# What Has The HANK Literature Taught Us About Monetary Policy?

Greg Kaplan Kenneth C. Griffin Department of Economics University of Chicago

Heterogeneity in Macroeconomics: Implications for Policy Federal Reserve Bank of New York 12 November 2021



## HANK Models

- More realistic model of household spending
  - MPCs: Relative importance of income versus interest rates
  - Poor and wealthy hand-to-mouth households
  - Heterogeneity: income sources, risk exposure, assets and debt portfolios
- Formally model and quantify:
  - Wider source of shocks: borrowing constraints, income risk, asset prices, transfers ...
  - Wider channels of policy: wealth effects, redistribution, fiscal reaction ...
  - Heterogeneous effects of shocks and policies



#### 5 Lessons About Monetary Policy and Aggregate Stabilization

- 1. Aggregate stabilization through monetary policy is harder than we thought
- 2. Distributional effects of monetary policy may be more important than aggregate effects
- 3. Reminder that monetary and fiscal policy are closely intertwined
- 4. Welfare gains from aggregate stabilization stem from alleviating individual hardship



5 Lessons About Monetary Policy and Aggregate Stabilization

- 1. Aggregate stabilization through monetary policy is harder than we thought
- 2. Distributional effects of monetary policy may be more Important than aggregate effects
- 3. Reminder that monetary and fiscal policy are closely intertwined
- 4. Welfare gains from aggregate stabilization stem from alleviating individual hardship
  - pessimistic view of monetary policy as a tool to fine-tune aggregate demand



5 Lessons About Monetary Policy and Aggregate Stabilization

- 1. Aggregate stabilization through monetary policy is harder than we thought
- 2. Distributional effects of monetary policy may be more Important than aggregate effects
- 3. Reminder that monetary and fiscal policy are closely intertwined
- 4. Welfare gains from aggregate stabilization stem from alleviating individual hardship
  - pessimistic view of monetary policy as a tool to fine-tune aggregate demand
- 5. Role of central banks and monetary policy



• Pre-HANK frameworks: strong direct role for monetary policy in aggregate stabilization:

+ large multiplier

- 1. IS-LM:
  - Investment sensitive to interest rates
  - Consumption sensitive to money
- 2. RANK:
  - Consumption sensitive to interest rates: intertemporal substitution
- Tenuous links: theoretically and empirically
- HANK:
  - Direct channels between monetary policy and aggregate activity drastically weakened
  - In their place, other channels arise: some new, some forgotten, some ignored



- 1. Direct channels
  - Income effects: interest rate exposure
  - Valuation effects: inflation
  - Cashflow effects: ARM, fixed income
  - Housing market: prices, refinancing

- 2. Indirect channels
  - Asset prices and portfolio returns
  - Firm profits, dividends and investment
  - Level and cyclicality of labor income
  - Fiscal policy
- Each involves redistribution: moving resources between households with different MPCs
- Strongest channels: impact household disposable income and accessible wealth
- Particularly important: channels operating through housing market (ARM)



- Monetary stabilization in HANK:
  - Whenever aggregate effects are large: through less direct channels than in RANK
  - Transmission depends on many factors outside central bank control



- Monetary stabilization in HANK:
  - Whenever aggregate effects are large: through less direct channels than in RANK
  - Transmission depends on many factors outside central bank control

#### Example: Forward Guidance

• RANK: magic bullet descended from Euler equation and intertemporal substitution

#### • HANK:

- Spending less sensitive to future interest rates
- Construct economies with either large or small effects of forward guidance
- Depends on details of indirect channels: firm profits, fiscal policy, ...



## Lesson 2: Redistributive Effects Cannot be Ignored

- Pre-HANK view:
  - Redistributive effects: second-order collateral damage from aggregate stabilization
  - Safely ignore: rely on fiscal policy to offset consequences
  - Political fabric of central bank independence: monetary policy only affects size of pie



## Lesson 2: Redistributive Effects Cannot be Ignored

- Pre-HANK view:
  - Redistributive effects: second-order collateral damage from aggregate stabilization
  - Safely ignore: rely on fiscal policy to offset consequences
  - Political fabric of central bank independence: monetary policy only affects size of pie
- Post-HANK view:
  - Stabilization through monetary policy necessarily has distributional consequences:
    - Indirect channels: more heterogeneous effects (MPCs, asset prices....)
    - Redistributive channels: *cov*(MPC, URE)
  - Redistribution often more consequential than aggregate effects



