## STAFF REPORTS

NO. 1082 JANUARY 2024

# The New York Fed DSGE Model: A Post-Covid Assessment

Marco Del Negro | Keshav Dogra | Aidan Gleich | Pranay Gundam | Donggyu Lee | Ramya Nallamotu| Brian Pacula

FEDERAL RESERVE BANK of NEW YORK

#### The New York Fed DSGE Model: A Post-Covid Assessment

Marco Del Negro, Keshav Dogra, Aidan Gleich, Pranay Gundam, Donggyu Lee, Ramya Nallamotu, and Brian Pacula *Federal Reserve Bank of New York Staff Reports*, no. 1082 January 2024 https://doi.org/10.59576/sr.1082

#### Abstract

We document the real-time forecasting performance for output and inflation of the New York Fed dynamic stochastic general equilibrium (DSGE) model since 2011. We find the DSGE's accuracy to be comparable to that of private forecasters before Covid, but somewhat worse thereafter.

JEL classification: E3, E43, E44, C32, C11, C54 Keywords: DSGE models, real-time forecasts, inflation

Del Negro, Dogra, Gundam, Lee, Nallamotu, Pacula: Federal Reserve Bank of New York (emails: marco.delnegro@ny.frb.org, keshav.dogra@ny.frb.org, pranay.gundam@ny.frb.org, donggyu.lee@ny.frb.org, ramya.nallomotu@ny.frb.org, brian.pacula@ny.frb.org). Gleich: Duke University (email: aidan.gleich@duke.edu). This paper owes much to the many economists and RAs who were at some point part of the New York Fed DSGE Team, and in particular to William Chen, Marc Giannoni, Shlok Goyal, Alissa Johnson, Ethan Matlin, Reca Sarfati, and Andrea Tambalotti.

This paper presents preliminary findings and is being distributed to economists and other interested readers solely to stimulate discussion and elicit comments. The views expressed in this paper are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the position of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York or the Federal Reserve System. The New York Fed DSGE forecast is not an official New York Fed forecast, but only an input to the Research staff's overall forecasting process. Any errors or omissions are the responsibility of the author(s).

## 1 Introduction

The implicit promise of Smets and Wouters (2007)'s work was to deliver a structural model that could be reliably used by central banks for understanding and forecasting economic developments and conducting quantitative policy analysis. Many policy institutions, including the Federal Reserve Bank of New York (NY Fed), followed up on that promise and added DSGEs to their existing suite of models. How did the promise pan out? We address this question by providing evidence on the relative forecasting performance of the NY Fed DSGE model over the past twelve years comparing it to the average expectation of professional forecasters such as the Blue Chip Economic Indicators (BCEI) consensus and the median Survey of Economic Forecasters (SPF). We find that the forecast accuracy of the NY Fed DSGE model has been by and large comparable to that of professional forecasters for output growth, as discussed in section 2. The model has been less accurate than private forecasters in terms of core PCE inflation forecasts, with most of the gap arising after Covid.

The period considered here presented many challenges to a rather canonical DSGE model,<sup>1</sup> as it featured several unprecedented situations. These include the recovery from the Great Recession with the federal funds rate (FFR) at the zero lower bound (ZLB) and quantitative easing, the change in the monetary policy framework with the advent of average inflation targeting, and the Covid crisis and its aftermath. Section 3 discusses how we

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The NY Fed DSGE is a medium-scale DSGE model á la Smets and Wouters (2007) with financial frictions as in Bernanke et al. (1999) and Christiano et al. (2014). The model is described in Del Negro et al. (2015, 2020). The site https://github.com/FRBNY-DSGE contains the code and a description of the model and the data used to estimate it. This information is also in the online appendix and contains all the details that were omitted in the paper for the sake of brevity.

addressed these challenges in real time, and the DSGE's few successes and many failures in forecasting the economy. From these failures we learn how the model can be improved.

