessions on earnings, including effects through changes in major composition
- Back of the envelope using our results: With no major switching, recession effects would be ≈ 10 percent larger.

Additional Motivation: Costs of Graduating in a Recession

- Growing literature on costs of graduating in a recession (Oyer 2006, Kahn 2010, Oreopoulos, von Wachter, and Heisz 2012, Wee 2013, Altonji, Kahn, and Speer 2013)
- Possibilities for compensating behavior
 - Enroll in and complete more schooling
 - Choose a different major
- Adjustment on the allocative margin could pay off nicely:
 - Variation in earnings across college majors nearly as large as College-HS wage gap (Altonji, Blom, and Meghir 2012)
 - Impact of recession varies substantially by major (Oreopoulos, et al. 2012, Altonji et al. 2013)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Previous literature focuses on the total effect of recessions on earnings, including effects through changes in major composition
- Back of the envelope using our results: With no major switching, recession effects would be ≈ 10 percent larger.

Additional Motivation: Costs of Graduating in a Recession

- Growing literature on costs of graduating in a recession (Oyer 2006, Kahn 2010, Oreopoulos, von Wachter, and Heisz 2012, Wee 2013, Altonji, Kahn, and Speer 2013)
- Possibilities for compensating behavior
 - Enroll in and complete more schooling
 - Choose a different major
- Adjustment on the allocative margin could pay off nicely:
 - Variation in earnings across college majors nearly as large as College-HS wage gap (Altonji, Blom, and Meghir 2012)
 - Impact of recession varies substantially by major (Oreopoulos, et al. 2012, Altonji et al. 2013)
- Previous literature focuses on the total effect of recessions on earnings, including effects through changes in major composition
- Back of the envelope using our results: With no major switching, recession effects would be ≈ 10 percent larger.



- Temporary shocks have a long-lasting impact on the distribution of human capital in the economy; 3 ppt increase in unemployment rate increases major-based earning potential of a cohort by 1.35 percent.
- College students (especially women) are sufficiently elastic in their major choice to complete more challenging majors such as STEM fields, given initial skill levels
- Graduating in a recession would be ten percent more painful had students not reallocated across majors