## Lesson 2: Redistributive Effects Cannot be Ignored

#### Example: Asset purchase programs

- Indirect transmission: uncertainty and disagreement over strength of aggregate effects
- Redistribution towards households with existing exposure
- HANK is one factor in a broader reckoning
  - Forced to let go of the fiction that we can separate stabilization from redistribution
  - Offer framework: central banking in an era where redistributive effects are not ignored
- Two broad reactions:
  - 1. Take advantage of new-found power to redistribute
  - 2. Temper attempts to fine-tune aggregate demand: unintended collateral damage



# Lesson 3: Reminder of Monetary and Fiscal Connection

- Pre-RANK: interconnectedness of monetary and fiscal policy well appreciated
  - Sargent, Wallace, Sims, ...
- RANK: safely separate monetary and fiscal policy
  - Intertemporal substitution, cashless limit, Ricardian equivalence, passive fiscal policy, B=0



# Lesson 3: Reminder of Monetary and Fiscal Connection

- **Pre-RANK:** interconnectedness of monetary and fiscal policy well appreciated ٠
  - Sargent, Wallace, Sims, ...
- **RANK:** safely separate monetary and fiscal policy ٠
  - Intertemporal substitution, cashless limit, Ricardian equivalence, passive fiscal policy, B=0 ٠
- HANK: cannot separate, even with passive fiscal policy ٠
  - B>0: Interest rates affect government budget position vis-à-vis private sector
  - Tax or transfers must adjust at some horizon: RA: details irrelevant HA: details crucial



## Lesson 3: Reminder of Monetary and Fiscal Connection

- Duration of debt portfolio governs direct effect of interest rates:
  - Short maturity: details of fiscal response drive aggregate and distributional effects
  - Long maturity: interest rate exposure shifted to (subset of) households: wealth effects
- Channels and tools with large aggregate effects in HANK often resemble fiscal policy
  - Level and distribution of household income and liquid wealth
  - Quantitative easing: effectively subsidize purchase of certain assets
  - Direct purchase of government debt used to fund fiscal stimulus



# Lesson 4: Welfare Gains from Alleviating Individual Hardship

- Bourgeoning literature on optimal policy in HANK models: common theme
  - Gains from alleviating individual hardship swamp gains from aggregate stabilization
  - Optimal policies redistribute towards households whose consumption falls in recession
- Force is strong! What to do about it?
  - Introduce additional instruments to undo insurance and redistribution incentives?
  - Define welfare criteria that isolate stabilization from insurance and redistribution?
- Misses the point: HANK suggests aggregate stabilization is valuable only to extent that it:
  - Stabilizes individual consumption of most vulnerable households; or
  - Redistributes towards poor or exposed households



# Lesson 4: Welfare Gains from Alleviating Individual Hardship

- Surprising? In hindsight, not really, given:
  - Relative sizes of aggregate vs idiosyncratic risk
  - Relative welfare gains from eliminating business cycles vs completing markets



# Lesson 4: Welfare Gains from Alleviating Individual Hardship

- Surprising? In hindsight, not really, given:
  - Relative sizes of aggregate vs idiosyncratic risk
  - Relative welfare gains from eliminating business cycles vs completing markets
- Normative lesson:

(A) Should monetary policy lean into redistribution and alleviating hardship? or(B) Should we leave this to policy instruments better suited to this task?

- Positive lesson:
  - HANK models: provide theoretical cover for a lot of recent policy directions
  - Shifting mindset: from aggregate stabilization to alleviating individual hardship
  - Helped me make sense of recent central bank speeches and policy stances



# Lesson 5: Central Banks Matter Beyond Fine-Tuning Demand

- Lessons 1-4: interpret as we should abandon monetary policy as a stabilization tool?
  - Stabilization through monetary policy is hard:
    - Direct channels are weak
    - Strong channels are indirect, with many factors outside central bank control
    - Redistribution is unavoidable: sometimes in counter-productive ways
    - Fiscal implications are unavoidable
  - Even if we can succeed in stabilizing demand:
    - Likely small benefits relative to directly alleviating hardship
    - Better instruments exist for insurance and redistribution
    - Distributional implications threaten central bank independence



# Lesson 5: Central Banks Matter Beyond Fine-Tuning Demand

- Focus less on fine-tuning aggregate demand as primary goal of monetary policy
- **Re-focus attention:** achievable objectives of monetary policy:
  - Financial system: financial market liquidity, bank health, lender of last result
  - Payment system
  - Reasonable inflation: preventing hyperinflationary or deflationary outcomes
- Danger: overcommitting to a role to which they are unsuited, central banks risk jeopardizing the crucially important functions that they are uniquely posed to fulfill