### 2 The NY Fed's DSGE Forecasting Performance

Many papers have documented the *pseudo* real-time out-of-sample forecasting performance of DSGE models (eg, Del Negro and Schorfheide, 2013, and the literature cited therein). While this literature uses real-time data to estimate the DSGE model(s) and produce the forecasts, the results still suffer from hindsight bias: the model (and the priors on the parameters) may be chosen knowing the results of the exercise. Here we document the *real* real-time out-ofsample performance of a DSGE model: the NY Fed DSGE's forecasts used to compute the root mean square errors (RMSEs) were produced for the FOMC policy cycle eight times a year starting in 2011, incorporated in FOMC memos or other internal documents, and made public since 2014 on the NY Fed's Liberty Street Economics (LSE) blog.<sup>2</sup> The forecasting comparison setup is the same as in Cai et al. (2019), and for brevity we refer to this paper for details. One feature of the comparison is worth stressing: the vintage of DSGE model forecast used for comparison with professional forecasters is always earlier than the corresponding BCEI or SPF vintage, implying that the latter has an informational advantage relative to the DSGE (Table A-1 in the appendix lists all the vintages used to compute the RMSEs). In the case of SPF, this information advantage almost always amounts to having one more quarter of data (SPFs are collected right after the new BEA data are released, while DSGE forecasts are produced about two to three weeks before the previous FOMC meeting).

The left column of Figure 1 shows the RMSEs for the entire sample considered here,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>FOMC memos are available at federalreserve.gov/monetarypolicy/fomc-memos.htm



2011Q1 to 2023Q2. We show results for quarterly GDP growth (BCEI vs. DSGE in the first row and SPF vs. DSGE in the second row) and quarterly core PCE inflation (SPF vs. DSGE, third row; the BCEI do not forecast core PCE inflation). Especially for GDP growth, the full

sample results are of limited interest as they include the two quarters when growth swung dramatically because of the pandemic (2020Q2-Q3) and where the informational advantages had enormous consequences (e.g., BCEI forecasts made in early April 2020 are compared to DSGE projections made in late January 2020). The second column shows the RMSEs excluding these two quarters, and the next two columns decompose them into pre-Covid (2011Q1-2019Q4) and post-Covid (2020Q4-2023Q2) RMSEs.

The results are as follows. First, the economy has become much harder to forecast after Covid: RMSEs are at least twice as large in the post-2020Q4 period than in the pre-Covid one for both the DSGE and private forecasters, except for very short horizons. This finding may not be surprising for inflation, but is perhaps less well known for output growth. Second, for output growth the DSGE forecasting accuracy is about as good as that of the *average* of private forecasters, especially for the pre-Covid period. Recall that we are comparing the predictions of a single model—the DSGE—to those of forecast combinations. It is well known that such combinations are generally more accurate than their individual components. For the post-Covid period, the DSGE is less accurate than private forecasters for long horizons, although this deterioration in accuracy is partly driven by forecasts made during the pandemic quarters (see appendix Figure A-1), which we discuss below. For core PCE inflation, the DSGE is slightly less accurate than the average SPF before Covid although some of this gap may be attributable to the informational advantage of the SPF, which may matter more for inflation given that it is more persistent than output growth. After Covid, the gap between the SPF and the DSGE grows much larger.

Figure A-2 provides the forecast errors two and six quarters ahead. It shows that especially six quarters ahead, the DSGE and professional forecasters' projections are often

similar, with two notable exceptions. One is the period after Covid, which we discuss next. The other is the first half of the 2010s, when the DSGE had consistently more pessimistic output projections compared to the SPF or the BCEI. This pessimism, which was often correct, was driven by headwinds in the aftermath of the Great Recession (see Cocci et al., 2014). It translated into inflation forecasts that were below the 2 percent inflation target, and lower than the SPF projections. *Ex post*, these forecasts turned out to be too low.

