

CLIMATE CHANGE AND THE SPATIAL REALLOCATION OF LABOR

TATYANA DERYUGINA

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, URBANA-CHAMPAIGN

HOW WILL CLIMATE CHANGE AFFECT THE SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF LABOR?

- 1. There is a lot of scope for spatial reallocation of labor, at least in the US
- 2. Most people move for job-related reasons and fairly early in life \Rightarrow Future productivity of places is important for future spatial distribution of labor
- 3. There is a lot of uncertainty about future productivity of places
- 4. Many people live in seemingly sub-optimal locations, and we don't really understand why

MOST PEOPLE MOVE ACROSS STATES FOR JOB-RELATED REASONS



Source: Jia et al. (JEL forthcoming)

HIGH TEMPERATURES ARE BAD FOR PRODUCTIVITY...



Dashed lines correspond to estimates for both urban and rural counties. Source: Deryugina and Hsiang (2017)

... BUT ACCOUNTING FOR ADAPTATION MATTERS

Change in total income 1991-2100 (NPV median trajectory relative to no warming)

Change in total farm income 1991-2100 (NPV median trajectory relative to no warming)









billions of 2011 US dollars in net present value (3% discount rate)

Source: Deryugina and Hsiang (2017)

IN THE LONG RUN WE ARE ALL DEAD

- There is evidence of *cross*sectional adaptation
- But no evidence of adaptation to high temperatures in 40 years of US data



Source: Deryugina and Hsiang (2017)

SPEED OF ADAPTATION MATTERS



 Fast adaptation eliminates about 75% of damage (NPV), slow adaptation eliminates about 20%

 There is a lot of uncertainty about future productivity of places

MANY PEOPLE LIVE IN SEEMINGLY SUB-OPTIMAL LOCATIONS



Sources: Deryugina, Kawano, and Levitt (2017), Deryugina and Molitor (2020), respectively

RELOCATION DUE TO CLIMATE CHANGE MAY BE MINIMAL

- Mathes (2022) uses administrative Medicare data for 65+ year-olds to calibrate a spatial equilibrium model where location affects health and mortality:
 - Climate change will lower life expectancy at age 65 by 0.18 years, but few 65+ year-olds will relocate in response
 - The annual welfare value of being able to relocate in response to climate change is only \$7 per capita, tiny compared to the annual welfare value of being able to relocate more generally (\$2,085)
- Would similar conclusions be reached by studying the working-age population?

THE FASTEST-GROWING METRO AREAS ALREADY HAVE HOT CLIMATES



Source: Jia et al. (forthcoming *JEL*)

Migration is defined as moving in 2018-2019

WE NEED TO UNDERSTAND WHY PEOPLE **REMAIN IN** SEEMINGLY "SUBOPTIMAL" PLACES



Offsetting amenities



Social networks



Lack of information



Habit formation