## 3 Covid and Its Aftermath—the NY Fed DSGE's Take

This section revisits the recent history of the US economy through the lenses of the DSGE, focusing on four points in time. For each we discuss the model's interpretation of current events, and the rationale for its output growth and core PCE inflation forecasts, shown in Figure 2. We compare these forecasts, which were all published in LSE posts, to those of the SPF and the central tendency of the FOMC's Summary of Economic Projections (SEP).<sup>3</sup>

In the spring of 2020 we changed the model to accommodate the fact that the economic effects of Covid were different from those implied by standard recessions. We introduced a new set of temporary shocks (discount rate, productivity, and leisure preference shocks) whose importance (standard deviation) reflected our *a priori* uncertainty on whether the Covid shock reflected demand or supply factors. To incorporate the substantial uncertainty surrounding the persistence of the pandemic's effects we constructed three scenarios, which

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Figure A-3 shows the uncertainty around these projections, as well as forecasts of the natural rate of interest r<sup>\*</sup> and the FFR in real terms. In this section we show the SPF forecasts released before the corresponding FOMC cycle, as they were based on roughly the same information as the DSGE projections. For computing the RMSEs in Figure 1 we use instead the SPF forecasts produced a quarter later.



*Note*: Solid red: NY Fed DSGE forecast; solid black: historical data available at the time; dashed black: revised data; yellow diamonds: SPF projections; purple circles: SEP central tendency.

differed in the extent to which standard business cycle shocks were allowed to explain the effects of the pandemic as measured by the May SPF nowcasts for GDP growth and inflation in 2020Q2.<sup>4</sup> The resulting mean GDP growth projections (red line) were roughly in line with SPF forecasts (yellow diamonds) for the first few quarters, and less optimistic than the SEP forecasts (purple circles) thereafter.<sup>5</sup> The comparison with actual outcomes (dashed line) shows that the DSGE underestimated the speed of the recovery in 2020 and early 2021. Both the SPF and the SEP expected inflation to be well below 2 percent in the aftermath of Covid, but the DSGE forecasts even lower inflation, partly as a consequence of the weight put on the more pessimistic scenarios.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>See Figure A-4. The scenario weights were loosely informed by the SPF probability distribution.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Only the nowcast was the same by construction since we treat the SPF nowcast as data when forecasting.

The second point in time we consider is December 2021, after inflation had begun to rise dramatically.<sup>6</sup> At the time, the SPF as well as the SEP expected strong growth in 2022, as they projected the level of economic activity to return to pre-Covid trends. The DSGE was more pessimistic, as it expected the effects of post-Covid expansionary monetary policy to wane over time, and turned out to be more correct. Its forecast of inflation, while not much different from the SPF projection, was once again widely off the mark, however.<sup>7</sup> What was the reason for the miss in forecasting inflation, other than the additional shock due to the Ukraine war? The DSGE attributed almost all of the surge in inflation up until then to costpush shocks (see Del Negro et al., 2022),<sup>8</sup> whose impact on inflation was expected to decline in line with the historical experience.<sup>9</sup> One possibility is that post-Covid cost-push shocks had more persistent effects than the historical average. Another is that this representative agent model failed to recognize the impact of redistributive fiscal policy.

By June 2022 the removal of policy accommodation had started in earnest. In order  $^{6}$ Reflecting the new FOMC monetary policy strategy since 2020Q4 we replaced the historical (estimated) policy reaction function with a flexible average inflation targeting (AIT) reaction function. Its parameters were chosen so that the rule could rationalize the September 2020 pledge to keep rates at the ZLB for an extended period (early 2023, in line with expectations then) given projections for activity and inflation at that time. To prevent the model from front-loading the effects of this policy change (Del Negro et al., 2023), we assumed that AIT was only gradually incorporated by the agents in forming expectations: these are formed using a convex combination of forecasts obtained under the old and the new policy reaction functions (see Chen et al., 2020, and the appendix for details).

<sup>7</sup>Unlike the SPF and DSGE, the SEP projections were informed by the December CPI report.

<sup>8</sup>Historically, cost-push shocks are responsible for about 20 percent of the variance of core PCE inflation. <sup>9</sup>Accommodative monetary policy exacerbated the inflationary effects of cost-push shocks according to the model: when the FFR is at the ZLB, which we implemented as an occasionally binding constraint as in Cagliarini and Kulish (2013), inflationary cost-push shocks imply a real rate decline that stimulates demand. to inform the model about the expected pace of this removal, we have been using FFR expectations from the Survey of Primary Dealers as a model observable. The DSGE did not believe in a "soft landing" and turned out to be wrong: the model predicted a drop in economic activity that never materialized. Inflation projections were in line with those of the SPF, and within the SEP central tendency, but were once again too optimistic.

The model sees the economy's resilience over the past several months as the result of productivity shocks, but mostly financial shocks: financial conditions, as measured by corporate spreads in the model, ended up stronger than predicted given the monetary tightening. Importantly for assessing the stance of monetary policy, such strength translates into a higher r\*, implying that policy may not be as restrictive as the FFR level would suggest. Growth is projected to decline to below trend during 2024, in line with the SPF, and to remain subdued in 2025 as the expansionary effect of strong financial conditions wanes. Inflation is forecast to decline toward 2 percent over time, as the effect of past cost-push shocks wanes and stronger productivity counteracts the demand-side inflation induced by financial shocks.

#### 4 Conclusions

Even if forecasting is itself not a model's purpose, assessing its forecast accuracy is an important test of its realism. If a model forecasts poorly, it is far from obvious why its quantitative results should be trusted, whether the model is used for policy counterfactuals or to understand economic developments. On this ground the NY Fed DSGE arguably gets a passing grade: its real-time performance since 2011 has been on par with that of professional forecasters for output and a little worse for inflation. It has deteriorated since Covid, partly as a result of taking the wrong side on many recent key issues—from how transitory the inflation bout was to whether disinflation was compatible with a soft landing. The DSGE's not-so-great performance for inflation suggests that more work is needed on this front. Alternative approaches that allow for heterogeneity should also be explored, and we have already started work along these lines at the NY Fed (Acharya et al., 2023).

## References

- Acharya, Sushant, William Chen, Marco Del Negro, Keshav Dogra, Aidan Gleich, Shlok Goyal, Ethan Matlin, Donggyu Lee, Reca Sarfati, and Sikata Sengupta, "Estimating HANK for Central Banks," *FRBNY Staff Reports 1071*, 2023.
- Bernanke, Ben, Mark Gertler, and Simon Gilchrist, "The Financial Accelerator in a Quantitative Business Cycle Framework," in John Taylor and Michael Woodford, eds., *Handbook of Macroeconomics*, Vol. 1, North Holland, 1999, chapter 21, pp. 1341–93.
- Cagliarini, Adam and Mariano Kulish, "Solving linear rational expectations models with predictable structural changes," *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 2013, 95 (1), 328–336.
- Cai, Michael, Marco Del Negro, Marc P Giannoni, Abhi Gupta, Pearl Li, and Erica Moszkowski, "DSGE forecasts of the lost recovery," International Journal of Forecasting, 2019, 35 (4), 1770–1789.
- Chen, William, Marco Del Negro, Shlok Goyal, and Alissa Johnson, "The New York Fed DSGE Model ForecastDecember 2020," *Liberty Street Economics*, 2020.

- Christiano, Lawrence J., Roberto Motto, and Massimo Rostagno, "Risk Shocks," American Economic Review, 2014, 104 (1), 27–65.
- Cocci, Matthew, Marco Del Negro, Stefano Eusepi, Marc P Giannoni, and Sara Shahanaghi, "The FRBNY DSGE Model Forecast," *Liberty Street Economics*, 2014.
- Del Negro, Marco, Aidan Gleich, Shlok Goyal, Alissa Johnson, and Andrea Tambalotti, "Drivers of Inflation: The New York Fed DSGE Models Perspective," *Liberty Street Economics*, 2022.
- and Frank Schorfheide, "DSGE Model-Based Forecasting," in Elliott and Timmermann, eds., Handbook of Economic Forecasting, Volume 2, Elsevier, 2013.
- -, Giorgio E Primiceri, Michele Lenza, and Andrea Tambalotti, "What's Up with the Phillips Curve?," *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, 2020, Spring, 301–373.
- \_, Marc P Giannoni, and Christina Patterson, "The Forward Guidance Puzzle," Journal of Political Economy Macroeconomics, 2023, 1 (1), 43–79.
- \_ , \_ , and Frank Schorfheide, "Inflation in the Great Recession and New Keynesian Models," *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics*, 2015, 7 (1), 168–196.
- Smets, Frank and Raf Wouters, "Shocks and Frictions in US Business Cycles: A Bayesian DSGE Approach," *American Economic Review*, 2007, *97* (3), 586–606.

# **Online Appendix**

E	Blue Chip	SPF	
Vintage	NYFed Vintage	Vintage	NYFed Vintage
110410	110315		
110710	110615	110513	110311
		111114	111019
120410	120409	120210	120111
120710	120605	120511	120409
121010	120829		
130110	121113		
130410	130308	130215	130124
130710	130613		
131010	130912	130816	130723
140110	131212	131125	131023
140410	140313		
140710	140610	140516	140423
141010	140908	140815	140722
150110	141209	141117	141021
150410	150309	150213	150121
150710	150610	150515	150421
151010	150828	150814	150721
160110	151204	151113	151014
160410	160226	160212	160117
160710	160527	160513	160418
161010	160829	160812	160715
170110	161129	161114	161021
170410	170228	170210	170125
170710	170606	170512	170411
171010	170830	170811	170717
	Vintage   110410   110710   120410   120710   121010   130110   130410   130410   130710   130410   130710   130100   140100   140710   140710   150110   150110   150410   150710   150710   160110   160710   160710   160710   170110   170410	110410   110315     110710   110615     120410   120409     120710   120605     121010   120829     130110   121113     130410   130308     130710   130613     130710   130613     131010   130912     140110   131212     140410   140313     140710   140610     141010   140908     150110   141209     150410   150309     150710   150610     151010   150828     160110   151204     160410   160226     160710   160527     161010   160829     170110   161129     170410   170228     170710   170606	VintageNYFed VintageVintage110410110315110513110710110615110513110710110615110513120410120409120210120710120605120511120101208291201113010121113130215130101306131302151307101306131302151307101309121308161401013091213081614010140313140516140710140610140516140710140610140516140710140610140516150110150309150213150110150610150515150100150828150814160110150828150814160110160527160513160710160527160513160100160829160812170110161129161114170410170228170210170710170606170512

Table A-1: Vintages for Real Real-Time Forecast Comparison (Vintages are in two digits for year-month-day)

2017-Q41801101712041711131710172018-Q11804101803021802091801302018-Q21807101806291805111803022018-Q31810101808301808101806292018-Q41901101811301811131808302019-Q11904101903051903221811302019-Q21907101905311905101904182019-Q31910101908291908091907122019-Q42001101911181911151910172020-Q12004102002282002142001152020-Q22007102006042005152004102020-Q32010102011172011162009012021-Q42101102011172011162009012021-Q22107102106012105142104122021-Q32110102111292111152110192022-Q42201102111292111152110192022-Q2220710220252202112201102022-Q3221010211292111152110192022-Q42301102208262208122207052022-Q42301102302272302102301172023-Q22307102306062305122304192023-Q3231010230830230811230710					
2018-Q21807101806291805111803022018-Q31810101808301808101806292018-Q41901101811301811131808302019-Q11904101903051903221811302019-Q21907101905311905101904182019-Q31910101908291908091907122019-Q42001101911181911151910172020-Q12004102002282002142001152020-Q22007102006042005152004102020-Q32010102011172011162009012021-Q12104102102252102122101072021-Q22107102106012105142104122021-Q32110102111292111152110192021-Q42201102111292111152110192022-Q3221010220252202112201102022-Q42301102208262208122207052022-Q32210102201162211142210142023-Q12304102302272302102301172023-Q2230710230606230512230419	2017-Q4	180110	171204	171113	171017
2018-Q31810101808301808101806292018-Q41901101811301811131808302019-Q11904101903051903221811302019-Q21907101905311905101904182019-Q31910101908291908091907122019-Q42001101911181911151910172020-Q12004102002282002142001152020-Q22007102006042005152004102020-Q32010102011172011162009012021-Q12104102102252102122110072021-Q22107102106012105142104122021-Q3211010211129211152110192022-Q12204102202252202112201102022-Q22207102207052205132202252022-Q32210102208262208122207052022-Q42301102211162211142210142023-Q12304102302272302102301172023-Q2230710230606230512230419	2018-Q1	180410	180302	180209	180130
2018-Q41901101811301811131808302019-Q11904101903051903221811302019-Q21907101905311905101904182019-Q31910101908291908091907122019-Q42001101911181911151910172020-Q12004102002282002142001152020-Q22007102006042005152004102020-Q32010102009012008142006042020-Q42101102011172011162009012021-Q12104102102252102122101072021-Q22107102106012105142104122021-Q32110102111292111152110192022-Q12204102202252202112201102022-Q32210102207052205132202252022-Q42301102211162211142210142023-Q12304102302272302102301172023-Q2230710230606230512230419	2018-Q2	180710	180629	180511	180302
2019-Q11904101903051903221811302019-Q21907101905311905101904182019-Q31910101908291908091907122019-Q42001101911181911151910172020-Q12004102002282002142001152020-Q22007102006042005152004102020-Q32010102009012008142006042020-Q42101102011172011162009012021-Q12104102102252102122101072021-Q22107102106012105142104122021-Q32110102111292111152110192022-Q12204102202252202112201102022-Q22207102207052205132202252022-Q3221010221162211142210142023-Q12304102302272302102301172023-Q2230710230606230512230419	2018-Q3	181010	180830	180810	180629
2019-Q21907101905311905101904182019-Q31910101908291908091907122019-Q42001101911181911151910172020-Q12004102002282002142001152020-Q22007102006042005152004102020-Q32010102009012008142006042020-Q4210102011172011162009012020-Q4210102102252102122101072021-Q12107102106012105142104122021-Q32110102108312108132107152021-Q42201102111292111152110192022-Q12204102202252202112201102022-Q22207102207052205132202252022-Q32210102211162211142210142023-Q1230110211162211142210142023-Q2230710230606230512230419	2018-Q4	190110	181130	181113	180830
2019-Q31910101908291908091907122019-Q42001101911181911151910172020-Q12004102002282002142001152020-Q22007102006042005152004102020-Q32010102009012008142006042020-Q42101102011172011162009012021-Q12104102102252102122101072021-Q22107102106012105142104122021-Q32110102111292111152110192021-Q42201102111292111152110192022-Q12207102202552202112201102022-Q22207102208262208122207052022-Q4230110221116211142210142023-Q12304102302272302102301172023-Q2230710230606230512230419	2019-Q1	190410	190305	190322	181130
2019-Q42001101911181911151910172020-Q12004102002282002142001152020-Q22007102006042005152004102020-Q32010102009012008142006042020-Q42101102011172011162009012021-Q12104102102252102122101072021-Q22107102106012105142104122021-Q32110102108312108132107152021-Q42201102111292111152110192022-Q12204102202252202112201102022-Q22207102207052205132202252022-Q42301102211162211142210142023-Q12304102302272302102301172023-Q2230710230606230512230419	2019-Q2	190710	190531	190510	190418
2020-Q12004102002282002142001152020-Q22007102006042005152004102020-Q32010102009012008142006042020-Q42101102011172011162009012021-Q12104102102252102122101072021-Q22107102106012105142104122021-Q32110102108312108132107152021-Q42201102111292111152110192022-Q12204102202252202112201102022-Q22207102208262208122207052022-Q4230110211162211142210142023-Q12304102302272302102301172023-Q2230710230606230512230419	2019-Q3	191010	190829	190809	190712
2020-Q22007102006042005152004102020-Q32010102009012008142006042020-Q42101102011172011162009012021-Q12104102102252102122101072021-Q22107102106012105142104122021-Q32110102108312108132107152021-Q42201102111292111152110192022-Q12204102202252202112201102022-Q22207102207052205132202252022-Q3221010221116221114210142023-Q12304102302272302102301172023-Q2230710230606230512230419	2019-Q4	200110	191118	191115	191017
2020-Q32010102009012008142006042020-Q42101102011172011162009012021-Q12104102102252102122101072021-Q22107102106012105142104122021-Q32110102108312108132107152021-Q42201102111292111152110192022-Q12204102202252202112201102022-Q22207102207052205132202252022-Q32210102208262208122207052022-Q42301102211162211142210142023-Q12304102302272302102301172023-Q2230710230606230512230419	2020-Q1	200410	200228	200214	200115
2020-Q42101102011172011162009012021-Q12104102102252102122101072021-Q22107102106012105142104122021-Q32110102108312108132107152021-Q42201102111292111152110192022-Q12204102202252202112201102022-Q22207102207052205132202252022-Q32210102208262208122207052022-Q42301102211162211142210142023-Q12304102302272302102301172023-Q2230710230606230512230419	2020-Q2	200710	200604	200515	200410
2021-Q12104102102252102122101072021-Q22107102106012105142104122021-Q32110102108312108132107152021-Q42201102111292111152110192022-Q12204102202252202112201102022-Q22207102207052205132202252022-Q32210102208262208122207052022-Q42301102211162211142210142023-Q12304102302272302102301172023-Q2230710230606230512230419	2020-Q3	201010	200901	200814	200604
2021-Q22107102106012105142104122021-Q32110102108312108132107152021-Q42201102111292111152110192022-Q12204102202252202112201102022-Q22207102207052205132202252022-Q32210102208262208122207052022-Q42301102211162211142210142023-Q12304102302272302102301172023-Q2230710230606230512230419	2020-Q4	210110	201117	201116	200901
2021-Q32110102108312108132107152021-Q42201102111292111152110192022-Q12204102202252202112201102022-Q22207102207052205132202252022-Q32210102208262208122207052022-Q42301102211162211142210142023-Q12304102302272302102301172023-Q2230710230606230512230419	2021-Q1	210410	210225	210212	210107
2021-Q42201102111292111152110192022-Q12204102202252202112201102022-Q22207102207052205132202252022-Q32210102208262208122207052022-Q42301102211162211142210142023-Q12304102302272302102301172023-Q2230710230606230512230419	2021-Q2	210710	210601	210514	210412
2022-Q12204102202252202112201102022-Q22207102207052205132202252022-Q32210102208262208122207052022-Q42301102211162211142210142023-Q12304102302272302102301172023-Q2230710230606230512230419	2021-Q3	211010	210831	210813	210715
2022-Q22207102207052205132202252022-Q32210102208262208122207052022-Q42301102211162211142210142023-Q12304102302272302102301172023-Q2230710230606230512230419	2021-Q4	220110	211129	211115	211019
2022-Q32210102208262208122207052022-Q42301102211162211142210142023-Q12304102302272302102301172023-Q2230710230606230512230419	2022-Q1	220410	220225	220211	220110
2022-Q42301102211162211142210142023-Q12304102302272302102301172023-Q2230710230606230512230419	2022-Q2	220710	220705	220513	220225
2023-Q12304102302272302102301172023-Q2230710230606230512230419	2022-Q3	221010	220826	220812	220705
2023-Q2 230710 230606 230512 230419	2022-Q4	230110	221116	221114	221014
	2023-Q1	230410	230227	230210	230117
2023-Q3 231010 230830 230811 230710	2023-Q2	230710	230606	230512	230419
	2023-Q3	231010	230830	230811	230710

Figure A-1: Post-Covid (2020Q4-2023Q2) Out-of-sample RMSEs Using Post-2020Q3 Forecast Vintages





Figure A-2: Forecast Errors: Actual - Forecasts



#### Figure A-3: Four Episodes—Additional Figures

Figure A-4: Modeling the Pandemic–Scenarios

